

Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 7: Polymorphism and type inference

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 16, 2015

Consider the humble identity function

- A function that returns its input:

```
def idInt(x: Int) = x
def idString(x: String) = x
def idPair(x: (Int,String)) = x
```

- Does the same thing no matter what the type is.
- But we cannot just write this:

```
def id(x) = x
```

(In Scala, every variable needs to have a type.)

Overview

- We've now covered the basics of a functional programming language, including functions and more complex data structures
- Over the next few lectures, we will consider various forms of **abstraction**
 - polymorphism, type inference
 - type definitions, records, datatypes
 - subtyping
 - modules, interfaces
- Today:
 - polymorphism and type inference

Another example

- Consider a pair “swap” operation:

```
def swapInt(p: (Int,Int)) = (p._2,p._1)
def swapString(p: (String,String)) = (p._2,p._1)
def swapIntString(p: (Int,String)) = (p._2,p._1)
```

- Again, the code is the same in both cases; only the types differ.
- But we can't write

```
def swap(p) = (p._2,p._1)
```

What type should `p` have?

Another example

- Consider a higher-order function that calls its argument twice:

```
def twiceInt(f: Int => Int) = {x: Int => f(f(x))}
def twiceStr(f: String => String) =
  {x: String => f(f(x))}
```

- Again, the code is the same in both cases; only the types differ.
- But we can't write

```
def twice(f) = {x => f(f(x))}
```

What types should `f` and `x` have?

Parametric Polymorphism

- Scala's type parameters are an example of a phenomenon called *polymorphism* (= "many shapes")
- More specifically, *parametric* polymorphism because the function is *parameterized* by the type.
 - Its behavior cannot "depend on" what type replaces parameter `A`.
 - The type parameter `A` is *abstract*
- We also sometimes refer to `A`, `B`, `C` etc. as *type variables*

Type parameters

In Scala, function definitions can have *type parameters*

```
def id[A](x: A): A = x
```

This says: given a type `A`, the function `id[A]` takes an `A` and returns an `A`.

```
def swap[A,B](p: (A,B)): (B,A) = (p._2,p._1)
```

This says: given types `A,B`, the function `swap[A,B]` takes a pair `(A,B)` and returns a pair `(B,A)`.

```
def twice[A](f: A => A): A => A = {x:A => f(f(x))}
```

This says: given a type `A`, the function `twice[A]` takes a function `f: A => A` and returns a function of type `A => A`

Polymorphism: More examples

- Polymorphism is even more useful in combination with higher-order functions.
- Recall `compose` from the lab:

```
def compose[A,B,C](f: A => B, g: B => C) =
  {x:A => g(f(x))}
```

- Likewise, the `map` and `filter` functions:

```
def map[A,B](f: A => B, x: List[A]): List[B] = ...
def filter[A](f: A => Bool, x: List[A]): List[A] = ...
```

(though in Scala these are usually defined as methods of `List[A]` so the `A` type parameter and `x` variable are implicit)

Formalization

- We add *type variables* A, B, C, \dots , *type abstractions*, *type applications*, and *polymorphic types*:

$$e ::= \dots \mid \Lambda A. e \mid e[\tau]$$

$$\tau ::= \dots \mid A \mid \forall A. \tau$$

- We also use (capture-avoiding) substitution of types for type variables in expressions and types.
- The type $\forall A. \tau$ is the type of expressions that can have type $\tau[\tau'/A]$ for any choice of A . (A is bound in τ .)
- The expression $\Lambda A. e$ introduces a type variable for use in e . (Thus, A is bound in any type annotations in e .)
- The expression $e[\tau]$ instantiates a type abstraction
- Define L_{Poly} to be the extension of L_{Data} with these features



Formalization: Type and type variables

- Complication: Types now have variables. What is their scope? When is a type variable in scope in a type?
- The polymorphic type $\forall A. \tau$ binds A in τ .
- We write $FTV(\tau)$ for the *free type variables* of a type:

$$FTV(A) = \{A\}$$

$$FTV(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) = FTV(\tau_1) \cup FTV(\tau_2)$$

$$FTV(\tau_1 + \tau_2) = FTV(\tau_1) \cup FTV(\tau_2)$$

$$FTV(\forall A. \tau) = FTV(\tau) - \{A\}$$

$$FTV(\tau) = \emptyset \text{ otherwise}$$

- Alpha-equivalence and type substitution are defined similarly to expressions.



Formalization: Typechecking polymorphic expressions

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad A \notin FTV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda A. e : \forall A. \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall A. \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e[\tau_0] : \tau[\tau_0/A]}$$

- Idea: $\Lambda A. e$ must typecheck with parameter A not already used elsewhere in type context
- $e[\tau_0]$ applies a polymorphic expression to a type. Result type obtained by substituting for A .
- (Technically, we also need to extend FTV to contexts)
- The other rules are unchanged



Formalization: Semantics of polymorphic expressions

- To model evaluation, we add type abstraction as a possible value form:

$$v ::= \dots \mid \Lambda A. e$$

- with rules similar to those for λ and application:

$$e \Downarrow v \text{ for } L_{\text{Poly}}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \Lambda A. e_0 \quad e_0 \Downarrow v}{e[\tau] \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{}{\Lambda A. e \Downarrow \Lambda A. e}$$

- In L_{Poly} , type information is irrelevant at run time.
- (Other languages, including Scala, retain some run time type information.)



Convenient notation

- We can augment the syntactic sugar for function definitions to allow type parameters:

$$\text{let fun } f[A](x : \tau) = e \text{ in } \dots$$

- This is equivalent to:

$$\text{let } f = \Lambda A. \lambda x : \tau. e \text{ in } \dots$$

- In either case, a function call can be written as

$$f[\tau](x)$$

Examples, typechecked

$$\frac{\overline{A; x:A \vdash x:A}}{\boxed{\vdash} \vdash \lambda x:A. x : A \rightarrow A \quad A \notin FTV(\boxed{\vdash})}$$

$$\boxed{\vdash} \vdash \Lambda A. \lambda x:A. x : \forall A. A \rightarrow A$$

$$\frac{\overline{\vdash \text{swap} : \forall A. \forall B. A \times B \rightarrow B \times A}}{\vdash \text{swap}[\text{int}] : \forall B. \text{int} \times B \rightarrow B \times \text{int}}$$

$$\vdash \text{swap}[\text{int}][\text{str}] : \text{int} \times \text{str} \rightarrow \text{str} \times \text{int}$$

Examples in L_{Poly}

- Identity function

$$\text{id} = \Lambda A. \lambda x:A. x$$

- Swap

$$\text{swap} = \Lambda A. \Lambda B. \lambda x:A \times B. (\text{snd } x, \text{fst } x)$$

- Twice

$$\text{twice} = \Lambda A. \lambda f:A \rightarrow A. \lambda x:A. f(f(x))$$

- For example:

$$\text{swap}[\text{int}][\text{str}](1, "a") \Downarrow ("a", 1)$$

$$\text{twice}[\text{int}](\lambda x: 2 \times x)(2) \Downarrow 8$$

Lists and parameterized types

- In Scala (and other languages such as Haskell and ML), we can *parameterize* types by other types
- `List[_]` is an example: given a type `T`, it constructs another type `List[T]`
- Such types are sometimes called *type constructors*
- (Later tutorial will cover adding lists to L_{Poly})
- We will revisit parameterized types when we cover type definitions and modules

Other forms of polymorphism

- Historically, parametric polymorphism is one of several related techniques for “code reuse” or “overloading”
 - Parametric polymorphism: abstraction over type parameters
 - Subtype polymorphism: reuse based on inclusion relations between types.
 - Ad hoc polymorphism: Reuse of same name for multiple (potentially type-dependent) implementations (e.g. *overloading* + for addition on different numeric types, string concatenation etc.)
- These have some overlap
- We will cover overloading and subtyping in future lectures.

Hindley-Milner type inference

- A very influential approach was developed independently by J. Roger Hindley (in logic) and Robin Milner (in CS).
- Idea: Typecheck an expression symbolically, collecting “constraints” on the unknown type variables
- If the constraints have a common solution then this solution is a most general way to type the expression
 - Constraints can be solved using *unification*, an equation solving technique from automated reasoning/logic programming
- If not, then the expression has a type error

Type inference

- As seen in even small examples, specifying the type parameters of polymorphic functions quickly becomes tiresome

`swap[int][str] map[int][str] ...`

- Idea: Can we have the benefits of (polymorphic) typing, without the costs? (or at least: with fewer annotations)
- Type inference*: Given a program without full type information (or with some missing), *infer* type annotations so that the program can be typechecked.

Hindley-Milner example [Non-examinable]

- As an example, consider *swap* defined as follows:

$$\vdash \lambda x : A.(\text{snd } x, \text{fst } x) : B$$

A, B are the as yet unknown types of x and *swap*.

- A lambda abstraction creates a function: hence $B = A \rightarrow A_1$ for some A_1 such that $x:A \vdash (\text{snd } x, \text{fst } x) : A_1$
- A pair constructs a pair type: hence $A_1 = A_2 \times A_3$ where $x:A \vdash \text{snd } x : A_2$ and $x:A \vdash \text{fst } x : A_3$
- This can only be the case if $x : A_3 \times A_2$, i.e. $A = A_3 \times A_2$.
- Solving the constraints: $A = A_3 \times A_2$, $A_1 = A_2 \times A_3$ and so $B = A_2 \times A_3 \rightarrow A_3 \times A_2$

Let-bound polymorphism [Non-examinable]

- An important additional idea was introduced in the ML programming language, to avoid the need to explicitly introduce type variables and apply polymorphic functions to type arguments
- When a function is defined using `let fun` (or `let rec`), first infer a type:

$$\text{swap} : A_2 \times A_3 \rightarrow A_3 \times A_2$$

- Then *abstract* over all of its free type parameters.

$$\text{swap} : \forall A. \forall B. A \times B \rightarrow B \times A$$

- Finally, when a polymorphic function is *applied*, infer the missing types.

$$\text{swap}(1, "a") \rightsquigarrow \text{swap}[\text{int}][\text{str}](1, "a")$$

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ ⏴ ⏵ ⏶ ⏷ ⏸ ⏹ ⏺ ⏻ ⏼ ⏽ ⏾ ⏿ 🔍 ↺

ML-style inference: strengths and weaknesses

- Strengths
 - Elegant and effective
 - Requires no type annotations at all
- Weaknesses
 - Can be difficult to explain errors
 - In theory, can have exponential time complexity (in practice, it runs efficiently on real programs)
 - Very sensitive to extension: subtyping and other extensions to the type system tend to require giving up some nice properties
- (We are intentionally leaving out a lot of technical detail — HM type inference is covered in more detail in ITCS.)

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ ⏴ ⏵ ⏶ ⏷ ⏸ ⏹ ⏺ ⏻ ⏼ ⏽ ⏾ ⏿ 🔍 ↺

Type inference in Scala

- Scala does not employ full HM type inference, but uses many of the same ideas.
- Type information in Scala flows from function arguments to their results

```
def f[A](x: List[A]): List[(A,A)] = ...
f(List(1,2,3)) // A must be Int, don't need f[Int]
```

- and sequentially through statement blocks

```
var l = List(1,2,3); // l: List[Int] inferred
var y = f(l); // y : List[(Int,Int)] inferred
```

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ ⏴ ⏵ ⏶ ⏷ ⏸ ⏹ ⏺ ⏻ ⏼ ⏽ ⏾ ⏿ 🔍 ↺

Type inference in Scala

- Type information does **not** flow across arguments in the same argument list

```
def map[A](f: A => B, l: List[A]): List[B] = ...
scala> map({x: Int => x + 1}, List(1,2,3))
res0: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 4)
scala> map({x => x + 1}, List(1,2,3))
<console>:25: error: missing parameter type
```

- But it **can** flow from earlier argument lists to later ones:

```
def map2[A](l: List[A])(f: A => B): List[B] = ...
scala> map2(List(1,2,3)) {x => x + 1}
res1: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 4)
```

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ ⏴ ⏵ ⏶ ⏷ ⏸ ⏹ ⏺ ⏻ ⏼ ⏽ ⏾ ⏿ 🔍 ↺

Type inference in Scala: strengths and limitations

- Compared to Java, many **fewer** annotations needed
- Compared to ML, Haskell, etc. many **more** annotations needed
- The reason has to do with Scala's integration of polymorphism and **subtyping**
 - needed for integration with Java-style object/class system
 - Combining subtyping and polymorphism is tricky (type inference can easily become undecidable)
 - Scala chooses to avoid global constraint-solving and instead propagate type information *locally*
 - More on subtyping in next lecture!



Summary

- Today we covered:
 - The idea of thinking of the same code as having many different types
 - Parametric polymorphism: makes the type parameter explicit and abstract
 - Brief coverage of *type inference*.
- Next time:
 - Records, subtyping, and pattern matching

