IAML: Regularization and Ridge Regression

Nigel Goddard and Victor Lavrenko School of Informatics

Semester 1

Regularization

- Regularization is a general approach to add a "complexity parameter" to a learning algorithm. Requires that the model parameters be continuous. (i.e., Regression OK, Decision trees not.)
- If we penalize polynomials that have large values for their coefficients we will get less wiggly solutions

$$\tilde{E}(\mathbf{w}) = |\mathbf{y} - \Phi \mathbf{w}|^2 + \lambda |\mathbf{w}|^2$$

Solution is

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}} = (\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{y}$$

- This is known as ridge regression
- Rather than using a discrete control parameter like M (model order) we can use a continuous parameter λ
- Caution: Don't shrink the bias term! (The one that corresponds to the all 1 feature.)

Regularized Loss Function



Credit: Geoff Hinton

- The overall cost function is the sum of two parabolic bowls.
 The sum is also a parabolic bowl.
- The combined minimum lies on the line between the minimum of the squared error and the origin.
- The regularizer just shrinks the weights.

The effect of regularization for M = 9



Figure credit: Chris Bishop, PRML





Chris Bishop, PRML

For standard linear regression, we had

- Define the task: regression
- Decide on the model structure: linear regression model
- Decide on the score function: squared error (likelihood)
- Decide on optimization/search method to optimize the score function: calculus (analytic solution)

But with ridge regression we have

- Define the **task**: regression
- Decide on the **model structure**: linear regression model
- Decide on the score function: squared error with quadratic regularizaton
- Decide on optimization/search method to optimize the score function: calculus (analytic solution)

Notice how you can train the same model structure with different score functions. This is the first time we have seen this. This is important.

- Regularization was a way of adding a "capacity control" parameter.
- But how do we set the value? e.g., the regularization parameter λ
- Won't work to do it on the training set (why not?)
- Two choices to consider:
 - Validation set
 - Cross-validation

- Split the labelled data into a training set, validation set, and a test set.
- Training set: Use for training
- Validation set: Tune the "control parameters" according to performance on the validation set
- Test set: to check how the final model performs
- No right answers, but for example, could choose 60% training, 20% validation, 20% test

Consider polynomial regression:

- 1. For each m = 1, 2, ..., M (you choose M in advance
- 2. Train the polynomial regression using $\phi(x) = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x_m)^T$ on training set (e.g., by minimizing squared error). This produces a predictor $f_m(\mathbf{x})$.
- 3. Measure the error of f_m on the validation set
- 4. End for
- 5. Choose the f_m with the best validation error.
- 6. Measure the error of f_m on the test set to see how well you should expect it to perform

- For a discrete control parameter like polynomial order m we could simply search all values.
- What about a quadratic regularization parameter λ. What do we do then?

- For a discrete control parameter like polynomial order m we could simply search all values.
- What about a quadratic regularization parameter λ. What do we do then?
- ► Pick a grid of values to search. In practice you want the grid to vary geometrically for this sort of parameter. e.g., Try λ ∈ {0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0}. Don't bother trying 2.0, 3.0, 7.0.