Discrete Mathematics & Mathematical Reasoning Greatest Common Divisors

Colin Stirling

Informatics

1/9

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

$$gcd(36, 24) = 12$$

Today

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

$$gcd(36, 24) = 12$$

$$gcd(22, 9) = 1$$

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

$$gcd(36, 24) = 12$$

$$gcd(22, 9) = 1$$

Definition

The integers a and b are relatively prime (coprime) iff gcd(a, b) = 1

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

$$gcd(36, 24) = 12$$

$$gcd(22, 9) = 1$$

Definition

The integers a and b are relatively prime (coprime) iff gcd(a, b) = 1

Although 9 and 22 are coprime they are both composite



Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

Today

Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$\gcd(a,b) = p_1^{\min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(a_n,b_n)}$$

Today

Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$gcd(a,b) = p_1^{min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{min(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{min(a_n,b_n)}$$

This number clearly divides *a* and *b*. No larger number can divide both *a* and *b*. Proof by contradiction and the prime factorisation of a postulated larger divisor.

Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$gcd(a,b) = p_1^{\min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(a_n,b_n)}$$

This number clearly divides a and b. No larger number can divide both a and b. Proof by contradiction and the prime factorisation of a postulated larger divisor.

Factorisation is a very inefficient method to compute gcd

Euclidian algorithm: efficient for computing gcd

Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x)
  else return(gcd(y,x mod y))
```

Euclidian algorithm: efficient for computing gcd

Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x)
  else return(gcd(y,x mod y))
```

The Euclidian algorithm relies on

$$\forall x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \; (\gcd(x,y) = \gcd(y,x \; \mathsf{mod} \; y))$$

Euclidian algorithm (proof of correctness)

Lemma

If x = yq + r, where x, y, q, and r are positive integers, then gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, r). (Consider $r = x \mod y$ and $q = x \operatorname{div} y$)

Euclidian algorithm (proof of correctness)

Lemma

If x = yq + r, where x, y, q, and r are positive integers, then gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, r). (Consider $r = x \mod y$ and $q = x \dim y$)

Proof.

- (⇒) Suppose that d divides both x and y. Then d also divides x yq = r. Hence, any common divisor of x and y must also be a common divisor of y and r.
- (\Leftarrow) Suppose that d divides both y and r. Then d also divides yq + r = x. Hence, any common divisor of y and r must also be a common divisor of x and y.

Therefore, gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, r)

Gcd as a linear combination

Theorem (Bézout's theorem)

If x and y are positive integers, then there exist integers a and b such that gcd(x, y) = ax + by

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
  (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
  return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
   (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
  return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

• e-gcd(24,9)

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
   (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
  return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

- e-gcd(24,9)
- e-gcd(22,9)

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
   (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
  return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
   (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
  return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

- Let x = yq + r where $r = x \mod y$ and $q = x \operatorname{div} y$
- So r = x yq

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
   (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
   return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

- Let x = yq + r where $r = x \mod y$ and $q = x \operatorname{div} y$
- So r = x yq
- If d = ay + br then

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
   (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
   return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

- Let x = yq + r where $r = x \mod y$ and $q = x \operatorname{div} y$
- So r = x yq
- If d = ay + br then d = ay + b(x - yq) = bx + (a - qb)y

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm e-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
   (d, a, b) := e-gcd(y, x mod y)
  return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

- Let x = yq + r where $r = x \mod y$ and $q = x \operatorname{div} y$
- So r = x yq
- If d = ay + br then d = ay + b(x - yq) = bx + (a - qb)y
- Base case y = 0: e-gcd(x, y) = (x, 1, 0) and x = 1 * x + 0 * y

Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc then a|c

Theorem

If a,b,c are positive integers such that $\gcd(a,b)=1$ and a|bc then a|c

Proof.

Because gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bézout's theorem there are integers s and t such that sa + tb = 1. So, sac + tbc = c. Assume a|bc. Therefore, a|tbc and a|sac, so a|(sac + tbc); that is, a|c.

Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc then a|c

Proof.

Because gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bézout's theorem there are integers s and t such that sa + tb = 1. So, sac + tbc = c. Assume a|bc. Therefore, a|tbc and a|sac, so a|(sac + tbc); that is, a|c.

Theorem

Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and gcd(c, m) = 1 then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc then a|c

Proof.

Because gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bézout's theorem there are integers s and t such that sa + tb = 1. So, sac + tbc = c. Assume a|bc. Therefore, a|tbc and a|sac, so a|(sac + tbc); that is, a|c.

Theorem

Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and gcd(c, m) = 1 then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Proof.

Because $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$, it follows m|(ac - bc); so, m|c(a - b). By the result above because gcd(c, m) = 1, it follows that m|(a - b).