

# Notes to answers Assignment 2

Neural Computation 2014-2015. Mark van Rossum

11th December 2014

**Question 1** (5 points) Numerically calculate and plot the total weight change  $\Delta w = \Delta w_+ + \Delta w_-$  as a function of  $\Delta t$  ( $= -20 \dots +20$ ms) for a couple of pairing frequencies  $f_{pair}$  in the range  $1 \dots 100$ Hz. Take 20 pre-post spike pairs. Comment on your findings and the various contributions to  $\Delta w$ . Note, that this calculation does not require any neural model, but just the implementation of the spike trains, the traces, and the weight change.

- The plot  $\Delta w$  vs  $\Delta t$  is a (almost) periodic plot, which looks like a sawtooth. The periodicity comes from the fact that when you shift the spike train with  $\Delta t = 1/f$ , you have virtually the same spike train (minus one pairing event).
- Note that at low frequencies only LTD remains, while at high pairing frequencies, LTP dominates. In extreme cases there is nowhere LTD.

**Question 2** (5 points) Calculate analytically the general expression for the weight change per pre-synaptic spike for the setup of the previous question. Ignore the first pre-post pair, as for that one  $y(t) = 0$ . Compare your results to Question 1.

- Of course all traces are decaying exponential functions. The periodicity can cause confusion. It is easiest to take  $0 \leq \Delta t \leq 1/f$ , and make the function periodic afterwards. In that the pre-post time is  $\Delta t$  and the post-pre time is  $1/f - \Delta t$ .
- LTD-term. Here you need  $y(t - \epsilon)X(t)$ . (The  $\epsilon$  can be safely ignored). The trace  $y$  at time of the pre-spike is  $\exp(-(1/f - \Delta t)/\tau_y)$ .
- For the LTP we need  $x(t)y(t - \epsilon)Y(t)$ . The second term at the time of the post-spike is  $y = \exp(-1/(f\tau_y))$ .
- Of course, this should match the simulations well..
- A common mistake was to assume that there was only LTP when  $\Delta t > 0$  (and only LTD when  $\Delta t < 0$ ). This is not true due to the periodic nature of the problem; for any  $\Delta t$  both LTD and LTP occur.

**Question 3** (5 points) Repeat Question 1 for the case that both spike trains are Poisson trains with rates  $\rho_x$  and  $\rho_y$  respectively. Plot the change over a one second interval for a couple of settings of  $\rho_x$  and  $\rho_y$ . Comment on your findings.

**Question 4** (5 points) Calculate the average weight change per pre-synaptic spike in case both spike trains are Poisson trains.

- We look at the (more difficult) LTP term  $x(t)y(t-\epsilon)Y(t)$ . We need to average over all possible configuration of the spike times.  $\langle \Delta w_+ \rangle = \int_0^\infty P(t_y)P(\Delta t)\Delta w(t_y, \Delta t)d\Delta t dt_y$ , where  $P(t_y) = \rho_y \exp(-t_y\rho_y)$  is the time since the last post-spike. As this an Poisson process, the interval distribution is exponential. Similarly,  $P(\Delta t) = \rho_x \exp(-\Delta t\rho_x)$  is the time since the last pre-spike (being a Poisson process it does not matter what we take as measurement time; remember the derivation). Also,  $\Delta w(t_y, \Delta t) = c_+ \exp(-t_y/\tau_y) \exp(-\Delta t/\tau_x)$ . This gives  $\langle \Delta w_+ \rangle = c_+ \frac{\rho_x \rho_y}{(\rho_x + 1/\tau_x)(\rho_y + 1/\tau_y)}$  (per post spike). For the LTD-term:  $\langle \Delta w_- \rangle = c_- \frac{\rho_x}{(\rho_x + 1/\tau_x)}$  (per pre spike).
- A common mistake was to calculate the average values of  $\Delta t$  and  $t_y$  first, and then plug them into the equation for  $\Delta w(t_y, \Delta t)$ . While this type of error is common it is never allowed!

## Part 2: Post vs pre expression of plasticity

**Question 5** (5 points) Create an integrate and fire neuron that receives a 1 second long periodic train of pulses at 50Hz through a synapse with release modelled as above. The synaptic input is modelled as a current, decaying with a time-constant  $\tau_{syn}$  between inputs and increasing an amount  $r(t)UA$  for every input pulse. Parameters are as in the practical, further use a 5ms synaptic time-constant and  $\tau_D = 200$ ms. Take  $A$  about 5000, so that the neuron has a decent response. Compare the effect on the firing rate of the neuron when  $A$  is doubled vs a doubling of  $U$ . Make sure to study the onset transient in the response as well.

- With a doubling of  $A$  the steady state response is roughly doubled, but doubling of  $U$  has a smaller effect. The transients (say, within the first 100ms after stimulus onset) are however comparable.
- Common error 1: the release probability should be initialized to 1 (a 'well-rested' synapse), not 0.
- Common error 2: some found an 'inverted U' curve when plotting frequency vs  $U$ . This is wrong, frequency should always increase with  $U$ , albeit in a saturating manner. Probably caused by updating  $r$  before updating the synaptic current.

**Question 6** (5 points) Assume a very long train of pre-synaptic spikes. What is the steady state synaptic strength during this prolonged stimulation? Does this explain the result from Question 5?

- The idea is that in state steady, the synapse recovers between events as much as it loses per pre-synaptic event. Right after a spike  $r_0 = 1 - c$ , while right before the (next) spike it is  $r_1 = 1 - c \exp(-T/\tau_D)$ . At the same time  $r_1 - r_1 U = r_0$ . This gives  $r_1 = \frac{1-c}{1-c+Uc}$ , where  $e = \exp(-T/\tau_d) = \exp(-1/(f\tau_d))$ .
- The weight is proportional to  $U r_1$ . This is a increasing, saturating function of  $U$ . It explains that increasing  $U$  increases the steady-state strength of the synapse, but less than changes in  $A$ .