

Inf 2B: Asymptotic notation

Lecture 2 of ADS thread

Kyriakos Kalorkoti

School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh

1/49

Intention

" f is $O(g)$ " tells us that the growth rate of f is *no worse* than that of g . Could be better.

- ▶ c allows us to adjust for constants: n^2 obviously has same growth rate as $3n^2$, $20n^2$, $100n^2$...
- ▶ consider $n \rightarrow an$ then

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 &\rightarrow a^2 \cdot n^2 \\ 3n^2 &\rightarrow a^2 \cdot 3n^2 \\ 20n^2 &\rightarrow a^2 \cdot 20n^2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ n_0 allows a settling in period of atypical behaviour.
- ▶ O allows us to concentrate on the big picture rather than details (many being implementation dependent).

3/49

Examples of O

- $3n^3 = O(n^3)$.
Need c and n_0 so that $3n^3 \leq cn^3$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
Take $c = 3$, $n_0 = 0$.
- $3n^3 + 8 = O(n^3)$.
For a constant $c > 0$ we have

$$3n^3 + 8 \leq cn^3 \iff 3 + \frac{8}{n^3} \leq c \quad \text{provided } n > 0.$$

As n increases $8/n^3$ decreases. Thus

$$3 + \frac{8}{n^3} \leq 11 \quad \text{for all } n > 0.$$

So we take $c = 11$, $n_0 = 1$.

We can also take $c = 4$, $n_0 = 2$ or $c = 3 + 8/27$, $n_0 = 3$ etc.

5/49

The Big- O Notation

Definition

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions. We say that f is $O(g)$ if there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $c > 0$ from \mathbb{R} such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$f(n) \leq cg(n).$$

In other words:

$$O(g) = \{f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \text{there is an } n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } c > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ such that for all } n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq cg(n).\}$$

Then " f is $O(g)$ " means $f \in O(g)$.

Informally, we say "*for sufficiently large n* " instead of "there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$...".

2/49

Notational Convention

Write

$$f = O(g),$$

instead of

$$f \in O(g).$$

- ▶ Makes it convenient to have chains reasoning with inequalities etc.
- ▶ Notation here is from *left to right*. $f = O(g)$ does *not* mean that $O(g) = f$!
- ▶ $f = f_1 + O(g) = f_2 + O(g)$ does *not* imply that $f_1 = f_2$.
- ▶ Seems strange but easy to get used to it and *very* useful.

4/49

More Examples of O

- $\lg(n) = O(n)$
Intuitively: $\lg(n) < n$ for all $n \geq 1$.
Need a proof. Well

$$\lg(n) < n \iff n < 2^n, \quad \text{for all } n > 0.$$

Use induction on n to prove rhs.

- ▶ Base case $n = 1$ is clearly true.
- ▶ For induction step assume claim holds for n . Then

$$2^{n+1} = 2 \cdot 2^n > 2n, \quad \text{by induction hypothesis.}$$

To complete the proof just need to show that $2n \geq n + 1$.
Now

$$2n \geq n + 1 \iff n \geq 1,$$

and we have finished.

So we take $c = 1$ and $n_0 = 1$.

6/49

More Examples of O

4. $8n^2 + 10n \lg(n) + 100n + 10000 = O(n^2)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & 8n^2 + 10n \lg(n) + 100n + 10000 \\ & < 8n^2 + 10n \cdot n + 100n + 10000, \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \\ & \leq 8n^2 + 10n^2 + 100n^2 + 10000n^2 \\ & = (8 + 10 + 100 + 10000)n^2 \\ & = 10118n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take $n_0 = 1$ and $c = 1118$.

- ▶ Value for c seems rather large.
- ▶ Any $c > 8$ will do, the closer c is to 8 the larger n_0 has to be.
- ▶ For big- O notation, no point at all in expending more effort just to reduce some constant.

5. $2^{100} = O(1)$.

Take $n_0 = 0$ and $c = 2^{100}$.

7/49

“Laws” of Big- O

Theorem: Let $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions. Then:

1. For any constant $a > 0$ in \mathbb{R} : $f_1 = O(g_1) \implies af_1 \in O(g_1)$.
2. $f_1 = O(g_1)$ and $f_2 = O(g_2) \implies f_1 + f_2 = O(g_1 + g_2)$.
3. $f_1 = O(g_1)$ and $f_2 = O(g_2) \implies f_1 f_2 = O(g_1 g_2)$.
4. $f_1 = O(g_1)$ and $g_1 = O(g_2) \implies f_1 = O(g_2)$.
5. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$: f_1 polynomial of degree $d \implies f_1 = O(n^d)$.
6. For any constants $a > 0$ and $b > 1$ in \mathbb{R} : $n^a = O(b^n)$.
7. For any constant $a > 0$ in \mathbb{R} : $\lg(n^a) = O(\lg(n))$.
8. For any constants $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ in \mathbb{R} : $\lg^a(n) = O(n^b)$.

8/49

Example (using Laws for O)

$871n^3 + 13n^2 \lg^5(n) + 18n + 566 = O(n^3)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & 871n^3 + 13n^2 \lg^5(n) + 18n + 566 \\ & = 871n^3 + 13n^2 O(n) + 18n + 566 \quad \text{by (8)} \\ & = 871n^3 + O(n^3) + 18n + 566 \quad \text{by (3)} \\ & = 871n^3 + 18n + 566 + O(n^3) \\ & = O(n^3) + O(n^3) \quad \text{by (5)} \\ & = O(n^3) \quad \text{by (2) \& (1)} \end{aligned}$$

9/49

Big- Ω and Big- Θ

Definition

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions.

1. We say that f is $\Omega(g)$ if there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$ in \mathbb{R} such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$f(n) \geq cg(n).$$

2. We say that f is $\Theta(g)$, or f has the same asymptotic growth rate as g , if f is $O(g)$ and $\Omega(g)$.

Observation:

$$f = \Omega(g) \iff g = O(f).$$

Prove this.

10/49

Examples of $f = \Omega(g)$

1. Let $f(n) = 3n^3$ and $g(n) = n^3$.
(combining this with Ex 1. for O gives $3n^3 = \Theta(n^3)$)

Let $n_0 = 0$ and $c = 1$. Then for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$f(n) = 3n^3 \geq cg(n) = g(n).$$

2. Let $f(n) = \lg(n)$ and $g(n) = \lg(n^2)$.

Well

$$\lg(n^2) = 2 \lg(n).$$

So take $c = 1/2$ and $n_0 = 1$. Then for every $n \geq n_0$ we have,

$$f(n) = \lg(n) = \frac{1}{2} 2 \lg(n) = \frac{1}{2} \lg(n^2) = \frac{1}{2} g(n).$$

11/49

Quick quiz: True or False ?

$$\sqrt{n^3} = O(n^2)?$$

True. $\sqrt{n^3} = n^{3/2} \leq n^2$.

$$2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} = O(n)?$$

True. $2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} \leq 2^{\lg n} = n$.

$$2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} = \Omega(n)?$$

True: Let $n \geq 2$. Then $\lfloor \lg n \rfloor \geq (\lg n) - 1 \geq 0$. Hence $2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} \geq 2^{(\lg n) - 1} = n/2$. So take $n_0 = 2, c = 1/2$.

$$n \lg n = \Theta(n^2)?$$

False: We do have $n \lg n = O(n^2)$ but $n \lg n$ is **not** $\Omega(n^2)$.

12/49

Further Reading

- ▶ Lecture notes 2 (handed out).
- ▶ If you have Goodrich & Tamassia [GT]:
All of the chapter on “Analysis Tools” (especially the “Seven functions” and “Analysis of Algorithms” sections).
NB: the title of the book is as given in slides of lecture 1, not as in note 1.
- ▶ If you have [CLRS]:
Read chapter 3 on “Growth of Functions”.
- ▶ Wikipedia has a page about asymptotic notation:
en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asymptotic_notation