Genetic Algorithms and Genetic Programming Lecture 12

Gillian Hayes

7th November 2006





Lectures 11 and 12 : Designing a GA

- When should a GA be used?
 it: Encoding the candidates
- What to represent and how to represent
- The mechanics: Evaluating the candidates Selection of the fittest
 - Crossover operators Mutation operators
 - Population models
- The parameters
 - Setting the parameters
- Evaluating the system
 - Did it work? How do we know?
 - How many experiments should we do?
- Summing up



Mechanics: Selection Method

Aim: choose parents. Emphasise fitter ones. Balance exploitation and exploration.

- Fitness-proportionate selection Often premature convergence
- Rank-based selection

$$\label{eq:Fitness} \begin{split} & \mathsf{Fitness}(i) = \mathsf{Min} + (\mathsf{Max} - \mathsf{Min})(\mathsf{Rank}(i) - 1)/(\mathsf{N-1}) \text{ then do FPS} \\ & \mathsf{Max} \text{ and } \mathsf{Min} \text{ are chosen by you.} \\ & \mathsf{Can} \text{ also do exponential scaling.} \\ & \mathsf{Preserves diversity, slows selection pressure} \end{split}$$

• Tournament selection

Select k individuals. Fittest m go into intermediate population (perhaps



with some probability)

Less computationally expensive (don't evaluate all chroms.)

• Uniform selection

Lowest/highest fitness in current generation is Min, Max. Select a fitness f uniformly in [Min, Max]. Individual with closest fitness to f is chosen. Maintains genetic diversity – we only want **one** solution of maximal fitness

• Elitism

Copy some number of fittest individuals into intermediate or next-generation population

Don't lose good solutions when we've found them until we find better solutions

• and others, e.g. combinations of the above. See Mitchell Sect. 5.4.



Mechanics: Selection Method Considerations

- Selection pressure avoid premature convergence, maintain diversity, exploration vs. exploitation How would we detect premature convergence?
- Takeover time till best individual replaces all others Is the best individual good enough?



Mechanics: Crossover

- Single-point, Two-point
- Try to preserve building blocks (but avoid hitch-hiking)
- Uniform: choose each child gene with probability p from parent 1, else 2 so no linkage between genes. Often p = 0.5 or a bit higher.

Attempts to make crossover less disruptive:

- Brood crossover: 2 parents produce several offspring, fittest 2 chosen
- Elite crossover: put offspring into pool with parents, select fittest 2
- Intelligent crossover: crossover hotspots a template for crossover points that is also evolved

Gillian Hayes



Mechanics: Mutation Operator

- Original aim: to preserve diversity
- Can end up solving the problem
- Allele (point) mutation $p\sim 1/n$
- Reordering mutations inversion or cycling
- Swap mutations swap 2 random positions
- Intelligent mutations
 - encode $p_{m}% \left(\mathbf{r}_{m}\right) =p_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}_$
 - use a schedule to change p_m as a function of time
 - or as a function of fitness
- Choose to suit the problem



Mechanics: Population Model and Elitism

- What do we do with the new candidates?
- Generational model: place children from generation n into generation n+1, continue until generation n+1 is full
- Generational model with elitism: keep some proportion of the best candidates from generation n and give them a free ride into generation n+1
- Steady state model (Whitley): newly created children are inserted into the *current* generation and replace the worst candidates
- Can lead to very high selection pressure (why?)



Mechanics: Spatial Separation

- Island model: evolve independent populations, sometimes allowing a good candidate to migrate across islands
- Simple island model:
 - P independent populations
 - every M generations select one (of best) candidate from one population
 - insert it into all other populations (replace the worst)
- Advantages: encourages diversity, can run in parallel
- Can use different fitness functions on the islands multicriterion optimisation
- Cellular model: candidates are arranged on a Grid and can only mate with nearby candidates



Mechanics: Maintaining Diversity

- Restrict which individuals can mate with each other, e.g. must be sufficiently different.
- New offspring replace those most similar to themselves
- Fitness sharing (see earlier slide)
- Mate selection keep a label on each chromosome. It can only mate with chromosomes with same label. Evolve labels
- Island and cellular models
- High p_m



Setting Parameters

- Usual approach:
 - Try a wide range of parameters
 - Using the best, alter one at a time
 - Continue until no improvement possible
- but the parameters interact non-linearly
- Systematic approach: use a lot of CPU time
- Self-adaptation? Fitness of operators based on how many highly fit individuals they contribute to producing
- Starting point: Small population 20–50, crossover rate 0.75–0.95, mutation rate 0.005–0.01 per bit



Evaluating the System

- No. generations vs. no. evaluations
- Cpu time vs. real time (fitness function takes most time)
- Benchmarks: a range of real problems
- Compare with alternative algorithms
- Time to reach solution
- Quality of solution



- *Real* fitness of solution (to your actual purpose)
- Acceptability to users
- When is one parameter set better than another? Statistics, many experiments with each parameter set



What do Genetic Algorithms Offer?

- Robust problem-solving ability
- Search, optimisation, machine learning
- Good performance on dynamic problems (e.g. job-shop scheduling)
- Ease of implementation
- Hybridisation with other methods
- Anytime problem solving behaviour
- Easy to run on parallel machines

Gillian Hayes



• A competitive solution for many hard problems

Reading: Mitchell Chapter 5 – all of it Section 10 of Whitley tutorial (notes Chapter 2)