Genetic Algorithms and Genetic Programming

Lecture 14: (13/11/09)

Particle Swarm Optimization



Michael Herrmann

michael.herrmann@ed.ac.uk, phone: 0131 6 517177, Informatics Forum 1.42

Overview

- I. GA (1-7)
- II. GP (8-10)
- III. ACO (11-13): Ant colony optimization
- IV. PSO (14-15): Particle swarm optimization and differential evolution
- V. NC (16): Overview on DNA computing, Membrane computing, Molecular computing, Amorphous computing, Organic computing,
- VI. Wrapping up: Metaheuristic search (17)

Not included:

artificial neural networks, quantum computing, cellular automata, artificial immune systems

Relation to other algorithms: Model-Based Search



E.g. in ACO:

- Model: pheromone matrix
- Sample: ants following pheromone traces
- Learning: pheromone update
- Auxilary memory: best-so-far solution

MBS approach with memory

Zlochrin, Birattari, Meuleau, Dorigo: Model-based Search for Combinatorial Optimization: A Critical Survey. Annals of Operations Research 2004.

GA as MBS

- Generate new solutions using the current probabilistic model
- Replace (some of) the old solutions by the new ones.
- Modify the model using the new population.



GA as MBS

- Probabilistic simulation of a genetic algorithm with tournament selection
- Probabilistic model of the population: individual are generated by biased draws based on a probability vector. E.g. if the vector entry p_i is 0.9 it is likely to have a 1 at position i in this individual's string.
- Tournament selection: Choose two individuals a and b

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } a_i \neq b_i \text{ then} \\ \text{if } a_i = 1 \quad \text{then} \quad p_i \leftarrow p_i + 1/n \\ \text{else} \quad p_i \leftarrow p_i - 1/n \end{array}$$

- The model is updated by $p_i \leftarrow p_i + \frac{1}{n}(a_i - b_i)$

GA as MBS

- Bits in the genome were chosen independently. What about schemata?
- Modeling dependencies between string positions e.g.
 - learning a chain distribution as in ACO starting at the first character of the string and setting the next one by a conditional probability
 - by a matrix of pair-wise joint frequencies
 - by a forest of mutually independent dependency trees
- In order to capture the essential idea of GA (building blocks the probabilistic model must be different from the ACO model (i.e. the pheromone matrix + update)

Swarm intelligence

- Collective intelligence: A super-organism
 emerges from the interaction of individuals
- The super-organism has abilities that are not present in the individuals ('is more intelligent')
- "The whole is more than the sum of its parts"
- Mechanisms: Cooperation and competition ... and communication
- Examples: Social animals, smart mobs, immune system, neural networks, internet, swarm robotics

Beni, G., Wang, J. Swarm Intelligence in Cellular Robotic Systems, Proc. NATO Adv. Workshop on Robots and Biological Systems, Tuscany, Italy, 26–30/6 (1989)

Swarm intelligence: Application areas

- Biological and social modeling
- Movie effects
- Dynamic optimization
 - routing optimization
 - structure optimization
 - data mining, data clustering
- Organic computing
- Swarm robotics

Swarms in robotics and biology

- Robotics/Al
 - Main interest in pattern synthesis
 - Self-organization
 - Self-reproduction
 - Self-healing
 - Self-configuration
 - Construction

- Biology/Sociology
 - Main interest in pattern analysis
 - Recognizing best pattern
 - Optimizing path
 - Minimal conditions
 - not "what", but "why"
 - Modeling

Dumb parts, properly connected into a swarm, yield smart results.

Kevin Kelly

Complex behaviour from simple rules

Rule 1: Separation Avoid Collision with neighboring agents

Rule 2: Alignment Match the velocity of neighboring agents

Rule 3: Cohesion Stay near neighboring agents







Towards a computational principle

- Evaluate your present position
- Compare it to your previous best and neighborhood best
- Imitate self and others

Hypothesis: There are two major sources of cognition, namely, own experience and communication from others. Leon Festinger, 1954/1999, Social Communication and Cognition

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

- Methods for finding an optimal solution to an objective function
- Direct search, i.e. gradient free
- Simple and quasi-identical units
- Asynchronous; decentralized control
- 'Intermediate' number of units: ~ $10^{1}-10^{<<23}$
- Redundancy leads to reliability and adaptation
- PSO is one of the computational algorithms in the field of swarm intelligence (the other is ACO)

J. Kennedy, and R. Eberhart, *Particle swarm optimization*, in Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Neural Networks, Piscataway, NJ, pp. 1942–1948, 1995.

PSO algorithm: Initialization

- Fitness function
- Number of particles
- Particle positions
- Particle velocities
- current best of each particle ("simple nostalgia")
- global best ("group norm")
- initialize constants

$$f: \mathbf{R}^{m} \to \mathbf{R}$$

$$n = 20, ..., 200$$

$$x_{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{m}, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

$$v_{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{m}, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$
where \hat{x}_{i}

ĝ

 $\omega, \alpha_{1/2}$

The canonical PSO algorithm

- For each particle $1 \le i \le n$
- create random vectors

 r_1, r_2 with components drawn from U[0,1]

update velocities

$$v_i \leftarrow \omega v_i + \alpha_1 r_1 \circ (\hat{x}_i - x_i) + \alpha_2 r_2 \circ (\hat{g} - x_i)$$

update positions

$$x_i \leftarrow x_i + v_i$$

- update local bests
 - $\hat{x}_i \leftarrow x_i \quad \text{if} \quad f(x_i) < f(\hat{x}_i)$
- update global best

$$\hat{g} \leftarrow x_i \quad \text{if} \quad f(x_i) < f(\hat{g})$$

componentwise multiplication

minimization problem!

Initialization

Main loop (next page)

```
for k in range(0, T_iterations):
                                      # loop until convergence
 fitness_X = evaluate_fitness(X)
                                      # evaluate fitness of each particle
 for I in range(0, n_particles):
                                      # update local bests
   if fitness_X[I] < fitness_lbest[I]:
     fitness_lbest[I] = fitness_X[I]
     for J in range(0, m_dimensions):
      X_lbest[I][J] = X[I][J]
 min_fitness_index = argmin(fitness_X)
                                                 # update global best
 min_fitness = fitness_X[min_fitness_index]
 if min_fitness < fitness_gbest:
   fitness_gbest = min_fitness
   X_gbest = X[min_fitness_index,:]
 for I in range(0, n_particles):
                                      # update velocities and positions
   for J in range(0, m_dimensions):
     R1 = uniform_random_number()
     R2 = uniform_random_number()
     V[I][J] = (w*V[I][J] +
          a1*R1*(X_lbest[I][J] - X[I][J]) + a2*R2*(X_gbest[J] - X[I][J]))
     X[I][J] = X[I][J] + V[I][J]
 end J,I,k; end;
```

Illustrative example Marco A. Montes de Oca PSO Introduction

1. Create a 'population' of agents (called *particles*) uniformly distributed over \mathcal{X} .



Repulsive PSO algorithm

- For each particle $1 \le i \le n$
- create random vectors

 r_1, r_2, r_3 with components drawn from U[0,1]

update velocities

$$v_i \leftarrow \omega v_i + \alpha_1 r_1 \circ (\hat{x}_i - x_i) + \alpha_2 r_2 \circ (\hat{y} - x_i) + \alpha_3 \omega r_3 \circ z$$

- update positions etc.
 o componentwise
 multiplication
 - \hat{y} best of a random neighbor, $\alpha_2 < 0$
 - z random velocity
- Properties: sometimes slower, more robust and efficient

Constriction factor

- Introduced by Clerc (1999)
- Simplest form:

$$v_i \leftarrow K[\omega v_i + \alpha_1 r_1 \circ (\hat{x}_i - x_i) + \alpha_2 r_2 \circ (\hat{g} - x_i)]$$

$$K = \frac{2}{\left|2 - \varphi - \sqrt{\varphi^2 - 4\varphi}\right|}, \text{ where } \varphi = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 4$$

e.g. $\varphi = 4.1 \Rightarrow K = 0.729, \text{ i.e. prefactors } \alpha \approx 1.5$

- May replace interia ω
- Meant to improve convergence by an enforced decay (more about this later)

Topology

- Topology determines with whom to compare and thus how solutions spread through the population
- Traditional ones: gbest, lbest
- Global version is faster but might converge to local optimum for some problems.
- Local version is a somewhat slower but not easy to be trapped into local optimum.
- Combination: Use global version to get rough estimate. Then use local version to refine the search.

Innovative topologies

 Specified by: Mean degree, clustering, heterogeneity etc.



Comparison of GA and PSO

- Generally similar:
 - 1. Random generation of an initial population
 - 2. Caclulate of a fitness value for each individual.
 - 3. Reproduction of the population based on fitness values.
 - 4. If requirements are met, then stop. Otherwise go back to 2.
- Modification of individuals
 - In GA: by genetic operators
 - In PSO: Particles update themselves with the internal velocity. They also have memory.
- Sharing of information
 - Mutual In GA. Whole population moves as a group towards optimal area.
 - One-way in PSO: Source of information is only gBest (or lBest).
 All particles tend to converge to the best solution quickly.
- Representation
 - GA: discrete
 - PS: continuous

www.swarmintelligence.org/tutorials.php

PSO as MBS

- As in GA the "model" is actually a population (which can be represented by a probabilistic model)
- Generate new samples from the individual particles of the previous iteration by random modifications
- Use memory of global, neighborhood or personal best for learning



Literature on swarms

- Eric Bonabeau, Marco Dorigo, Guy Theraulaz: Swarm Intelligence: From Natural to Artificial Systems (Santa Fe Institute Studies on the Sciences of Complexity) (Paperback) OUP USA (1999)
- J. Kennedy, and R. Eberhart, *Particle swarm optimization*, in Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Neural Networks, Piscataway, NJ, pp. 1942–1948, 1995.
- Y Shi, RC Eberhart (1999) Parameter selection in particle swarm optimization. Springer.
- Eberhart Y. Shi (2001) PSO: Developments, applications ressources. IEEE.
- www.engr.iupui.edu/~eberhart/web/PSObook.html
- Tutorials: <u>www.particleswarm.info/</u>
- Bibliography: icdweb.cc.purdue.edu/~hux/PSO.shtml