

# Non-functional requirements

Nigel Goddard

School of Informatics  
University of Edinburgh

# NFRs or the “ities”

- ▶ Ways the system needs to be related to other systems and versions of itself:  
flexibility, maintainability, reusability, portability
- ▶ Things the system must not do or allow to be done  
security, integrity
- ▶ Properties of the system in use  
usability  
dependability, reliability, availability, robustness  
scalability  
performance, efficiency

etc.

## NFRs in the development process

Must be identified along with functional requirements – at the end is too late. (Requires special care in agile developments).

Often tied up with architectural decisions: hard to modify late. For example, if you choose the AppFuse framework for your system, you are locked into its security model.

*How much of an ity is needed?* Often essential to

- ▶ quantify the requirement
- ▶ have a way to measure the system – “metrics”.

We'll look at metrics generally and then at reliability metrics in particular.

## Useful metrics should ideally be...

- ▶ Measurable – e.g. not someone's opinion of how complex something was
- ▶ Independent – i.e. not something that can be altered without altering something we care about
- ▶ Accountable – i.e. managers should be able to justify both the use of this metric and the value given to it.
- ▶ Precise – i.e. the minimal accuracy of the number must be known.
- ▶ MEANINGFUL!! – there must be some reason to believe that numbers for the metric have something to do with something we care about!

# Reliability

Reliability is a key non-functional requirement in many systems.  
But how does one specify reliability?

Several ways – most appropriate depends on the nature of the system.

Lets look at some.

# POFOD

**Probability of failure on demand** is the probability that the system will fail when service is requested. Mainly useful for systems that provide emergency or safety services.

E.g. the emergency shutdown in a nuclear power plant will never be used – but if it is, it shouldn't fail. ('New' Sizewell B Primary Protection System specified 0.0001 – and achieved 0.001 in testing.)

How to evaluate? Repeated tests in simulation. Expensive?

# ROCOF

**Rate of failure occurrence** is the number per unit time of failures (unexpected behaviour). 'Time' may mean elapsed time, processing time, number of transactions, etc.

Mainly used for systems providing regular service, where failure is significant. E.g. banking systems. (VisaNet processes around  $10^8$  transactions/day. Failure rate is not published, but probably (much) less than  $10^{-5}$  failures/transaction.)

# MTTF

**Mean time to failure** is the average time between successive failures.

Mainly used where a single client uses the system for a long time. E.g. CAD systems – or indeed desktop PCs. Popular metric for hardware components.



**Q:** What's the difference between MTTF and ROCOF?

**Q:** You buy a hard drive with an MTTF of 5 years. When will you replace it?



# Statistics Digression

You know MTTF. Can you rely on that as an estimate?



# Availability

**Availability** is the proportion of the time that the system is 'available for use'. Often quoted as 'five nines', meaning 0.99999, 'four nines' etc.

Appropriate for systems offering a continuous service, where customers expect it to be there all the time. ('Five nines' is achieved by large data processing systems (e.g. Visanet) – running on IBM mainframes, not PCs!)

**Q:** What's the difference between availability and ROCOF?

# Metrics Summary

<b>Metric</b>	<b>Appropriate when...</b>	<b>Example</b>
POFOD	System is rarely used	Airliner oxygen masks
ROCOF	Regular service, failure significant	Online site, correct cus
MTTF	Single client, extended use	disks, desktop OS cras
Availability	Continuous service	online site up