Perceptrons

- Connectionism is a computer modeling approach inspired by neural networks.
- Anatomy of a connectionist model: units, connections
- The Perceptron as a linear classifier.
- A learning algorithm for Perceptrons.
- **Key limitation**: only works for linearly separable data.
MLPs are feed-forward neural networks, organized in layers.

- One input layer, one or more hidden layers, one output layer.
- Each node in a layer connected to all other nodes in next layer.
- Each connection has a weight (can be zero).

**Activation Functions**

- **Step function**: Outputs 0 or 1.
- **Sigmoid function**: Outputs a real value between 0 and 1.

**Learning with MLPs**

- As with perceptrons, finding the right weights is very hard!
- Solution technique: learning!
- Learning: adjusting the weights based on training examples.
Supervised Learning

General Idea

1. Send the MLP an input pattern, \( x \), from the training set.
2. Get the output from the MLP, \( y \).
3. Compare \( y \) with the “right answer”, or target \( t \), to get the error quantity.
4. Use the error quantity to modify the weights, so next time \( y \) will be closer to \( t \).
5. Repeat with another \( x \) from the training set.

When updating weights after seeing \( x \), the network doesn’t just change the way it deals with \( x \), but other inputs too ... Inputs it has not seen yet! Generalization is the ability to deal accurately with unseen inputs.

Learning and Error Minimization

Recall: Perceptron Learning Rule

Minimize the difference between the actual and desired outputs:

\[ w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta(t - o)x_i \]

Error Function: Mean Squared Error (MSE)

An error function represents such a difference over a set of inputs:

\[ E(\vec{w}) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (t^p - o^p)^2 \]

- \( N \) is the number of patterns
- \( t^p \) is the target output for pattern \( p \)
- \( o^p \) is the output obtained for pattern \( p \)
- the 2 makes little difference, but makes life easier later on!

Gradient Descent

One technique that can be used for minimizing functions is gradient descent.
Can we use this on our error function \( E \)?
We would like a learning rule that tells us how to update weights, like this:

\[ w_{ij}' = w_{ij} + \Delta w_{ij} \]

But what should \( \Delta w_{ij} \) be?

Gradient and Derivatives: The Idea

- The derivative is a measure of the rate of change of a function, as its input changes;
- For function \( y = f(x) \), the derivative \( \frac{dy}{dx} \) indicates how much \( y \) changes in response to changes in \( x \);
- If \( x \) and \( y \) are real numbers, and if the graph of \( y \) is plotted against \( x \), the derivative measures the slope or gradient of the line at each point, i.e., it describes the steepness or incline.
Gradient and Derivatives: The Idea

- \( \frac{dy}{dx} > 0 \) implies that \( y \) increases as \( x \) increases. If we want to find the minimum \( y \), we should reduce \( x \).
- \( \frac{dy}{dx} < 0 \) implies that \( y \) decreases as \( x \) increases. If we want to find the minimum \( y \), we should increase \( x \).
- \( \frac{dy}{dx} = 0 \) implies that we are at a minimum or maximum or a plateau. To get closer to the minimum:
  \[ x_{\text{new}} = x_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{dy}{dx} \]

So, we know how to use derivatives to adjust one input value. But we have several weights to adjust!
We need to use partial derivatives.
A partial derivative of a function of several variables is its derivative with respect to one of those variables, with the others held constant.

Example

If \( y = f(x_1, x_2) \), then we can have \( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} \) and \( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \).

In our learning rule case, if we can work out the partial derivatives, we can use this rule to update the weights:

\[ w'_{ij} = w_{ij} + \Delta w_{ij} \]

where \( \Delta w_{ij} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}} \).

Summary So Far

- We learnt what a multilayer perceptron is.
- We know a learning rule for updating weights in order to minimise the error:
  \[ w'_{ij} = w_{ij} + \Delta w_{ij} \]

  \[ \text{where } \Delta w_{ij} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}} \]

- \( \Delta w_{ij} \) tells us in which direction and how much we should change each weight to roll down the slope (descend the gradient) of the error function \( E \).
- So, how do we calculate \( \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}} \)?

Using Gradient Descent to Minimize the Error

The mean squared error function \( E \), which we want to minimize:

\[ E(\vec{w}) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (t^p - o^p)^2 \]
Using Gradient Descent to Minimize the Error

If we use a sigmoid activation function \( f \), then the output of neuron \( i \) for pattern \( p \) is:

\[
o^p_i = f(u_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{au_i}}
\]

where \( a \) is a pre-defined constant and \( u_i \) is the result of the input function in neuron \( i \):

\[
u_i = \sum_j w_{ij} x_{ij}
\]

We can update weights after processing each pattern, using rule:

\[
\Delta w_{ij} = \eta (t^p_i - o^p_i) f'(u_i) x_{ij}
\]

This is known as the generalized delta rule.

We need to use the derivative of the activation function \( f \).

So, \( f \) must be differentiable! The threshold activation function is not continuous, thus not differentiable!

Sigmoid has a derivative which is easy to calculate.

Using Gradient Descent to Minimize the Error

For the \( p \)th pattern and the \( i \)th neuron, we use gradient descent on the error function:

\[
\Delta w_{ij} = -\eta \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial w_{ij}} = \eta (t^p_i - o^p_i) f'(u_i) x_{ij}
\]

where \( f'(u_i) = \frac{df}{du_i} \) is the derivative of \( f \) with respect to \( u_i \). If \( f \) is the sigmoid function, \( f'(u_i) = af(u_i)(1 - f(u_i)) \).

Updating Output vs Hidden Neurons

We can update output neurons using the generalize delta rule:

\[
\Delta w_{ij} = \eta \delta^p_i x_{ij}
\]

\[
\delta^p_i = (t^p_i - o^p_i) f'(u_i)
\]

This \( \delta^p_i \) is only good for the output neurons, since it relies on target outputs. But we don’t have target output for the hidden nodes! What can we use instead?

\[
\delta^p_i = \sum_k w_{ki} \delta^k f'(u_i)
\]

This rule propagates error back from output nodes to hidden nodes. If effect, it blames hidden nodes according to how much influence they had. So, now we have rules for updating both output and hidden neurons!
1. Present the pattern at the input layer.

2. Let hidden units evaluate their output using the pattern.

3. Let output units evaluate their output using the result in step 2 (from hidden units).

4. Apply target pattern to output layer.
Calculate $\delta$s on the output nodes.

Train each output node using gradient descent.

Calculate $\delta$ for each hidden node.

For each hidden node use the $\delta$ found in step 7 to train according to gradient descent.
Online Backpropagation

1: Initialize all weights to small random values.
2: repeat
3: for each training example do
4: Forward propagate the input features of the example to determine the MLP’s outputs.
5: Back propagate error to generate $\Delta w_{ij}$ for all weights $w_{ij}$.
6: Update the weights using $\Delta w_{ij}$.
7: end for
8: until stopping criteria reached.

Summary

- We learnt what a multilayer perceptron is.
- We have some intuition about using gradient descent on an error function.
- We know a learning rule for updating weights in order to minimize the error: $\Delta w_{ij} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}}$.
- If we use the squared error, we get the generalized delta rule: $\Delta w_{ij} = \eta \delta_i^p x_j$.
- We know how to calculate $\delta_i^p$ for output and hidden layers.
- We can use this rule to learn an MLP’s weights using the backpropagation algorithm.

Next lecture: a neural network model of the past tense.