## Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 10: Programs, modules and interfaces

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 30, 2015

Namespaces and Packages



- So far we have covered programming "in the small"
  - simple functional programming
  - imperative programming
  - abstractions: parametric polymorphism and subtyping
- Next few lectures: programming "in the large"
- Today
  - "Programs" as collections of definitions
  - Namespace management packages
  - Abstract data types modules and interfaces
- We will mostly work "by example" using Scala formalizing modules, interfaces involves a lot of bureaucracy.



Modules and Interfaces

Programs

Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ り<0</p>

## **Programs**

Programs

- What is a program?
  - In  $L_{Poly}$ , a program is an expression; any functions defined in  $L_{Poly}$  are local to the expression
  - In L<sub>While</sub>, a program is a statement (we didn't explicitly introduce procedures in L<sub>While</sub>, and considered all variables to have global scope)
- Scope management is easier with these simplistic forms, but isn't very modular
- In particular, we can't easily split an program up into parts that do unrelated work.

# Declarations and Programs

Most languages support declarations

$$Decl \ni d ::= let x = e; | let fun f(x : \tau) = e;$$

$$| let rec f(x : \tau) : \tau' = e;$$

$$| type T = \tau; | deftype T = \tau;$$

- A program is a sequence of declarations. The names x, f,
   T are in scope in the subsequent declarations.
  - Variation: In some languages (Haskell), the order of declarations within a program is unimportant, and names can be referenced before they are used.
  - Variation: In some languages, only certain "top-level" declarations are allowed (e.g. classes/interfaces in Java)

## Entry points

• The *entry point* is the place where execution starts when the program is run

```
public static void main(String[] args) {...}
```

- Can be specified in different ways:
  - Executable: specify a particular function that is called first (e.g. main in C/C++, Java, Scala)
  - Scripting: entry point is start of program, expressions or statements run in order
  - Web applications: entry points are functions such as doGet, doPost in Servlet interface
  - Reactive: provide *callbacks* to handle one or more *events* (e.g. JavaScript handlers for mouse actions)

## What is the largest program you have written?

- What is the largest program you've written (or maintained)?
  - 1000 lines 1 file?
  - 10,000 lines? 10 files?
  - 100,000 lines? 100 files?
- Sooner or later, someone is going to want to use the same name for different things.
- If there are n programmers, then there are  $O(n^2)$  possible sources of name conflicts.
- Namespaces provide a way to compartmentalize names to avoid ambiguity.

◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 星 ▶ ◆ 星 ● 夕 Q ○

Programs

Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

**◆御 → ◆ 恵 → ◆ 恵 → り**への

Program

Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

## Example: Packages in Java

```
// com/widget/round/Widget.java
package com.widget.round
class Widget {...
}

// com/widget/square/Widget.java
package com.widget.square
class Widget { ...
}
```

- We can reuse Widget and disambiguate: com.widget.square.Widget vs. com.widget.round.Widget
- (Package names track the directory hierarchy in Java.)

## **Importing**

- Given a namespace, we can import it import com.widget.round.Widget
  - This brings a name defined in a namespace into the current scope

import com.widget.round.\*

- This brings *all* names defined in a namespace into the current scope
- In Java, importing can only happen at the top level of a file, and imported names are always classes or interfaces.
  - (Scala is more flexible, as we'll see)

## Code reuse and abstract data types

- Another important concern for programming in the large is *code reuse*.
- We'd like to implement (or reuse) certain key data structures once and for all, in a modular way
  - Examples: Lists, stacks, queues, sets, maps, etc.
- An abstract data type (ADT) is a type together with some operations on it
  - Abstract means the type definition (and operation implementations) are not visible to the rest of the program
  - Only the types of the operations are visible (the *interface*)
  - An ADT also has a specification describing its behavior

## Running example: priority queues in Scala

Using Scala objects, here is an initial priority queue ADT:

```
object PQueue {
  type T = ...
  val empty: T
  def insert(n: Int,pq: T): T
  def remove:(pq:T): (Int,T)
}
```

- (Similar to Java class with only static members)
- Specification:
  - A priority queue represents a set of integers.
  - empty corresponds to the empty set
  - insert adds to the set
  - remove removes the *least* element of the set

4□ > 4ⓓ > 4≧ > 4≧ > ≧ 990

Programs Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆■▶ ■ のQ®

Programs

Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

## Implementing priority queues

• One implementation: sorted lists (others possible)

```
object ListPQueue {
  type T = List[Int]
  val empty: T = Nil
  def insert(n: Int,pq: T): T = pq match {
    case Nil => List(n)
    case x::xs =>
    if (n < x) {n::pq} else {x::insert(n,xs)}
  }
  def remove(pq:T) = pq match {
    case x::xs => (x,xs) // otherwise error
  }
}
```

## **Importing**

• Like packages, objects provide a form of namespace

```
object ListPQueue {
    ...
}
val pq = ListPQueue.insert(1,ListPQueue.empty)
import ListPQueue._
val pq2 = remove(pq)
```

• Importing can be done inside other scopes (unlike Java)

```
def singleton(x: Int) {
  import ListPQueue._
  insert(x,empty)
}
```

### ListPQueue isn't abstract

- If we only use the ListPQueue operations, the specification is satisfied
- However, the ListPQueue.T type allows non-sorted lists
- So we can violate the specification by passing remove a non-sorted list!

```
remove(List(2,1))
// returns 2, should return 1
```

- This violates the (implicit) invariant that ListPQueue.T is a sorted list.
- So, users of this module need to be more careful to use it correctly.

# One solution (?)

• As in Java, we can make some components private

```
object ListPQueue {
 private type T = List[Int]
 private val foo: T = List(1)
```

• This stops us from accessing foo

```
scala> ListPQueue.foo
<console>:20: error: (foo cannot be accessed)
```

However, T is still visible as List[Int]!

```
scala> ListPQueue.remove(List(2,1))
res10: (Int, List[Int]) = (2,List(1))
```

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ = > ◆ = り へ ○ Programs Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

◆ロ ト ◆御 ト ◆ 差 ト ◆ 差 ・ 夕 へ ⊙

#### Interfaces

#### Another way to hide information about the implementation of a module is to specify an interface

- (This may be familiar from Java already. Haskell type classes also can act as interfaces.)
- We'd like to use an interface PQueue that says there is some type T with operations:

```
empty: T
insert: (Int,T) => T
remove: T \Rightarrow (Int,T)
```

but prevent clients from knowing (or relying on) definition of T.

## Traits in Scala

• Scala doesn't exactly have Java-like interfaces, but its traits can play a similar role.

```
trait PQueue {
 type T = List[Int]
 val empty: T
 def insert(n: Int, pq: T): T
 def remove(pq: T): (Int,T)
```

 (We'll say more about why Scala uses the terms object and trait instead of module and interface later...)

## Implementing an interface

 Already, the trait interface hides information about the implementations of the operations. But, now we can go further and hide the definition of T!

```
trait PQueue {
  type T // abstract!
}
```

 Now we can specify that ListPQueue implements PQueue using the extends keyword:

```
object ListPQueue extends PQueue {...}
```

 This assertion needs be checked to ensure that all of the components of PQueue are present and have the right types!

## Checking a module against an interface

```
trait PQueue {
  type T
  val empty: T
  def insert(n: Int, pq: T): T
  def remove(pq: T): (Int,T)
}
```

- ullet An implementation needs to define T to be some type au
- ullet It needs to provide a value empty: au
- It needs to provide functions insert and remove with the corresponding types (replacing T with  $\tau$ )
- If any are missing or types don't match, error.
- (Note: this is related to type inference, and there can be similar complications!)

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臺▶ · 臺 · 釣९@

Programs

Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

Program

Namespaces and Packages

Modules and Interfaces

## Interfaces allow multiple implementations

We can now provide other implementations of PQueue

```
object ListPQueue extends PQueue {...}
object SetPQueue extends PQueue {...}
```

- Also, in Scala, objects can be passed as values, and extends implies a subtyping relationship
- So, we can write a function that uses any implementation of PQueue, and run it with different implementations:

```
def make(m: PQueue) =
  m.insert(42,m.insert(17,m.empty))
scala> make(ListPQueue)
```

#### Data abstraction

- Even though ListPQueue satisfies the PQueue interface,
   its definition of T = List[Int] is still visible
- However, T is abstract to clients that use the PQueue interface
- So, we can't do this:

```
scala> def bad(m: PQueue) = m.remove(List(2,1))
<console>:18: error: type mismatch;
found : List[Int]
required: m.T
    def bad(m: PQueue) = m.remove(List(2,1))
```

## Implementing multiple interfaces

- An interface gives a "view" of a module (possibly hiding some details).
- Modules can also satisfy more than one interface.

```
trait HasSize {
  type T
  def size(x: T): Int
}
object ListPQueue extends PQueue with HasSize {
    ...
  def size(pq: T) = pq.length
}
```

• (This is slightly hacky, since it relies on using the same type name T as PQueue uses. We'll revisit this later.)

## Representation independence

- If we have two implementations of the same interface, how do we know they are providing "equivalent" behavior?
- Representation independence means that the clients of the interface can't distinguish the two implementations using the operations of the interface
  - (even if their actual run time behavior is very different)
- This is much easier in a strongly typed language because abstraction barrier is enforced by type system
- In other languages, client code needs to be more careful to avoid depending on (or violating) intended abstraction barriers

Programs Namespaces and Packages Modules and Interfaces Programs Namespaces and Packages Modules and Interfaces

## Modules and interfaces, in general

# $Decl ightarrow d ::= let x = e; | let fun f(x:\tau) = e; | let rec f(x:\tau): \tau' = e; | let rec f(x:\tau): \tau' = e; | type <math>T = \tau; | deftype T = \tau; | module M \{d_1 \cdots d_n\} | import q | interface <math>S \{s_1 \cdots s_n\}$ $Spec ightarrow s ::= val x: \tau; | type T; | type <math>T = \tau; | QName ightarrow q ::= x | M.q | S.q |$

This a simplified form of the (influential) Standard ML module language. (We aren't going to formalize the details.)

Note: Allows arbitrary nesting of modules, interfaces

Not shown: need to allow qualified names in code also

# Summary

- As programs grow in size, we want to:
  - split programs into components (packages or modules)
  - use package or module scope and structured names to refer to components
  - use interfaces to hide implementation details from other parts of the program
- We've given a high-level idea of how these components fit together, illustrated using Scala
- Next time:
  - Object-oriented constructs (objects, classes)

