Informatics 1

Functional Programming Lectures 17 and 18 Monday 23 and Tuesday 24 November 2009

IO and Monads

Philip Wadler University of Edinburgh

The 2009 Informatics 1 Competition

- Prize: A bottle of champagne or book token equivalent
- Sponsored by Galois (galois.com)
- List everyone who worked on the entry If you win, do you want Champagne or a book token?
- Deadline: 12pm Friday 27 November 2007 Email to w.b.heijltjes@sms.ed.ac.uk
- You may find some inspiration here:

www.contextfreeart.org

(Thanks to Aleksandar Krastev for the suggestion.)

• Previous year entries are online

http://www.inf.ed.ac.uk/teaching/courses/inf1/fp/#competition

Required reading

Haskell: The Craft of Functional Programming, Second Edition, Simon Thompson, Addison-Wesley, 1999.

Chapters 1–3 (pp. 1–52): by Mon 28 Sep 2009. Chapters 4, 5, & 7 (pp. 53–95, 115–134): by Mon 5 Oct 2009. Chapters 6 & 8 (pp. 96–114, 135–151): by Mon 12 Oct 2009. Chapters 9–11 (pp. 152–209): by Mon 19 Oct 2009. (Class exam) Chapters 12–14 (pp. 210–279): by Mon 2 Nov 2009. Chapters 15–16 (pp. 280–336): by Mon 9 Nov 2009. Chapters 18–19 (pp. 337–435): by Mon 16 Nov 2009. Chapter 20 (pp. 436–441): by Mon 23 Nov 2009.

Thompson and other books available in ITO.

Part I

The Mind-Body Problem

The Mind-Body Problem



THE MECHANICAL PHILOSOPHY



Part II

Commands

Print a character

putChar :: Char -> IO ()

For instance,

putChar '!'

denotes the command that, if it is ever performed, will print an exclamation mark.

Combine two commands

(>>) :: IO () -> IO () -> IO ()

For instance,

```
putChar '?' >> putChar '!'
```

denotes the command that, *if it is ever performed*, prints a question mark followed by an exclamation mark.

Do nothing

done :: IO ()

The term done doesn't actually do nothing; it just specifies the command that, *if it is ever performed*, won't do anything. (Compare thinking about doing nothing to actually doing nothing: they are distinct enterprises.)

Print a string

```
putStr :: String -> IO ()
putStr [] = done
putStr (x:xs) = putChar x >> putStr xs
So putStr "?!" is equivalent to
putChar '?' >> (putChar '!' >> return ())
```

and both of these denote a command that, *if it is ever performed*, prints a question mark followed by an exclamation mark.

Higher-order functions

More compactly, we can define putStr as follows.

putStr :: String -> IO ()
putStr = foldr (>>) done . map putChar

The operator >> has identity done and is associative.

m >> done = m done >> m = m(m >> n) >> o = m >> (n >> o)

Main

By now the you may be desperate to know *how is a command ever performed?* Here is the file Confused.hs:

```
module Confused where
main :: IO ()
main = putStr "!?"
```

Running this program prints an indicator of perplexity:

```
[comrie]wadler: runghc Confused.hs
?![comrie]wadler:
```

Thus main is the link from Haskell's mind to Haskell's body — the analogue of Descartes's pineal gland.

Print a string followed by a newline

```
putStrLn :: String -> IO ()
putStrLn xs = putStr xs >> putChar '\n'
```

Here is the file ConfusedLn.hs:

module ConfusedLn where

main :: IO ()
main = putStrLn "!?"

This prints its result more neatly:

```
[comrie]wadler: runghc ConfusedLn.hs
?!
[comrie]wadler:
```

Part III

Equational reasoning

Equational reasoning lost

This Standard ML program prints "haha" as a side effect. output (std_out, "ha"); output (std_out, "ha")

But this Standar ML program only prints "ha" as a side effect.

let val x = output(std_out, "ha") in x; x end

This Standard ML program again prints "haha" as a side effect.

let fun f () = output(std_out, "ha") in f (); f () end

Equational reasoning regained

In Haskell, the term

(1+2) * (1+2)

and the term

let x = 1+2 in $x \star x$

are equivalent (and both evaluate to 9).

In Haskell, the term

putString "ha" >> putString "ha"

and the term

let m = putString "ha" in m >> m

are also entirely equivalent (and both print "haha").

Part IV

Commands with values

Read a character

Previously, we wrote IO () for the type of commands that yield no value. In Haskell, () is the trivial type that contains just one non-bottom value, which is also written ().

We write IO Char for the type of commands that yield a value of type Char.

Here is a function to read a character.

getChar :: IO Char

Performing the command getChar when the input contains "abc" yields the value 'a' and remaining input "bc".

Do nothing and return a value

More generally, we write IO a for commands that return a value of type a.

The command

return :: a -> IO a

is similar to done, in that it does nothing, but it also returns the given value.

Performing the command

return [] :: IO String

when the input contains "def" yields the value [] and an unchanged input "def".

Combining commands with values

We combine command with an operator written >>= and pronounced "bind".

(>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b

For example, performing the command

getChar >>= $\x \rightarrow$ putChar (toUpper x)

when the input is "abc" produces the output "A", and the remaining input is "bc".

The "bind" operator in detail (>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b If m :: IO a

is a command yielding a value of type a, and

k :: a \rightarrow IO b

is a function from a value of type a to a command yielding a value of type b, then

m >>= k :: IO b

is the command that, *if it is ever performed*, behaves as follows:

first perform command m yielding a value x of type a; then perform command k x yielding a value y of type b; then yield the final value y.

Reading a line

Here is a program to read the input until a newline is encountered, and to return a list of the values read.

```
getLine :: IO String
getLine = getChar >>= \x ->
    if x == '\n' then
        return []
    else
        getLine >>= \xs ->
        return (x:xs)
```

For example, given the input "abc\ndef" This returns the string "abc" and the remaining input is "def".

Commands as a special case

The general operations on commands are:

return :: a -> IO a (>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b

The command done is a special case of return, and the operator >> is a special case of >>=.

An analogue of "let"

Although it may seem odd at first sight, this combinator is reassuringly similar to the familiar Haskell "let" expression. Here is a type rule for "let".

Typically, "bind" is combined with lambda expressions in a way that resembles "let" expressions. Here is the corresponding type rule.

Echoing input to output

This program echos its input to its output, putting everything in upper case, until an empty line is entered.

```
echo :: IO ()
echo = getLine >>= \line ->
    if line == "" then
        return ()
    else
        putStrLn (map toUpper line) >>
        echo
main :: IO ()
```

```
main = echo
```

Testing it out

[comrie]wadler: runghc Echo.hs
One line
ONE LINE
And, another line!
AND, ANOTHER LINE!
[comrie]wadler:

Part V

"Do" notation

Reading a line in "do" notation

```
getLine :: IO String
getLine = getChar >>= \x ->
    if x == '\n' then
        return []
    else
        getLine >>= \xs ->
        return (x:xs)
```

is equivalent to

```
getLine :: IO String
getLine = do {
    x <- getChar;
    if x == '\n' then
        return []
    else do {
        xs <- getLine;
        return (x:xs)
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

Echoing in "do" notation

```
echo :: IO ()
echo = getLine >>= \line ->
    if line == "" then
        return ()
    else
        putStrLn (map toUpper line) >>
        echo
```

is equivalent to

```
echo :: IO ()
echo = do {
    line <- getLine;
    if line == "" then
        return ()
        else do {
            putStrLn (map toUpper line);
            echo
            }
        }
</pre>
```

"Do" notation in general

Each line $x \le e$; ... becomes $e >>= \x ->$... Each line e; ... becomes e >> ...

For example,

is equivalent to

e1 >>= \x1 -> e2 >>= \x2 -> e3 >> e4 >>= \x4 -> e5 >> e6

Part VI

Monads

Monoids

A *monoid* is a pair of an operator (@@) and a value u, where the operator has the value as identity and is associative.

u @@ x = x x @@ u = x (x @@ y) @@ z = x @@ (y @@ z)

Examples of monoids:

(+) and 0
 (*) and 1
(||) and False
 (&&) and True
 (++) and []
 (>>) and done

Monads

We know that (>>) and done satisfy the laws of a *monoid*.

done >> m = m m >> done = m(m >> n) >> o = m >> (n >> o)

Similarly, (>>=) and return satisfy the laws of a monad.

return v >>= $\langle x \rightarrow m$ = m[x:=v] $m \rightarrow x \rightarrow return x$ = m $(m \rightarrow x \rightarrow n) \rightarrow x \rightarrow 0$ = $m \rightarrow x \rightarrow (n \rightarrow x \rightarrow 0)$

Laws of Let

We know that (>>) and done satisfy the laws of a *monoid*.

done >> m = m m >> done = m(m >> n) >> o = m >> (n >> o)

Similarly, (>>=) and return satisfy the laws of a monad.

return v >>= $\langle x \rightarrow m$ = m[x:=v] $m \rightarrow x \rightarrow return x$ = m $(m \rightarrow x \rightarrow n) \rightarrow x \rightarrow 0$ = $m \rightarrow x \rightarrow (n \rightarrow x \rightarrow 0)$

The three monad laws have analogues in "let" notation.

"Let" in languages with and without effects

These laws hold even in a language such as SML, where the presence of side effects disables many forms of equational reasoning. For the first law to be true, ∇ must be not an arbitrary term but a *value*, such as a constant. A value immediately evaluates to itself, hence it can have no side effects.

While in SML one only has the above three laws for "let", in Haskell one has a much stronger law, where one may replace a variable by any term, rather than by any value.

let x = m in n = n[x:=m]

Part VII

Roll your own monad—IO

The Monad type class

class Monad m where
 return :: a -> m a
 (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b

My own IO monad (1)

```
module MyIO(MyIO, myPutChar, myGetChar, convert) where
```

```
type Input = String
type Remainder = String
type Output = String
data MyIO a = MyIO (Input -> (a, Remainder, Output))
apply :: MyIO a -> Input -> (a, Remainder, Output)
apply (MyIO f) inp = f inp
```

Note that the type MyIO is abstract. The only operations on it are the monad operations, myPutChar, myGetChar, and convert. The operation apply is not exported from the module.

My own IO monad (2)

```
myPutChar :: Char -> MyIO ()
myPutChar c = MyIO (\inp -> ((), inp, [c]))
myGetChar :: MyIO Char
myGetChar = MyIO (\(ch:rem) -> (ch, rem, ""))
```

For example,

apply myGetChar "abc" == ('a', "bc", "")
apply myGetChar "bc" == ('b', "c", "")
apply (myPutChar 'A') "def" == ((), "def", "A")
apply (myPutChar 'B') "def" == ((), "def", "B")

My own IO monad (3)

```
instance Monad MyIO where
return x = MyIO (\inp -> (x, inp, ""))
m >>= k = MyIO (\inp ->
let (x, rem1, out1) = apply m inp in
let (y, rem2, out2) = apply (k x) rem1 in
(y, rem2, out1++out2))
```

For example

```
apply
 (myGetChar >>= \x -> myGetChar >>= \y -> return [x,y])
 "abc"
== ("ab", "c", "")
apply
 (myPutChar 'A' >> myPutChar 'B')
 "def"
== ((), "def", "AB")
apply
 (myGetChar >>= \x myPutChar (toUpper x))
 "abc"
== ((), "bc", "A")
```

My own IO monad (4)

Here

```
interact :: (String -> String) -> IO ()
```

is part of the standard prelude. The entire input is converted to a string (lazily) and passed to the function, and the result from the function is printed as output (also lazily).

Using my own IO monad (1)

module MyEcho where

```
import Char
import MyIO
```

```
myPutStr :: String -> MyIO ()
myPutStr = foldr (>>) (return ()) . map myPutChar
```

```
myPutStrLn :: String -> MyIO ()
myPutStrLn s = myPutStr s >> myPutChar '\n'
```

Using my own IO monad (2)

```
myGetLine :: MyIO String
myGetLine = myGetChar >>= \x ->
             if x == ' \setminus n' then
                return []
              else
                myGetLine >>= \xs ->
                return (x:xs)
myEcho :: MyIO ()
myEcho = myGetLine >>= \line ->
          if line == "" then
            return ()
          else
            myPutStrLn (map toUpper line) >>
            myEcho
main :: IO ()
main = convert myEcho
```

Trying it out

[comrie]wadler: runghc MyEcho
This is a test.
THIS IS A TEST.
It is only a test.
IT IS ONLY A TEST.
Were this a real emergency, you'd be dead now.
WERE THIS A REAL EMERGENCY, YOU'D BE DEAD NOW.

[comrie]wadler:

You can use "do" notation, too

```
myGetLine :: MyIO String
myGetLine = do {
                 x <- myGetChar;</pre>
                 if x == ' \setminus n' then
                    return []
                 else do {
                    xs <- myGetLine;</pre>
                    return (x:xs)
                  }
               }
myEcho :: MyIO ()
myEcho = do {
              line <- myGetLine;</pre>
              if line == "" then
                return ()
              else do {
                myPutStrLn (map toUpper line);
                myEcho
              }
```

Part VIII

The monad of lists

The monad of lists

Equivalently, we can define:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & -- & [] & >>= & k & = & [] \\ & -- & (x:xs) & >>= & k & = & (k x) & ++ & (xs >>= & k) \end{array}$$

or

-- m >>= k = concat (map k m)

'Do' notation and the monad of lists

```
pairs :: Int -> [(Int, Int)]
pairs n = [ (i,j) | i <- [1..n], j <- [(i+1)..n] ]</pre>
```

is equivalent to

```
pairs' :: Int -> [(Int, Int)]
pairs' n = do {
    i <- [1..n];
    j <- [(i+1)..n];
    return (i,j)
    }</pre>
```

For example,

```
[comrie]wadler: ghci Pairs
GHCi, version 6.10.4: http://www.haskell.org/ghc/ :? for help
Pairs> pairs 4
[(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4),(3,4)]
Pairs> pairs' 4
[(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4),(3,4)]
```

Monads with sum

- -- mzero :: m a
- -- mplus :: m a -> m a -> m a

-- instance MonadPlus [] where

- -- mzero :: [a]
- -- mzero = []
- -- mplus :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
- -- mplus = (++)
- -- guard :: MonadPlus => Bool \rightarrow m ()
- -- guard False = mzero
- -- guard True = return ()
- -- msum :: MonadPlus => [m a] -> m a -- msum = foldr mplus mzero

Using guards

```
pairs'' :: Int -> [(Int, Int)]
pairs'' n = [ (i,j) | i <- [1..n], j <- [1..n], i < j ]</pre>
```

is equivalent to

For example,

```
[comrie]wadler: ghci Pairs
GHCi, version 6.10.4: http://www.haskell.org/ghc/ :? for help
Pairs> pairs'' 4
[(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4),(3,4)]
Pairs> pairs''' 4
[(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4),(3,4)]
```

Part IX

The monad of parsers

Module ParseMonad

module ParseMonad(Parser, apply, parse, char, spot, token, star, plus, parseInt) where

```
import Char
import Monad
```

```
-- The type of parsers
data Parser a = Parser (String -> [(a, String)])
```

```
-- Apply a parser
apply :: Parser a -> String -> [(a, String)]
apply (Parser f) s = f s
```

-- Return parsed value, assuming at least one successful parse
parse :: Parser a -> String -> a
parse m s = head [x | (x,t) <- apply m s, t == ""]</pre>

Parser is a Monad

```
-- Parsers form a monad
-- class Monad m where
       return :: a -> m a
___
       (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
__
-- return replaces succ
-- (>>=) replaces (***)
instance Monad Parser where
 return x = Parser (\langle s - \rangle [(x, s)])
 m >>= k = Parser (\s ->
                 [ (y, u) |
                    (x, t) <- apply m s,
```

```
(y, u) <- apply (k x) t ])
```

Parser is a Monad with Plus

-- Some monads have additional structure

 class MonadPlus m where
 mzero :: m a
 mplus :: m a -> m a -> m a
 mzero replaces fail

-- mplus replaces (+++)

instance MonadPlus Parser where mzero = Parser (\s -> []) mplus m n = Parser (\s -> apply m s ++ apply n s)

Spotting a character

```
-- Create a parser from a predicate function (e.g. isDigit)
spot :: (Char -> Bool) -> Parser Char
spot p = Parser f
where
f [] = []
f (c:s) | p c = [(c, s)]
| otherwise = []
-- Create a parser for a particular character
token c = spot (==c)
```

Parsing characters

```
-- Parse a single character
char :: Parser Char
char = Parser f
 where
 f [] = []
  f(c:s) = [(c,s)]
-- Parse a character satisfying a predicate (e.g., isDigit)
spot :: (Char -> Bool) -> Parser Char
spot p = do \{ c < - char; guard (p c); return c \}
-- Parse a given character
token :: Char -> Parser Char
token c = spot (== c)
```

Parsing a list

-- match zero or more occurrences
star :: Parser a -> Parser [a]
star p = plus p 'mplus' return []

Parsing an integer

```
parseInt :: Parser Int
parseInt = parseNat `mplus` parseNeg
```

Module ExprMonad

```
module ExprMonad where
```

Parsing an expression

```
expr :: Parser Expr
expr = parseCon 'mplus' parseAdd 'mplus' parseMul
 where
 parseCon = do { i <- parseInt;</pre>
                   return (Con i) }
 parseAdd = do { token '(';
                  d <- expr;
                  token '+';
                   e <- expr;
                   token ')';
                   return (d :+: e) }
 parseMul = do { token '(';
                  d <- expr;
                  token '*';
                  e <- expr;
                   token ')';
                   return (d :*: e) }
```

Testing the parser

```
[comrie]wadler: ghci ExprMonad.hs
GHCi, version 6.10.4: http://www.haskell.org/ghc/ :? for help
[1 of 2] Compiling ParseMonad ( ParseMonad.hs, interpreted
[2 of 2] Compiling ExprMonad, ParseMonad.
*ExprMonad> loaded: ExprMonad, ParseMonad.
*ExprMonad> parse expr "(1+(2*3))"
Con 1 :+: (Con 2 :*: Con 3)
*ExprMonad> eval (parse expr "(1+(2*3))")
*ExprMonad> parse expr "((1+2)*3)"
(Con 1 :+: Con 2) :*: Con 3
*ExprMonad> eval (parse expr "((1+2)*3)")
*ExprMonad> eval (parse expr "((1+2)*3)")
*ExprMonad> eval (parse expr "((1+2)*3)")
```

Part X

The monad of state

The State Monad

```
module StateMonad where
data State s a = State (s -> (a,s))
apply :: State s a -> s -> (a,s)
apply (State f) s = f s
instance Monad (State s) where
return x = State (\s -> (x,s))
m >>= k = State (\s ->
let (x,t) = apply m s in
let (y,u) = apply (k x) t in
(y,u))
```

Random numbers

module RandomState where

import StateMonad
import Random

Converting between monads

```
-- newStdGen :: IO StdGen
io :: State StdGen a -> IO a
io m = do {
    stdgen <- newStdGen;
    let (x, stdgen') = apply m stdgen in
        return x
    }</pre>
```

Putting it all together

```
main :: IO ()
main = do {
    xs <- io (chooseMany 5)
    print xs;
    ys <- io (chooseMany 5)
    print ys
}</pre>
```

Here is a sample run:

```
[comrie]wadler: runghc RandomState.hs
[615674669,1843321250,709512427,880597852,433062387]
[560955837,1086298589,1424808266,959935653,780335811]
[comrie]wadler:
```

Part XI

Sequence

Sequence

This is part of the standard prelude.

Parser monad, match a given string

is equivalent to

match'	::	Str	ing	->	Par	ser	String	
match'	XS	=	sec	quer	nce	(map	token	xs)

State monad, choose many random numbers

is equivalent to

chooseMany' :: Int -> State StdGen [Int]
chooseMany' n = sequence (replicate n chooseOne)