# Automatic generation of LL(1) parsers 

Informatics 2A: Lecture 11

Alex Simpson<br>School of Informatics<br>University of Edinburgh<br>als@inf.ed.ac.uk<br>10 October, 2013

## Generating parse tables

We've seen that if a grammar $\mathcal{G}$ happens to be $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ - i.e. if it admits a parse table - then efficient, deterministic, predictive parsing is possible with the help of a stack.

What's more, if $\mathcal{G}$ is $\operatorname{LL}(1), \mathcal{G}$ is automatically unambiguous.
But how do we tell whether a grammar is $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ ? And if it is, how can we construct a parse table for it?

For very small grammars, might be able to answer these questions by eye inspection. But for realistic grammars, a systematic method is needed.

In this lecture, we give an algorithmic procedure for answering both questions.

## The overall picture



Previous lecture: the $\mathrm{LL}(1)$ parsing algorithm, which works on a parse table and a particular input string.

This lecture: algorithm for getting from a grammar $\mathcal{G}$ to a parse table. The algorithm will succeed if $\mathcal{G}$ is $\operatorname{LL}(1)$, or fail if it isn't. As in previous lecture, assume $\mathcal{G}$ has no 'useless nonterminals'.
Next lecture: ways of getting from a grammar to an equivalent $\mathrm{LL}(1)$ grammar. (Not always possible, but work quite often.)

## First and Follow sets

Two steps to construct a parse table for a given grammar:
(1) For each nonterminal $X$, compute two sets called $\operatorname{First}(X)$ and Follow $(X)$, defined as follows:

- First $(X)$ is the set of all terminals that can appear at the start of a phrase derived from $X$.
[Convention: if $\epsilon$ can be derived from $X$, also include the special symbol $\epsilon$ in $\operatorname{First}(X)$.]
- Follow $(X)$ is the set of all terminals that can appear immediately after $X$ in some sentential form derived from the start symbol $S$.
[Convention: if $X$ can appear at the end of some such sentential form, also include the special symbol $\$$ in Follow ( $X$ ).]
(2) Use these First and Follow sets to fill out the parse table.

The first step is somewhat tricky. The second is easier.

## Two non-clicker questions

- First $(X)$ is the set of all terminals that can appear at the start of a phrase derived from $X$.
[Convention: if $\epsilon$ can be derived from $X$, also include the special symbol $\epsilon$ in $\operatorname{First}(X)$.]
Recall our $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ grammar for well-matched bracket sequences:

$$
S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid T S \quad T \rightarrow(S)
$$

Try to work out each of the two sets below.

$$
\text { First }(T) \quad \text { First }(S)
$$

(1) $\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\}$
© \{ (\}

- $\{(, \epsilon\}$
- $\{(),, \epsilon\}$


## Two more non-clicker questions

- Follow $(X)$ is the set of all terminals that can appear immediately after $X$ in some sentential form derived from the start symbol $S$.
[Convention: if $X$ can appear at the end of some such sentential form, also include $\$$ in Follow $(X)$.]
Again consider the same $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ grammar:

$$
S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid T S \quad T \rightarrow(S)
$$

Try to work out each of the two sets below.
Follow(S)
Follow ( $T$ )
(1) $\{\$\}$
(2) $)\}$
(3) $), \$\}$
(0) $\{(),, \$\}$

## First and Follow sets: an example

Look again at our grammar for well-matched bracket sequences:

$$
S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid T S \quad T \rightarrow(S)
$$

By inspection, we can see that

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { First }(S) & =\{(, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\} & \text { because an } S \text { can begin with (or be empty } \\
\text { First }(T) & =\{( \} & \text { because a } T \text { must begin with ( }
\end{array} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { Follow }(S) & =\{ ), \$\}
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { because within a complete phrase, an } S \\
& \text { can be followed by ) or appear at the end } \\
& \text { Follow }(T)
\end{aligned}=\{(,), \$\} \begin{aligned}
& \text { because a } T \text { can be followed by }(\text { or }) \\
& \text { or appear at the end }
\end{aligned}
$$

Later we'll give a systematic method for computing these sets.
Further convention: take $\operatorname{First}(a)=\{a\}$ for each terminal $a$.

## Filling out the parse table

Once we've got these First and Follow sets, we can fill out the parse table as follows.
For each production $X \rightarrow \alpha$ of $\mathcal{G}$ in turn:

- For each terminal $a$, if $\alpha$ 'can begin with' $a$, insert $X \rightarrow \alpha$ in row $X$, column $a$.
- If $\alpha$ 'can be empty', then for each $b \in$ Follow $(X)$ (where $b$ may be $\$$ ), insert $X \rightarrow \alpha$ in row $X$, column $b$.
If doing this leads to clashes (i.e. two productions fighting for the same table entry) then conclude that the grammar is not $\operatorname{LL}(1)$.

To explain the phrases in blue, suppose $\alpha=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$, where the $x_{i}$ may be terminals or nonterminals.

- $\alpha$ can be empty means $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for every $x_{i}$.
- $\alpha$ can begin with a means that, for some $i$, $\epsilon \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{First}\left(x_{i-1}\right)$, and $a \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{i}\right)$.


## Comments on filling out the parse table

- The case $\alpha=\epsilon$ is counted as a case in which $\alpha$ can be empty.
(This case is implicit in the last slide since $\alpha=\epsilon$ counts as an instance of $\alpha=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ by taking $n=0$, whence the condition " $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for every $x_{i}$ " is vacuously true since there are no $x_{i}$.)
- Similarly, we count $\alpha=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ with $a \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{1}\right)$ as one case in which $\alpha$ can begin with $a$.
(Again this is implicit in the last slide. The condition $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{First}\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ means that $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is contained in all the sets First $\left(x_{1}\right)$, First $\left(x_{2}\right)$ up to First $\left(x_{i-1}\right)$. In the case that $i=1$, we consider the sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}$ as being empty. Thus the condition " $\epsilon \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{First}\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ " is again vacuously true.)


## Filling out the parse table: example

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
S & \rightarrow \epsilon \mid T S & T \rightarrow(S) \\
\operatorname{First}(S) & =\{(, \epsilon\} & \text { Follow }(S) & =\{ ), \$\} \\
\operatorname{First}(T) & =\{( \} & \text { Follow }(T)=\{(,), \$\}
\end{array}
$$

Use this information to fill out the parse table:

- (S) can begin with (, so insert $T \rightarrow(S)$ in entry for $(, T$.
- TS can begin with (, so insert $S \rightarrow T S$ in entry for $(, S$.
- $\epsilon$ can be empty, and Follow $(S)=\{ ), \$\}$, so insert $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ in entries for ), $S$ and $\$, S$.
This gives the parse table we had in the previous lecture:

|  |  | $($ | $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$ | $S \rightarrow T S$ | $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ | $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ |
| $T$ | $T \rightarrow(S)$ |  |  |

## Intermezzo: true or false?

(1) Every $\mathrm{LL}(1)$ grammar is context free.
(2) Every context-free language can be presented using an $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ grammar.
(3) Every regular language can be presented using an $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ grammar.
(9) Every $\mathrm{LL}(1)$ grammar is unambiguous.
(5) Languages defined by $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ grammars can be efficiently parsed.

## Calculating First and Follow sets: preliminary stage

To complete the story, we'd like an algorithm for calculating First and Follow sets.

Easy first step: compute the set $E$ of nonterminals that 'can be $\epsilon$ ':
(1) Start by adding $X$ to $E$ whenever $X \rightarrow \epsilon$ is a production of $\mathcal{G}$.
(2) If $X \rightarrow Y_{1} \ldots Y_{m}$ is a production and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}$ are already in $E$, add $X$ to $E$.
(3) Repeat step 2 until $E$ stabilizes.

Example: for our grammar of well-matched bracket sequences, we have $E=\{S\}$.

## Calculating First sets: the details

(1) Set $\operatorname{First}(a)=\{a\}$ for each $a \in \Sigma$. For each nonterminal $X$, initially set $\operatorname{First}(X)$ to $\{\epsilon\}$ if $X \in E$, or $\emptyset$ otherwise.
(2) For each production $X \rightarrow x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ and each $i \leq n$, if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1} \in E$ and $a \in \operatorname{First}\left(x_{i}\right)$, add $a$ to $\operatorname{First}(X)$.
(3) Repeat step 2 until all First sets stabilize.

Example:

- Start with $\operatorname{First}(S)=\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\}, \operatorname{First}(T)=\emptyset$, etc.
- Consider $T \rightarrow(S)$ with $i=1$ : add ( to $\operatorname{First}(T)$.
- Now consider $S \rightarrow T S$ with $i=1$ : add ( to First(S).
- That's all.


## Calculating Follow sets: the details

(1) Initially set Follow $(S)=\{\$\}$ for the start symbol $S$, and Follow $(X)=\emptyset$ for all other nonterminals $X$.
(2) For each production $X \rightarrow \alpha$, each splitting of $\alpha$ as $\beta Y_{x_{1}} \ldots x_{n}$ where $n \geq 1$, and each $i$ with $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1} \in E$, add all of First $\left(x_{i}\right)$ (excluding $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ) to Follow $(Y)$.
(3) For each production $X \rightarrow \alpha$ and each splitting of $\alpha$ as $\beta Y$ or $\beta Y x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ with $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E$, add all of Follow $(X)$ to Follow $(Y)$.
(4) Repeat step 3 until all Follow sets stabilize.

Example:

- Start with Follow $(S)=\{\$\}$, Follow $(T)=\emptyset$.
- Apply step 2 to $T \rightarrow(S)$ with $i=1$ : add ) to Follow(S).
- Apply step 2 to $S \rightarrow T S$ with $i=1$ : add ( to Follow $(T)$.
- Apply step 3 to $S \rightarrow T S$ with $n=1$ : add ) and $\$$ to $\operatorname{Follow(~} T$ ).
- That's all.


## Parser generators

$\mathrm{LL}(1)$ is representative of a bunch of classes of CFGs that are efficiently parseable. E.g. $\operatorname{LL}(1) \subset \operatorname{LALR} \subset \operatorname{LR}(1)$. These involve various tradeoffs of expressive power vs. efficiency/simplicity.

For such languages, a parser can be generated automatically from a suitable grammar. (E.g. for $\operatorname{LL}(1)$, just need parse table plus fixed 'driver' for the parsing algorithm.)

So we don't need to write parsers ourselves - just the grammar! (E.g. one can basically define the syntax of Java in about 7 pages of context-free rules.)

This is the principle behind parser generators like yacc ('yet another compiler compiler') and java-cup.

## Reading

- Dragon book: Aho, Sethi and Ullman, Compilers: Principles, Techniques and Tools, Section 4.4.
- Tiger book: Andrew Appel, Modern Compiler Implementation in (C|Java | ML).
- Turtle book: Aho and Ullman, Foundations of Computer Science.
- Some relevant lecture notes and a tutorial sheet from previous years are available via the Course Schedule webpage.

