Reminder: pass criteria

By the end of today, you will have completed your coursework. The two courseworks together account for 25% of the course mark.

The remaining 75% of the course mark is provided by the exam.

For a pass in Inf2A, you need a combined mark of at least 40%.

(No separate exam and coursework hurdles this year.)
The 2018 Inf2A Exam

December exam time and location:

INFR08008 - Informatics 2A
Location: Carrick Suite, Harris Suite, Lomond Suite, Ochil Suite
(Edinburgh International Conference Centre)
Date: Wednesday, 12th December 2018
Time: 2:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.
Duration: 2:00 hours

This is copied from the Registry exam timetable

http://www.scripts.sasg.ed.ac.uk/registry/examinations/

which is the official exam timetable. Make sure that you use this link to double-check all your exam times (including Inf2A). Also, check the exam timetable to know your exact location among the four above.

A resit exam will be held in August 2019.
Exam structure

The exam is pen-and-paper, and lasts 2 hours. Calculators may be used, but you must bring your own. It must be one from an approved list of models specified by College.

The exam consists of:

- Part A: 5 compulsory short questions, worth 10% each. Guideline time per question: 10 minutes
- Part B: a choice of 2 out of 3 longer questions, worth 25% each. Guideline time per question: 30 minutes

The guideline times allow 10 minutes for reading and familiarising yourself with the exam paper.
Part A questions

The 5 compulsory short questions were new in 2012 and replaced 20 multiple-choice questions in previous years.

The questions will be similar in style and length (but not necessarily in topic) to the questions on this week’s Tutorial 9.

The multiple-choice questions of previous years still provide good revision material in terms of coverage of topics.
Examinable material
Examinable material: formal language thread

Lecture 2 (the course roadmap, pipeline for processing PL) should be considered examinable.

All of the material on regular and context-free languages (Lectures 3–14) is examinable, except:

- Use of finite automata in verification (Lecture 7, slides 19–22)
- Specific details of the Micro-Haskell grammar (coursework spec)
- Examples of English palindromes (Lecture 13, slides 18–19)
Examinable material: formal language thread (contd.)

Lecture 28: the *details* semantics of programming languages, in particular MH) may be considered non-examinable. However, you should know the different kinds of semantic models and their role underpinning compilation/interpretation of PL.

Lecture 29 (context-sensitive languages): examinable – but for the context-free pumping lemma, just the general idea of the “proof” will suffice. However, you *do* need to know the statement of the Pumping Lemma for Context-Free languages, and how to use the contrapositive to show that (specific) languages are not context-free. You should know what is allowed/required for production rules for “context sensitive”, and how to design a context sensitive grammar (for example, the one for $a^nb^nc^n$ in the slides).
Examinable material: formal language thread (contd.)

Lecture 30 (Turing machines, linear bounded automata): general ideas and concepts examinable, but not detailed definitions/proofs. Should know e.g. that CSLs correspond to NLBAs, RELs correspond to TMs, and should know some standard examples of languages at each level. Should had an idea of how to build a NLBA (for context-sensitive) or Turing Machine (for unrestricted languages), without necessarily giving all the 5-tuples of the transition relation.

Lecture 31 (undecidability): Should know what ‘decidable’ and ‘semidecidable’ mean, what the Halting Problem is, and that it’s undecidable. The rest is non-examinable.
Kinds of exam question: formal language thread

Broadly speaking, 2 styles of question in exam.

Algorithmic problems: Minimizing DFAs, converting NFA to DFA, executing a PDA, LL(1) parsing using parse table, building First and Follow sets, building parse table for LL(1) . . .

When the algorithm is complex (e.g., minimization, calculating first and follow sets), it may be easier to work with your understanding of the concepts rather than following the algorithm strictly to the letter.

Non-algorithmic problems: Converting DFA to regular expression, designing regular expression patterns, applying pumping lemma (for “regular” or “context free”), designing CFGs, converting CFG to LL(1), parsing using CSG or noncontracting grammar, designing a LBA or a context-sensitive grammar (for a specific language). . . .
Examinable material: natural language thread

The main thing being tested is your ability to apply and understand the methods for solving certain standard kinds of problems.

Algorithmic problems:

- POS tagging via bigrams or Viterbi algorithm (lecture 17).
- CYK and Earley parsing (lectures 20, 21).
- Tree probabilities; probabilistic CYK; inferring probabilities from a corpus; lexicalization of rules (lectures 22, 23).
- Computing semantics, including $\beta$-reduction (lectures 25, 26).
Examinable material: natural language thread (continued)

Non-algorithmic problems (**simple examples only!**)

- Design of a transducer for some morphology parsing task (lecture 15).
- Design of context-free rules for some feature of English. (Includes parameterized rules for agreement — lecture 22.)
- Adding semantic clauses to a given context-free grammar (lectures 25, 26).
- Converting an English sentence to a formula of FOPL (lecture 25).
Examinable material: natural language thread (continued)

General topics

- The language processing pipeline (lecture 2).
- Kinds of ambiguity (lectures 2, 16, 19, 25).
- The Chomsky hierarchy, and where human languages sit (lectures 2, 27).
- The *general idea* of parts of speech (lecture 16).
- Word distribution and Zipf’s law (lecture 16).
- Very basic Python.

The ideas of recursive descent and shift-reduce parsing (lecture 19) are only weakly examinable.
Non-examinable material: natural language thread

- Specific knowledge of linguistics (everything you need will be given in the question).

- Details of particular POS tagsets; ability to do POS tagging by hand (lectures 16, 17).
Follow-on Informatics courses
Compiling techniques (UG3)

Covers the entire language-processing pipeline for programming languages, aiming at effective compilation: translating code in a high-level source language (Java, C, Haskell, . . .) to equivalent code in a low-level target language (machine code, bytecode).

Syllabus includes lexing and parsing from a more practical perspective than in Inf2A.

Majority of course focused on latter stages of language-processing pipeline. Converting lexed and parsed source-language code into equivalent target-language code.

Currently an assignment-only course, no exam: you build a compiler!
Introduction to theoretical computer science (UG3)

This will look at models of computation (register machines, Turing machines, lambda-calculus) and their different influences on computing practice.

One thread will address the boundaries between what is not computable at all (undecidable problems), what is computable in principle (decidable problems), and what is computable in practice (tractable problems). A major goal is to understand the famous $P = NP$ question.

Another thread will look at the influence lambda-calculus has had, as a model of computation, on programming language design and practice, including LISP, OCaml, Haskell and Java.
Natural Languages: what we’ve done, what we haven’t.

NLs are endlessly complex and fascinating. In this course, we have barely scratched the surface.

There’s a world of difference between doing NLP with small toy grammars (as in this course) and wide-coverage grammars intended to cope with real-world speech/text.

- Ambiguity is the norm rather than the exception.
- Empirical and statistical techniques (involving text corpora) come to the fore, as distinct from logical and symbolic ones.

Coping with the richness and complexity of real-world language is still a largely unsolved problem!
**Discourse structure.**

In this course, we haven’t considered any structure above the level of sentences. In practice, higher level discourse structure is crucial. E.g.

![Image](image_url)

The Tin Man went to the Emerald City to see the Wizard of Oz and ask for a heart. Then he waited to see whether he would give it to him.

Or compare:

- John hid Bill’s car keys. He was drunk.
- John hid Bill’s car keys. He likes spinach. (??)
Deep vs. shallow processing.

Roughly, the further we go along the NLP pipeline, the deeper our analysis.

- Many apparently ‘shallow’ NLP tasks (e.g. spell checking; speech transcription) can benefit from the use of ‘deeper’ techniques such as parsing.

- On the other hand, for many seemingly ‘deep’ tasks (e.g. machine translation), current state-of-the-art techniques are surprisingly ‘shallow’ (e.g. use of N-gram techniques with massive corpora).
Follow-on courses in NLP

- **Foundations of Natural Language Processing** [UG3]. Empirical rather than theoretical in focus. Material on text corpora, N-grams, the ‘noisy channel’ model. A bit on the discourse level.

- **Accelerated Natural Language Processing** [UG4]. Delves deeper into some of the standard problems we learned about in the NLP pipeline (some overlap with this course).

- **Natural Language Understanding, Generation and Machine Translation** [UG4]. Considers the LP pipeline much in the spirit of Inf2a, but including more heavy Machine Learning machinery. Surveys both deep and shallow approaches.
Thank you!!

Hope you’ve enjoyed Inf2A, and good luck with the exam!

Please complete the online course questionnaire when it becomes available.