

# Logic Programming

Lecture 9: Constraint logic programming

## Infix operators

- Syntax of Prolog has many **built-in** infix operators

`+ - * / = is =..`

- You can also define your own prefix, infix, or postfix operators
  - Syntax and meaning are defined independently

# Outline for today

- Infix operators/declarations
- Logic programming with **constraints**
  - Finite domain constraints
  - Real/rational constraints
- Course review outline

## Defining your own operators

- `:- op(Prec, Fixity, Op).`
- `Prec` is precedence - higher is weaker binding
- `Fixity` is
  - `xfx, xfy, yfx` - infix (non, right, left assoc)
  - `fx, fy` - prefix
  - `xf, yf` - postfix
  - `x, y` indicate associativity (`x` needs explicit parentheses)
- `Op` can be an atom or list of atoms

# Looking under the hood (bonnet?)

- Standard Prolog ops declared as:

```
:- op(1200, xfx, [ :-, --> ]).
:- op(1100, xfy, [ ; ]).
:- op(1000, xfy, [ ', ' ]).
:- op( 700, xfx, [ =, is, ...]).
:- op( 500, yfx, [ +, - ]).
:- op( 500, ffx, [ +, - ]).
...
```

# Remember

- Prolog supports arithmetic, but it's not very "logical"

```
?- 2+2 = 4.
no
?- X is 1+2.
X = 3
?- 1+2 is X.
Instantiation error...
```

# More problems with this

- Using `is/2` for arithmetic, sometimes we have to commit to ground values too early
- Leads to higher branching factor
- Also imposes order of evaluation on programs that use arithmetic
  - making programs less readable or reusable

# Example

```
between(Low,_,Low).
between(Low,High,N) :-
    Low < High,
    Next is Low + 1,
    between(Next, High, N).
?- between(1,1000,N), N > 999.
?- N > 999, between(1,1000,N).
```

# Constraint Programming

- Why can't we just say things like  
 $?- X + 1 = 5 * Y, Y = 1.$
- and have the system "solve for X"?  
 $X = 4$
- **Constraint Programming** is a well-studied framework that lets us do this
  - (Example: Linear Programming)

# Constraint **Logic** Programming

- Constraint Programming is powerful and declarative
- But it can be a pain to use
  - Have to put problem in a specific syntactic form
- Wouldn't it be nicer to specify constraint problems using Prolog?
- That's **Constraint Logic Programming**

## Basic idea

- Expand the program "state" to include special predicates called **constraints**
  - Program can generate constraints at any time
  - Note: Equations  $t = u$  are a form of constraint.
- **Reduce** new constraint goals to normal form
  - e.g. unification for  $=$
- **Backtrack** if collection of all constraints becomes inconsistent
- **Enumerate** solutions on request

## Finite domain constraints

- $N \text{ in } i..j$ 
  - says that  $N$  has one of finitely many values  $i..j$
- $t \#= u$ 
  - equality constraint
- $t \#< u, t \#> u$ , etc.
  - inequality constraint
- These predicates **constrain** but don't **generate or require values**

# between revisited

```
?- N in 1..100, N #> 99.  
N in 99..100  
?- N in 1..100, N #> 99,  
   indomain(N).  
N = 100.
```

# indomain/1

Generates solutions to constraints

```
?- X in 1..5, Y #= 2*X+1, indomain(Y).  
X = 1, Y = 3 ? ;  
X = 2, Y = 5 ? ;  
X = 3, Y = 7 ? ;  
X = 4, Y = 9 ? ;  
X = 5, Y = 11 ? ;
```

# labeling/2

- First argument a list of options ([ ] for now)
- Second argument a list of constrained variables
- Enumerates all solutions, using options to control search.

```
?- X in 0..3, Y in 0..3,  
   X #< Y, labeling([], [X, Y]).
```

# minimize/2, maximize/2

- Given a goal G, find min or max value of constrained var Y after running G

```
?- X in 1..100,  
   Y #= (X - 50)*X,  
   minimize(indomain(Y), Y).  
X = 25, Y = -625
```

# Distinctness

- We also have **inequality** constraints:
- $X \neq Y$ 
  - says  $X$  and  $Y$  have to be different (both may be nonground)
- and **distinctness** constraints:
  - `all_different([X1, ..., Xn])`
  - forces all elements of list to be different

# A cryptarithmic puzzle

```
SEND
+ MORE
-----
MONEY
```

Goal:  
Find distinct numbers  
S,E,N,D,M,O,R,Y  
between 0 and 9  
such that  
the numbers formed by  
SEND and MORE  
add up to MONEY

## Traditional solution

```
solve_money( [S,E,N,D],
             [M,O,R,E],
             [M,O,N,E,Y]) :-
    between(0,9,S), ..., between(0,9,Y),
    distinct([S,E,N,D,M,O,R,Y]),
    add_carry([0,S,E,N,D],
             [0,M,O,R,E],
             [M,O,N,E,Y], 0).
```

## Traditional solution

```
add_carry([],[],[],0).
add_carry([A|As],[B|Bs],[C|Cs],Carry) :-
    add_carry(As,Bs,Cs,NextCarry),
    C is (A + B + NextCarry) mod 10,
    Carry is (A + B + NextCarry) / 10.
distinct([]).
distinct([X|Xs]) :- \+(member(X,Xs)),
                  distinct(Xs).
```

# CLP(FD) solution

```
solve_money2( [S,E,N,D],
             [M,O,R,E],
             [M,O,N,E,Y] ) :-
    S in 0..9, ... , Y in 0..9,
    all_different([S,E,N,D,M,O,R,Y]),
    add_carry2([0,S,E,N,D],
             [0,M,O,R,E],
             [M,O,N,E,Y], 0),
    labeling([], [S,E,N,D,M,O,R,Y]).
```

# CLP(FD) solution

```
add_carry2([], [], [], 0).
add_carry2([A|As], [B|Bs], [C|Cs], Carry) :-
    add_carry2(As, Bs, Cs, NextCarry),
    C #= (A + B + NextCarry) mod 10,
    Carry #= (A + B + NextCarry) / 10.
```

Note: Almost the same except for use of constraints.

## Other constraint domains

- Real numbers: CLP(R)

```
?- { 2*X+Y =< 16, X+2*Y =< 11,
     X+3*Y =< 15, Z = 30*X+50*Y },
    maximize(Z).
```

X = 7.0, Y = 2.0, Z = 310.0

- Rational numbers: CLP(Q)

## Using CLP

- Provided as SICSTUS libraries
  - [library(clpfd)].
  - [library(clpr)].
  - [library(clpq)].

# Note: Weird SICSTUS-ism

```
?- X is 3/2. % exact division
X = 1.5
?- X is 3//2. % integer division
X = 1
?- X #= 3/2. % FD-constraint integer division
X = 1
?- X #= 3//2. % error!
Domain error....
```

# Review

- Material covered in LPN, ch. 1-6:
  - Terms, variables, unification (+/- occurs check)
  - Arithmetic expressions/evaluation
  - Recursion, avoiding nontermination
  - Programming with lists and terms
- Expect ability to solve problems similar to those in tutorial programming exercises (or textbook exercises)

# Review

- Material covered in LPN, ch. 7-11:
  - Definite clause grammars
  - Difference lists
  - Nonlogical features ("is", cut, negation, assert/retract)
  - Collecting solutions (findall, bagof, setof)
  - Term manipulation (var, =.., functor, arg, call)
- Expect ability to explain concepts & use in simple Prolog programs

# Review

- Advanced topics (Bratko ch. 11-12, 14, 23)
  - Search techniques (DFS, BFS)
  - Symbolic programming & meta-programming
  - Constraint logic programming
- Expect understanding of basic ideas
  - not ability to write large programs from scratch under time pressure

# Some exam info

- Programming exam: 2 hours
  - DICE machine with SICSTUS Prolog available
  - (Documentation won't be, but exam will not rely on memorizing obscure details)
- Sample exams on course web page
- Exams from >1 year ago are on ITO web page; questions similar but different format.

# Learning more

- There is a lot more to logic programming
  - Books: "The Art of Prolog", Sterling & Shapiro, MIT Press
  - Online: `comp.lang.prolog`
  - Association for Logic Programming
  - Main journal: Theory and Practice of Logic Programming (CUP) - main journal before 2001 was Journal of Logic Programming
  - Main conferences:
    - International Conference on Logic Programming (ICLP) - main annual conference.
    - Principles and Practice of Declarative Programming (PPDP) - covers LP and other "declarative" paradigms
- Honors/MSc projects? Let me know