# Computer Misuse Act 1990 Anti-hacking legislation

# Background

- No laws specifically to deal with computer crime prior to 1990
- Other laws tried instead
- Examples.
  - Cox v Riley 1986 (Criminal Damage Act 1971)
  - R. v Whitely 1990 (Criminal Damage Act 1971)
  - R. v Gold and Another (Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981)



# Background 2

- The case of *R. v Gold and Another* was highly publicised
- Defendant released on appeal
- Lead to Law Commission produced report
  - Report No.186, Computer Misuse
- Michael Colvin's (MP) Private Member's Bill
- This became the Computer Misuse Act 1990



## Problems

- Original bill specifically aimed at hackers
- Many amendments during passage through parliament
- Eventual legislation very broad based, lost much of the original intent



### Offences

- The Act specifies 3 offences
- In summary these are:-
  - Unauthorised Access
  - Unauthorised access with intent to commit another offence
  - Unauthorised modification of data



### Penalties 1

- Unauthorised Access is called a *summary* offence and penalties are limited to
  - 6 months imprisonment and/or
  - a maximum fine of £5000



### Penalties 2

#### • The other two offences

- Unauthorised access with intent...
- Unauthorised modification ...
- Are more serious and carry jail terms of up to 5 years and unlimited fines



# Examples 1

#### Scenario 1

- A student hacks into a college database to impress his friends unauthorised access
- Later he decide to go in again, to alter his grades, but cannot find the correct file - unauthorised access with intent...
- A week later he succeeds and alters his grades unauthorised modification of data



# **Examples 2**

#### Scenario 2

- An employee who is about to made redundant finds the Managing Director's password; logs into the computer system using this and looks at some confidential filesunauthorised access
- Having received his redundancy notice he goes back in to try and cause some damage but fails to do so unauthorised access with intent...
- After asking a friend, he finds out how to delete files and wipes the main customer database - unauthorised modification



## Problems

- While there has been a rise in hacking
  - more computers/Internet gives greater access
- Prosecution are rare and punishments small
  - Examples
    - Defendant causes firm to lose £36,000 Fined £1,650; conditional discharge
    - Defendant destroys £30,000 worth of data Fined £3000; 140 hours community service



### Reasons

#### • Very complex

- Offences difficult to prove
- Evidence difficult to collect firms do not co-operate with police
- Firms embarrassed by hacking particularly banks
- Employees often simply sacked/demoted
- Police lack expertise; time; money
- Offence perceived as 'soft crime' no one injured/hurt



# The Bedworth case

- This case in 1991 caused great concern and it was suggested that further prosecutions under the act would be unlikely to succeed
  - Defendant (and others) hacked into a variety of systems and caused damage
  - Defence stated that defendant 'addicted to computers' so could not help hacking
  - Not guilty verdict returned by jury



# **Current situation**

- Hacking has increased both at hobby and professional levels
- A few high profile cases
- Offenders often in other countries with no equivalent legislation
- Some 'international task forces' set up but no real progress
- Current estimated costs of hacking £5 billion per year world-wide



