## Machine Learning and Pattern Recognition, Tutorial Sheet Number 3

School of Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Instructor: Chris Williams

1. Given a dataset  $\{(\boldsymbol{x}^n, y^n), n = 1, ..., N\}$ , where  $y^n \in \{0, 1\}$ , logistic regression uses the model  $p(y^n = 1 | \boldsymbol{x}^n) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}^n + b)$ . Assuming that the data is drawn independently and identically, show that the derivative of the log likelihood L of the data is

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{w} L = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( y^n - \sigma \left( \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}^n + b \right) \right) \boldsymbol{x}^n$$

HINT: show that

$$\frac{d\sigma(z)}{dz} = \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z)).$$

- 2. Consider a dataset  $\{(x^n, y^n), n = 1, \dots, N\}$ , where  $y^n \in \{0, 1\}$ , and x is a D dimensional vector.
- (a) Data is linearly separable if the two classes can be completely separated by a hyperplane. Show that if the training data is linearly separable with the hyperplane  $\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}$ , the data is also separable with the hyperplane  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}$ , where  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} = \lambda \boldsymbol{w}$ ,  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}} = \lambda \boldsymbol{b}$  for any scalar  $\lambda > 0$ .
- (b) What consequence does the above result have for maximum likelihood training of logistic regression for linearly separable data?
- 3. Consider a Bayesian linear regression model. Let

$$y = mx + \eta$$
$$\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
$$m \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2)$$

Assume that  $\sigma^2$  and  $\tau^2$  are known. Note that to simplify the problem we have assumed that there is no x intercept. Identify the distributions of the following quantities under this model. (Merely identifying the family of distribution and its parameters is fine, e.g. Uniform $(0, \tau)$ . You do not need to write down the pdf.)

- (a) What is p(y|x=1)?
- (b) Let  $y_1$  equal the value of y when x = 1, i.e.,  $y_1 = m + \eta$ . What is the joint distribution  $p(y_1, m)$ ? Hint: Use the following facts
  - For any random variable Z, we have  $Var(Z) = E[Z^2]$  when E[Z] = 0.
  - For any random variables Y and Z, if Y and Z are independent, Cov(Y, Z) = 0.
  - For any random variables Y and Z, if E[Y] = 0 and E[Z] = 0, then Cov(Y, Z) = E[YZ].
- (c) What is the posterior  $p(m|y_1 = 1)$ ? Hint: Use what we did in Tutorial 1 with the bivariate Gaussian.

4. (Murphy, 8.7) Consider the following data set



(a) Suppose that we fit a logistic regression model, i.e.,  $p(y = 1 | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w}) = \sigma(w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2)$ . Suppose we fit the model by maximum likelihood, i.e., we minimize

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\ell(\boldsymbol{w}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}),$$

where  $-\ell$  is the logarithm of the likelihood above. Suppose we obtain the parameters  $\hat{w}$ . Sketch a possible decision boundary corresponding to  $\hat{w}$ .

Is your answer unique? How many classification errors does your method make on the training set?

(b) Now suppose that we regularize only the  $w_0$  parameter, i.e., we minimize

$$J_0(oldsymbol{w}) = -\ell(oldsymbol{w}, \mathcal{D}_{ ext{train}}) + \lambda w_0^2.$$

Suppose  $\lambda$  is a very large number, so we regularize  $w_0$  all the way to 0, but all other parameters are unregularized. Sketch a possible decision boundary. How many classification errors does your method make on the training set? Hint: consider the behaviour of simple linear regression,  $w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2$  when  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ .

(c) Now suppose that we regularize only the  $w_1$  parameter, i.e., we minimize

$$J_1(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\ell(\boldsymbol{w}, \mathcal{D}_{ ext{train}}) + \lambda w_1^2$$

Again suppose  $\lambda$  is a very large number. Sketch a possible decision boundary. How many classification errors does your method make on the training set?

(d) Now suppose that we regularize only the  $w_2$  parameter, i.e., we minimize

$$J_2(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\ell(\boldsymbol{w}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}) + \lambda w_2^2.$$

Again suppose  $\lambda$  is a very large number. Sketch a possible decision boundary. How many classification errors does your method make on the training set?