

# Inf 2B: Hash Tables

## Lecture 4 of ADS thread

Kyriakos Kalorkoti

School of Informatics  
University of Edinburgh

# Dictionaries

A *Dictionary* stores key–element pairs, called *items*. Several elements might have the same key. Provides three methods:

- ▶ `findElement( $k$ )`: If the dictionary contains an item with key  $k$ , then return its element; otherwise return the special element `NO_SUCH_KEY`.
- ▶ `insertItem( $k, e$ )`: Insert an item with key  $k$  and element  $e$ .
- ▶ `removeItem( $k$ )`: If the dictionary contains an item with key  $k$ , then delete it and return its element; otherwise return `NO_SUCH_KEY`.

## List Dictionaries

- ▶ Items are stored in a *singly linked list* (in any order).
- ▶ Algorithms for all methods are straightforward.
- ▶ Running Time:

**insertItem** :  $\Theta(1)$

**findElement** :  $\Theta(n)$

**removeItem** :  $\Theta(n)$

( $n$  always denotes the number of items stored in the dictionary)

# Direct Addressing

Suppose:

- ▶ Keys are integers in the range  $0, \dots, N - 1$ .
- ▶ All elements have **distinct keys**.

A data structure realising *Dictionary* (sometimes called a *direct address table*):

- ▶ Elements are stored in array  $B$  of length  $N$ .
- ▶ The element with key  $k$  is stored in  $B[k]$ .
- ▶ Running Time:  $\Theta(1)$  for all methods.

# Bucket Arrays

Suppose:

- ▶ Keys are integers in the range  $0, \dots, N - 1$ .
- ▶ Several elements might have the same key, so collisions may occur.

What do we do about these collisions?

Store them all together in a *List* pointed to by  $B[k]$  (sometimes called *chaining*).

## Bucket Arrays

*Bucket array* implementation of *Dictionary*:

- ▶ Bucket array  $B$  of length  $N$  holding *Lists*
- ▶ Element with key  $k$  is stored in the *List*  $B[k]$ .
- ▶ Methods of *Dictionary* are implemented using `insertFirst()`, `first()`, and `remove(p)` of *List*

**Running Time:**  $\Theta(1)$  for all methods (with linked list implementation of *List* -  $p$  is always the first pointer, so we can easily keep track of it).

- ▶ Works because `findElement(k)` and `removeItem(k)` only need 1 item with key  $k$ .

A good solution if  $N$  is not much larger than the number of keys (a small constant multiple).

# Hash Tables

*Dictionary* implementation for **arbitrary keys** (not necessarily all distinct).

Two components:

- ▶ *Hash function*  $h$  mapping keys to integers in the range  $0, \dots, N - 1$  (for some suitable  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ).
- ▶ *Bucket array*  $B$  of length  $N$  to hold the items.

Item (key–element pair) with key  $k$  is stored in the bucket  **$B[h(k)]$** .

## Issues for Hash Tables

- ▶ Need to consider **collision handling**. (Here we might have  $h(k_1) = h(k_2)$  even for  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , so *List* implementation is more complicated.
- ▶ Analyse the running time.
- ▶ Find good **hash functions**.
- ▶ Choose appropriate  $N$ .



# Implementation

**Problem:** Elements with distinct keys might go into the same bucket.

**Solution:** Let buckets be *list dictionaries* storing the **items** (key-element pairs).

**The methods:**

**Algorithm** findElement( $k$ )

1. Compute  $h(k)$
2. **return**  $B[h(k)].\text{findElement}(k)$

# Implementation

**Algorithm** InsertItem( $k, e$ )

1. Compute  $h(k)$
2.  $B[h(k)].insertItem(k, e)$

**Algorithm** removeItem( $k$ )

1. Compute  $h(k)$
2. **return**  $B[h(k)].removeItem(k)$

# Implementation

## Running time?

Depends on the list methods

- ▶  $B[h(k)].findElement(k)$ ,
- ▶  $B[h(k)].insertItem(k, e)$ , and
- ▶  $B[h(k)].removeItem(k)$ .

Assume we Insert at front (or end):

- ▶  $\Theta(1)$  time for  $B[h(k)].insertItem(k, e)$ .

## Analysis

- ▶ Let  $T_h$  be the running time required for computing  $h$  (more precisely:  $T_h(n_{\text{key}})$ , where  $n_{\text{key}}$  is the size of the key)
- ▶ Let  $m$  be the maximum size of a bucket. Then the running time of the hash table methods is:

$$\mathbf{insertItem} : T_h + \Theta(1)$$

$$\mathbf{findElement} : T_h + \Theta(m)$$

$$\mathbf{removeItem} : T_h + \Theta(m)$$

Worst case:

$$m = n.$$

- ▶  $m$  depends on **hash function** *and* on **input distribution of keys**.

# Hash functions

**Hash function**  $h$  maps keys to  $\{0, \dots, N - 1\}$ .

Criteria for a good hash function:

- (H1)  $h$  evenly distributes the keys over the range of buckets (hope input keys are well distributed originally) .
- (H2)  $h$  is easy to compute.

# Hash functions

- ▶ Simpler if we start with keys that are already integers.
  - ▶ Trickier if the original key is not Integer type (eg `string`).
- One approach:** Split hash function into:
- ▶ **hash code** and
  - ▶ **compression map**.



## Hash Codes

- ▶ Keys (of *any* type) are just sequences of bits in memory.
- ▶ *Basic idea*: Convert bit representation of key to a binary integer, giving the hash code of the key.
- ▶ *But* computer integers have bounded length (say 32 bits).
  - ▶ consider bit representation of key as *sequence* of 32-bit integers  $a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1}$
- ▶ *Summation method*: Hash code is

$$a_0 + \dots + a_{\ell-1} \bmod N$$

- ▶ *Polynomial method*: Hash code is

$$a_0 + a_1 \cdot x + a_2 \cdot x^2 + \dots + a_{\ell-1} \cdot x^{\ell-1} \bmod N$$

(for some integer  $x$ ).

Sometimes  $N = 2^{32}$ .

# Evaluating Polynomials

*Horner's Rule:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0 + a_1 \cdot x + a_2 \cdot x^2 + \cdots + a_{\ell-1} \cdot x^{\ell-1} \\
 & \quad = \\
 & a_0 + a_1 \cdot x + a_2 \cdot x \cdot x + \cdots + a_{\ell-1} \cdot x \cdot x \cdots x \quad [\Theta(\ell^2) \text{ operations}] \\
 & \quad = \\
 & a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + \cdots + x(a_{\ell-2} + x \cdot a_{\ell-1}))) \quad [\Theta(\ell) \text{ operations}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Has been *proved* to be best possible.

**Note:** Sensible to reduce mod  $N$  after each operation.

**Warning:** Deciding what is a “good hash function” is something of a “**black art**”.

Polynomials look good because it is harder to see regularities (many keys mapping to the same hash value).

**Warning:** we haven't proved anything! For some situations there are bad regularities, usually due to a bad choice of  $N$ .



## Hash functions for character strings

Characters are 7-bit numbers  $(0, \dots, 127)$ .

- ▶  $x = 128, N = 96$ . Bad for small words.  
(because  $\gcd(96, 128) = 32$ . NOT coprime)
- ▶  $x = 128, N = 97$ , good.
- ▶  $x = 127, N = 96$ , good.

# Compression Map

Integer  $k$  is mapped to

$$|ak + b| \bmod N,$$

where  $a, b$  are randomly chosen integers.

Whole point of hashing is to “Compress” (evenly).

Works particularly *well* if  $a, N$  are coprime (*experimental observation only*).

## Quick quiz question

Consider the hash function

$$h(k) = 3k \bmod 9.$$

Suppose we use  $h$  to hash exactly one item for every key  $k = 0, \dots, 9M - 1$  (for some big  $M$ ) into a bucket array with 9 buckets  $B[0], B[1], \dots, B[8]$ . How many items end up in bucket  $B[5]$ ?

1. 0.
2.  $M$ .
3.  $2M$ .
4.  $4M$ .

Answer is 0.

## Load Factors and Re-hashing

- ▶ Number of items:  $n$   
Length of bucket array:  $N$

$$\text{Load factor: } \frac{n}{N}$$

- ▶ High load factor (**definitely**) causes many collisions (large buckets).  
Low load factor - waste of memory space.  
*Good compromise:* Load factor around **3/4**.
- ▶ Choose  $N$  to be a prime number around  $(4/3)n$ .
- ▶ If load factor gets too high or too low, **re-hash** (amortised analysis similar to *dynamic arrays*).

## JVC and HashMap

- ▶ No duplicate keys.
- ▶ will hash many different types of key.
- ▶ User can specify - `initial capacity` (def.  $N=16$ ), `load factor` (def.  $3/4$ ).
- ▶ *Dynamic* Hash table - “re-hash” takes place frequently behind scenes.
- ▶ Different hash functions for different key domains. For `String`, uses polynomial hash code with  $a = 31$ .
- ▶ `Hashtable` is more-or-less identical.

## Reading and Resources

- ▶ If you have [GT]: The “Maps and Dictionaries” chapter.
- ▶ If you have [CLRS]: The “Hash tables” chapter.  
**Nicest:** “Algorithms in Java”, by Robert Sedgewick (3rd ed), chapter 14.
- ▶ Two nice exercises on Lecture Note 4 (handed out).