## NFA and regex



- $\varepsilon$-transitions
- regular expressions


## Two examples



Input sequence is accepted if it ends with a zero.


Input sequence is accepted if it ends with a one.

The complement of a DFA regular language is DFA regular


## Lo: even numbers $=0 \bmod 2$

## $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ : odd numbers

$=1 \bmod 2$

Three examples
Which


Which binary numbers are accepted?

|  | $\times 2$ | $\times 2+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bmod 3$ | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |



The complement of a DFA regular language is DFA regular


If $A \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ is recognised by M then $\overline{\mathrm{A}}=\Sigma^{\star} \backslash \mathrm{A}$ is recognised by $\bar{M}$ where $\bar{M}$ and $M$ are identical except that the accepting states of $\bar{M}$ are the nonaccepting states of M and vice-versa

## By three or not by three?


divisible by three

not
divisible by three

The intersection of two DFA regular languages is DFA regular

$\mathrm{L}_{0}=0 \bmod 3$
$L_{1}=1 \bmod 3$
$\mathrm{L}_{2}=2 \bmod 3$

## The intersection of two DFA regular languages is DFA regular


divisible by 6
divisible by 2 and
divisible by 3

The intersection of two DFA-regular languages is DFA-regular


Run both machines in parallel?

Build one machine that simulates two machines running in parallel!

Keep track of the state of each machine.

The intersection of two DFA-regular languages is DFA-regular


intersection of languages
run the two machines in parallel when a string is in both languages, both are in an accepting state
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intersection of two regular languages is regular

union of languages
run the two machines in parallel when a string is in the union of the two languages, either or both are in an accepting state
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## union of two regular languages is regular



## The DFA-regular languages $A \subseteq \Sigma^{\star}$ form a Boolean Algebra

- Since they are closed under intersection and complement.


## The DFA-regular languages $A \subseteq \Sigma^{\star}$ form a Boolean Algebra

Are the DFA-regular languages closed under concatenation R S and iteration ()* ?, we define non-deterministic NFA

- FSM with $\varepsilon$-transitions and show that:
for each regex $p$ there is an NFA that accepts exactly the strings matching $p$ every NFA is equivalent to some FSM every FSM is equivalent to some DFA


NFA any number of start states and accepting states



An FSM accepts a word iff there is a trace from some start state $q_{0}$ to some finish state $q_{n}$ along transitions that spell out the word


An FSM accepts a word iff there is a trace from some start state $q_{0}$ to some finish state $q_{n}$ along transitions that spell out the word


An FSM accepts a string iff there is a trace from some start state $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ to some finish state $q_{n}$ along transitions that spell out the string


An $\varepsilon$-FSM accepts a string iff there is a trace from some start state qo to some finish state $q_{n}$ whose non- $\varepsilon$ transitions spell out the string


An $\varepsilon$-FSM accepts a string iff there is a trace from some start state qo to some finish state $q_{n}$ whose non- $\varepsilon$ transitions spell out the string


An $\varepsilon$-FSM accepts a string iff there is a trace from some start state qo to some finish state $q_{n}$ whose non- $\varepsilon$ transitions spell out the string

If $R \subseteq(\Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\})^{\star}$ is a regular language with the alphabet $\Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ (where $\varepsilon \notin \Sigma$ ) then $R / / \varepsilon=\{s / / \varepsilon \mid s \in R\}$ is regular where $s / / \varepsilon$ is
the result of removing every $\varepsilon$ from $s$
often 'explained’ as
$\varepsilon$ stands for the empty string
today we will use this theorem tomorrow we will prove it

## $a^{*}$


b*
$>{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{b}$
$a * \mid b *$
??

## (a|b) * <br> $>00 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$

$a * \mid b *$
??

## $a * \mid b *$



## $a * \mid b *$



## $\varepsilon-N F A$

any number of start and finish states
$\varepsilon$ - transitions 'hidden actions' 'matching the empty string'


## sequence <br> RS



## alternation $\mathrm{R} \mid \mathrm{S}$


iteration $\mathrm{R}^{*}$


## regular expressions

## each regex is a pattern that matches a set of strings

- any character is a regex
- matches itself
- if $R$ and $S$ are regex, $s o$ is $R S$
- matches a match for R followed by a match for S
- if $R$ and $S$ are regex, so is $R \mid S$
- matches any match for R or $\mathbf{S}$ (or both)
$\bullet$ if $R$ is a regex, so is $R^{*}$
matches any sequence of 0 or more matches for $R$

- The algebra of regular expressions also includes elements 0 and 1
- $0=\varnothing$ matches nothing; $1=\Sigma *$ matches everything
- $\varepsilon=\varnothing *$ matches the empty string

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
0|R=R| 0=R & 1|R=R| 1=1 \\
0 R=R 0=0 & \varepsilon R=R \varepsilon=R \\
\varepsilon=0^{*} & A^{*}=\varepsilon\left|A A^{*}=\varepsilon\right| A^{*} A
\end{array}
$$

the language of strings that match a regex, $R$, is recognised by some $\varepsilon$-FSM
regular language $\equiv$ recognised by some FSM
DFA regular languages - closed under Boolean operation
$\varepsilon$-FSM-regular languages - closed under regex operations
regex languages - strings matching some regex

> FSM DFA $\varepsilon-F S M$
> all recognise the same languages
every regular language is defined by some regex
regex languages are closed under Boolean operations

