When have we tested enough?

When to Stop Testing

Today's Topics

- How do we know when we are done?
- Stopping Criteria
 - Coverage
 - Budget
 - Plan
 - Reliability
 - Mutation analysis

When do we stop?

The all important question

When have we tested enough?

When We Have Achieved Coverage

Set your sights on some coverage criteria and test until that is achieved.

Problems?

The Budget Coverage Criterion

- Industry's answer to "when is testing done"
 - When the money is used up
 - When the deadline is reached

Problems?

Plan to Test—Test to the Plan

- Plan your tests carefully; then test according to plan
- When the tests are done—you are done.

Problems?

Categorizing and specifying the reliability of software systems Software Reliability

Courtesy Prof. Mats Heimdahl

What Is Reliability?

- Probability of failure-free operation for a specified time in a specified environment for a given purpose
- This means quite different things depending on the system and the users of that system
- Informally, reliability is a measure of how well system users think it provides the services they require

Reliability Improvement

- Reliability is improved when software faults which occur in the most frequently used parts of the software are removed
- Removing x% of software faults will not necessarily lead to an x% reliability improvement
 - In a study, removing 60% of software defects actually led to a 3% reliability improvement
- Removing faults with serious consequences is the most important objective

Reliability Perception



Software Reliability

- Cannot be defined objectively
 - Reliability measurements which are quoted out of context are not meaningful
- Requires operational profile for its definition
 - The operational profile defines the expected pattern of software usage
- Must consider fault consequences
 - Not all faults are equally serious
 - System is perceived as more unreliable if there are more serious faults

Reliability and Efficiency

- Reliability is usually more important than efficiency
- No need to use hardware to fullest extent as computers are cheap and fast
- Unreliable software is not used
- Hard to improve unreliable systems
- Software failure costs often far exceed system costs
- Costs of data loss are very high

Reliability Metrics

- Hardware metrics not really suitable for software as they are based on component failures and the need to repair or replace a component once it has failed
 - The design is assumed to be correct
- Software failures are always design failures
 - Often the system continues to be available in spite of the fact that a failure has occurred

Reliability Metrics

Probability of failure on demand

- This is a measure of the likelihood that the system will fail when a service request is made
- POFOD = 0.001 means 1 out of 1000 service requests result in failure
- Rate of fault occurrence (ROCOF)
 - Frequency of occurrence of unexpected behavior
 - ROCOF of 0.02 means 2 failures are likely in each 100 operational time units

Reliability Metrics

Mean time to failure

- Measure of the time between observed failures
- MTTF of 500 means that the time between failures is 500 time units

Availability

- Measure of how likely the system is available for use. Takes repair/restart time into account
- Availability of 0.998 means software is available for 998 out of 1000 time units

- Provide software with 10,000 inputs
 - Wrong result on 35, Crash on 5
 - What is the POFOD?
- Run the software for 144 hours (6*106 inputs)
 - Software failed on 6 input
 - What is the ROCOF?
 - What is the POFOD?
- You you a piece of software with the advertised ROCOF of 0.001 failures/hour for "stop failures"
 - You know it takes 3 hours (on average) to get the system up again after a failure
 - What is the availability?

Provide software with 10,000 inputs

- Wrong result on 35, Crash on 5
- What is the POFOD?
- Run the software for 144 hours (6*10^6 inputs)
 - Software failed on 6 input
 - What is the ROCOF?
 - What is the POFOD?
- You have a piece of software with the advertised ROCOF of 0.001 failures/hour for "stop failures"
 - You know it takes 3 hours (on average) to get the system up again after a failure
 - What is the availability

<u>40</u> 10,000 → 0.004

Provide software with 10,000 inputs

- Wrong result on 35, Crash on 5
- What is the POFOD?

Run the software for 144 hours (6*10^6 inputs)

- Software failed on 6 input
- What is the ROCOF?

What is the POFOD?

- You have a piece of software with the advertised ROCOF of 0.001 failures/hour for "stop failures"
 - You know it takes 3 hours (on average) to get the system up again after a failure
 - What is the availability



Provide software with 10,000 inputs

- Wrong result on 35, Crash on 5
- What is the POFOD?

Run the software for 144 hours (6*10^6 inputs)

- Software failed on 6 input
- What is the ROCOF?
- What is the POFOD?
- You have a piece of software with the advertised ROCOF of 0.001 failures/hour for "stop failures"

6*10^6

 You know it takes 3 hours (on average) to get the system up again after a failure

0.004

0.04

10,000

1 4 4

10^-6

Reliability Measurement

- Measure the number of system failures for a given number of system inputs
 - Used to compute POFOD
- Measure the time (or number of transactions) between system failures
 - Used to compute ROCOF and MTTF
- Measure the time to restart after failure
 - Used to compute AVAIL

Reliability Economics

- Because of very high costs of reliability achievement, it may be more cost effective to accept unreliability and pay for failure costs
- However, this depends on social and political factors
 - A reputation for unreliable products may lose future business
- Depends on system type
 - For business systems in particular, modest reliability may be adequate

Costs of Increasing Reliability

Cost



Statistical Testing

- Testing software for reliability rather than fault detection
- Test data selection should follow the predicted usage profile for the software
- Measuring the number of errors allows the reliability of the software to be predicted
- An acceptable level of reliability should be specified and the software tested and amended until that level of reliability is reached

Statistical Testing Procedure

- Determine operational profile of the software
- Generate a set of test data corresponding to this profile
- Apply tests, measuring amount of execution time between each failure
- After a statistically valid number of tests have been executed, reliability can be measured

Statistical Testing Difficulties

Uncertainty in the operational profile

- This is a particular problem for new systems with no operational history
- Less of a problem for replacement systems
- High costs of generating the operational profile
 - Costs are very dependent on what usage information is collected by the organization which requires the profile
- Statistical uncertainty when high reliability is specified
 - Difficult to estimate level of confidence in operational profile
 - Usage pattern of software may change with time

Reliability Growth Modeling

- Growth model is a mathematical model of the system reliability change as it is tested and faults are removed
- Used as a means of reliability prediction by extrapolating from current data
- Depends on the use of statistical testing to measure the reliability of a system version

Reliability Prediction





- Reliability is usually the most important dynamic software characteristic
- Professionals should aim to produce reliable software
- Reliability depends on the pattern of usage of the software
 - Faulty software can be reliable
- Reliability requirements should be defined quantitatively whenever possible

Key Points

- There are many different reliability metrics
 - The metric chosen should reflect the type of system and the application domain
- Statistical testing is used for reliability assessment
 - Depends on using a test data set which reflects the use of the software
- Reliability growth models may be used to predict when a required level of reliability will be achieved

An approach to figuring out if the test set is any good Mutation Testing

Courtesy Prof. Mats Heimdahl



- I have a collection of test cases
- How do I know if the set is any good?
 - That is, how likely is it to reveal faults?

Mutation Testing

- An approach to investigating the quality of your test data
- Create a second version of your software with some minor change
 - Introduce a "mutation"
- Run the test cases and see if they reveal the mutation (an artificial fault)
 - If yes Good test data
 - If no Bad test data

General Idea



What is a Mutant?

- A mutant is the original program with a small change introduced
 - The change is called a mutation
- A mutation is one single "change" on one line in the original
 - The "change" is caused by a mutation operator
 - Also called mutagens, mutagenic operators, etc.

For the program P, the set of mutants are called the neighborhood of P

How do we Create a Mutant?

Apply appropriate mutation operators to each line in the program

	delta =	newGuess	+	sqrt
delta = newGuess - sqrt	delta =	newGuess	*	sqrt
	delta =	newGuess	%	sqrt
	delta =	newGuess	/	sqrt

Mutation Operators

- There are many different mutation operators
 - Operators
 - Off by one
 - Switch variable names of same type

Testing Approach



Mutation Adequacy Score (MS)

How well did you do?

MS = # Mutants - # Equivalent * 100 %

What are the problems of this approach?

Test Adequacy Summary

How do we know if our tests are any good?

- Code coverage criteria
 - Hard to achieve
 - Experiments indicate they are no better (or marginally better) than random testing
- Statistical
 - User profile or input distribution

Use the test plan



Six Essentials of Testing

- The quality of the test process determines the success of the test effort
- Prevent defect migration by using early lifecycle testing techniques
- The time for software testing tools is now

Adapted from Software Testing in the Real World, Edward Kit; Addison-Wesley, 1995

Six Essentials of Testing

- A real person must take responsibility for improving the testing process
- Testing is a professional discipline requiring trained, skilled people
- Cultivate a positive team attitude of creative destruction

Adapted from Software Testing in the Real World, Edward Kit; Addison-Wesley, 1995