

# Discrete Mathematics & Mathematical Reasoning

## Arithmetic Modulo $m$ , Primes and Greatest Common Divisors

Colin Stirling

Informatics

# Division

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers with  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a$  divides  $b$ , written  $a|b$ , if there exists an integer  $c$  such that  $b = ac$ .

$b$  is a multiple of  $a$  and  $a$  is a factor of  $b$

# Division

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers with  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a$  divides  $b$ , written  $a|b$ , if there exists an integer  $c$  such that  $b = ac$ .

$b$  is a multiple of  $a$  and  $a$  is a factor of  $b$

$3 \mid (-12)$     $3 \mid 0$     $3 \nmid 7$  (where  $\nmid$  “not divides”)

# Division

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers with  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a$  divides  $b$ , written  $a|b$ , if there exists an integer  $c$  such that  $b = ac$ .

$b$  is a multiple of  $a$  and  $a$  is a factor of  $b$

$3 | (-12)$     $3 | 0$     $3 \nmid 7$  (where  $\nmid$  “not divides”)

## Theorem

- 1 If  $a|b$  and  $a|c$ , then  $a|(b + c)$
- 2 If  $a|b$ , then  $a|bc$
- 3 If  $a|b$  and  $b|c$ , then  $a|c$

# Division

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers with  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a$  divides  $b$ , written  $a|b$ , if there exists an integer  $c$  such that  $b = ac$ .

$b$  is a multiple of  $a$  and  $a$  is a factor of  $b$

$3 | (-12)$     $3 | 0$     $3 \nmid 7$  (where  $\nmid$  “not divides”)

## Theorem

- 1 If  $a|b$  and  $a|c$ , then  $a|(b + c)$
- 2 If  $a|b$ , then  $a|bc$
- 3 If  $a|b$  and  $b|c$ , then  $a|c$

## Proof.

We just prove the first; the others are similar. Assume  $a|b$  and  $a|c$ . So, there exists integers  $d, e$  such that  $b = da$  and  $c = ea$ . So  $b + c = da + ea = (d + e)a$  and, therefore,  $a|(b + c)$ . □

# Division algorithm (not really an algorithm!)

## Theorem

*If  $a$  is an integer and  $d$  a positive integer, then there are unique integers  $q$  and  $r$ , with  $0 \leq r < d$ , such that  $a = dq + r$*

# Division algorithm (not really an algorithm!)

## Theorem

*If  $a$  is an integer and  $d$  a positive integer, then there are unique integers  $q$  and  $r$ , with  $0 \leq r < d$ , such that  $a = dq + r$*

$q$  is quotient and  $r$  the remainder;  $q = a \operatorname{div} d$  and  $r = a \operatorname{mod} d$

# Division algorithm (not really an algorithm!)

## Theorem

*If  $a$  is an integer and  $d$  a positive integer, then there are unique integers  $q$  and  $r$ , with  $0 \leq r < d$ , such that  $a = dq + r$*

$q$  is quotient and  $r$  the remainder;  $q = a \operatorname{div} d$  and  $r = a \operatorname{mod} d$

$$a = 102 \text{ and } d = 12 \quad q = 8 \text{ and } r = 6 \quad 102 = 12 \cdot 8 + 6$$



# Division algorithm (not really an algorithm!)

## Theorem

*If  $a$  is an integer and  $d$  a positive integer, then there are unique integers  $q$  and  $r$ , with  $0 \leq r < d$ , such that  $a = dq + r$*

$q$  is quotient and  $r$  the remainder;  $q = a \operatorname{div} d$  and  $r = a \operatorname{mod} d$

$$a = 102 \text{ and } d = 12 \quad q = 8 \text{ and } r = 6 \quad 102 = 12 \cdot 8 + 6$$

$$a = -14 \text{ and } d = 6 \quad q = -3 \text{ and } r = 4 \quad -14 = 6 \cdot (-3) + 4$$

# Division algorithm (not really an algorithm!)

## Theorem

*If  $a$  is an integer and  $d$  a positive integer, then there are unique integers  $q$  and  $r$ , with  $0 \leq r < d$ , such that  $a = dq + r$*

$q$  is quotient and  $r$  the remainder;  $q = a \operatorname{div} d$  and  $r = a \operatorname{mod} d$

$$a = 102 \text{ and } d = 12 \quad q = 8 \text{ and } r = 6 \quad 102 = 12 \cdot 8 + 6$$

$$a = -14 \text{ and } d = 6 \quad q = -3 \text{ and } r = 4 \quad -14 = 6 \cdot (-3) + 4$$

## Proof.

Let  $q$  be the largest integer such that  $dq \leq a$ ; then  $r = a - dq$  and so,  $a = dq + r$  for  $0 \leq r < d$ : if  $r \geq d$  then  $d(q + 1) \leq a$  which contradicts that  $q$  is largest. So, there is at least one such  $q$  and  $r$ . Assume that there is more than one:  $a = dq_1 + r_1$ ,  $a = dq_2 + r_2$ , and  $(q_1, r_1) \neq (q_2, r_2)$ . If  $q_1 = q_2$  then  $r_1 = a - dq_1 = a - dq_2 = r_2$ . Assume  $q_1 \neq q_2$ ; now we obtain a contradiction; as  $dq_1 + r_1 = dq_2 + r_2$ ,  $d = (r_1 - r_2)/(q_2 - q_1)$  which is impossible because  $r_1 - r_2 < d$ .  $\square$

# Congruent modulo $m$ relation

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers and  $m$  is a positive integer, then  $a$  is congruent to  $b$  modulo  $m$ , written  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , iff  $m \mid (a - b)$

- $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  because 6 divides  $17 - 5 = 12$

# Congruent modulo $m$ relation

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers and  $m$  is a positive integer, then  $a$  is congruent to  $b$  modulo  $m$ , written  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , iff  $m \mid (a - b)$

- $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  because  $6$  divides  $17 - 5 = 12$
- $-17 \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  because  $6 \nmid (-22)$

# Congruent modulo $m$ relation

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers and  $m$  is a positive integer, then  $a$  is congruent to  $b$  modulo  $m$ , written  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , iff  $m \mid (a - b)$

- $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  because 6 divides  $17 - 5 = 12$
- $-17 \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  because  $6 \nmid (-22)$
- $-17 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$

# Congruent modulo $m$ relation

## Definition

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers and  $m$  is a positive integer, then  $a$  is congruent to  $b$  modulo  $m$ , written  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , iff  $m \mid (a - b)$

- $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  because 6 divides  $17 - 5 = 12$
- $-17 \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  because  $6 \nmid (-22)$
- $-17 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$
- $24 \not\equiv 14 \pmod{6}$  because  $6 \nmid 10$

# Congruence is an equivalence relation

## Theorem

*$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  iff  $a \bmod m = b \bmod m$*

# Congruence is an equivalence relation

## Theorem

$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  iff  $a \bmod m = b \bmod m$

## Proof.

Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ; so  $m \mid (a - b)$ . If  $a = q_1 m + r_1$  and  $b = q_2 m + r_2$  where  $0 \leq r_1 < m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 < m$  it follows that  $r_1 = r_2$  and so  $a \bmod m = b \bmod m$ . If  $a \bmod m = b \bmod m$  then  $a$  and  $b$  have the same remainder so  $a = q_1 m + r$  and  $b = q_2 m + r$ ; therefore  $a - b = (q_1 - q_2)m$ , and so  $m \mid (a - b)$ . □



# Congruence is an equivalence relation

## Theorem

$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  iff  $a \bmod m = b \bmod m$

## Proof.

Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ; so  $m \mid (a - b)$ . If  $a = q_1 m + r_1$  and  $b = q_2 m + r_2$  where  $0 \leq r_1 < m$  and  $0 \leq r_2 < m$  it follows that  $r_1 = r_2$  and so  $a \bmod m = b \bmod m$ . If  $a \bmod m = b \bmod m$  then  $a$  and  $b$  have the same remainder so  $a = q_1 m + r$  and  $b = q_2 m + r$ ; therefore  $a - b = (q_1 - q_2)m$ , and so  $m \mid (a - b)$ . □

- $\equiv \pmod{m}$  is an equivalence relation on integers

# A simple theorem of congruence

## Theorem

*$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  iff there is an integer  $k$  such that  $a = b + km$*

# A simple theorem of congruence

## Theorem

*$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  iff there is an integer  $k$  such that  $a = b + km$*

## Proof.

If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , then by the definition of congruence  $m \mid (a - b)$ . Hence, there is an integer  $k$  such that  $a - b = km$  and equivalently  $a = b + km$ . If there is an integer  $k$  such that  $a = b + km$ , then  $km = a - b$ . Hence,  $m \mid (a - b)$  and  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ . □

# Congruences of sums, differences, and products

## Theorem

*If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$  and  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$*

# Congruences of sums, differences, and products

## Theorem

*If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$  and  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$*

## Proof.

Since  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , by the previous theorem, there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  with  $b = a + sm$  and  $d = c + tm$ . Therefore,  $b + d = (a + sm) + (c + tm) = (a + c) + m(s + t)$ , and  $bd = (a + sm)(c + tm) = ac + m(at + cs + stm)$ . Hence,  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$  and  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$  □

# Congruences of sums, differences, and products

## Theorem

*If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$  and  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$*

## Proof.

Since  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , by the previous theorem, there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  with  $b = a + sm$  and  $d = c + tm$ . Therefore,  $b + d = (a + sm) + (c + tm) = (a + c) + m(s + t)$ , and  $bd = (a + sm)(c + tm) = ac + m(at + cs + stm)$ . Hence,  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$  and  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$  □

## Corollary

- $(a + b) \pmod{m} = ((a \pmod{m}) + (b \pmod{m})) \pmod{m}$
- $ab \pmod{m} = ((a \pmod{m})(b \pmod{m})) \pmod{m}$

# Arithmetic modulo $m$

- $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$

# Arithmetic modulo $m$

- $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$
- $+_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $a +_m b = (a + b) \bmod m$



# Arithmetic modulo $m$

- $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$
- $+_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $a +_m b = (a + b) \bmod m$
- $\cdot_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is define  $a \cdot_m b = (a \cdot b) \bmod m$

# Arithmetic modulo $m$

- $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$
- $+_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $a +_m b = (a + b) \bmod m$
- $\cdot_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is define  $a \cdot_m b = (a \cdot b) \bmod m$
- Find  $7 +_{11} 9$  and  $-7 \cdot_{11} 9$

# Arithmetic modulo $m$

- $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$
- $+_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $a +_m b = (a + b) \bmod m$
- $\cdot_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is define  $a \cdot_m b = (a \cdot b) \bmod m$
- Find  $7 +_{11} 9$  and  $-7 \cdot_{11} 9$
- $7 +_{11} 9 = (7 + 9) \bmod 11 = 16 \bmod 11 = 5$

# Arithmetic modulo $m$

- $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$
- $+_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $a +_m b = (a + b) \bmod m$
- $\cdot_m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is define  $a \cdot_m b = (a \cdot b) \bmod m$
- Find  $7 +_{11} 9$  and  $-7 \cdot_{11} 9$
- $7 +_{11} 9 = (7 + 9) \bmod 11 = 16 \bmod 11 = 5$
- $-7 \cdot_{11} 9 = (-7 \cdot 9) \bmod 11 = -63 \bmod 11 = 3$

# Primes

## Definition

A positive integer  $p > 1$  is called prime iff the only positive factors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ . Otherwise it is called composite

# Primes

## Definition

A positive integer  $p > 1$  is called prime iff the only positive factors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ . Otherwise it is called composite

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*

# Primes

## Definition

A positive integer  $p > 1$  is called prime iff the only positive factors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ . Otherwise it is called composite

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*

$$765 = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$$

# Proof of fundamental theorem

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*



# Proof of fundamental theorem

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*

Shown by induction if  $n > 1$  is an integer then  $n$  can be written as a product of primes

# Proof of fundamental theorem

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*

Shown by induction if  $n > 1$  is an integer then  $n$  can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

# Proof of fundamental theorem

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*

Shown by induction if  $n > 1$  is an integer then  $n$  can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

Lemma if  $p$  is prime and  $p | a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where each  $a_i$  is an integer, then  $p | a_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$

# Proof of fundamental theorem

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*

Shown by induction if  $n > 1$  is an integer then  $n$  can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

Lemma if  $p$  is prime and  $p | a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where each  $a_i$  is an integer, then  $p | a_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$

By induction too

# Proof of fundamental theorem

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

*Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size*

Shown by induction if  $n > 1$  is an integer then  $n$  can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

Lemma if  $p$  is prime and  $p | a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where each  $a_i$  is an integer, then  $p | a_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$

By induction too

Now result follows

# There are infinitely many primes

# There are infinitely many primes

**Lemma** Every natural number greater than one is either prime or it has a prime divisor

# There are infinitely many primes

**Lemma** Every natural number greater than one is either prime or it has a prime divisor

Follows from fundamental theorem



# There are infinitely many primes

**Lemma** Every natural number greater than one is either prime or it has a prime divisor

Follows from fundamental theorem

**Proof** Suppose towards a contradiction that there are only finitely many primes  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$ . Consider the number  $q = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k + 1$ , the product of all the primes plus one. By hypothesis  $q$  cannot be prime because it is strictly larger than all the primes. Thus, by the lemma, it has a prime divisor,  $p$ . Because  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$  are all the primes,  $p$  must be equal to one of them, so  $p$  is a divisor of their product. So we have that  $p$  divides  $p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k$ , and  $p$  divides  $q$ , but that means  $p$  divides their difference, which is 1. Therefore  $p \leq 1$ . Contradiction. Therefore there are infinitely many primes.

# The Sieve of Eratosthenes

How to find all primes between 2 and  $n$ ?

# The Sieve of Eratosthenes

How to find all primes between 2 and  $n$ ?

A very inefficient method of determining if a number  $n$  is prime

Try every integer  $i \leq \sqrt{n}$  and see if  $n$  is divisible by  $i$

- 1 Write the numbers  $2, \dots, n$  into a list. Let  $i := 2$

# The Sieve of Eratosthenes

How to find all primes between 2 and  $n$ ?

A very inefficient method of determining if a number  $n$  is prime

Try every integer  $i \leq \sqrt{n}$  and see if  $n$  is divisible by  $i$

- 1 Write the numbers  $2, \dots, n$  into a list. Let  $i := 2$
- 2 Remove all strict multiples of  $i$  from the list

# The Sieve of Eratosthenes

How to find all primes between 2 and  $n$ ?

A very inefficient method of determining if a number  $n$  is prime

Try every integer  $i \leq \sqrt{n}$  and see if  $n$  is divisible by  $i$

- 1 Write the numbers  $2, \dots, n$  into a list. Let  $i := 2$
- 2 Remove all strict multiples of  $i$  from the list
- 3 Let  $k$  be the smallest number present in the list s.t.  $k > i$  and let  $i := k$

# The Sieve of Eratosthenes

How to find all primes between 2 and  $n$ ?

A very inefficient method of determining if a number  $n$  is prime

Try every integer  $i \leq \sqrt{n}$  and see if  $n$  is divisible by  $i$

- 1 Write the numbers  $2, \dots, n$  into a list. Let  $i := 2$
- 2 Remove all strict multiples of  $i$  from the list
- 3 Let  $k$  be the smallest number present in the list s.t.  $k > i$  and let  $i := k$
- 4 If  $i > \sqrt{n}$  then stop else go to step 2

# The Sieve of Eratosthenes

How to find all primes between 2 and  $n$ ?

A very inefficient method of determining if a number  $n$  is prime

Try every integer  $i \leq \sqrt{n}$  and see if  $n$  is divisible by  $i$

- 1 Write the numbers  $2, \dots, n$  into a list. Let  $i := 2$
- 2 Remove all strict multiples of  $i$  from the list
- 3 Let  $k$  be the smallest number present in the list s.t.  $k > i$  and let  $i := k$
- 4 If  $i > \sqrt{n}$  then stop else go to step 2

Testing if a number is prime can be done efficiently in polynomial time [Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena 2002], i.e., polynomial in the number of bits used to describe the input number. Efficient randomized tests had been available previously.

# Greatest common divisor

## Definition

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The largest integer  $d$  such that  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  is called the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , written  $\gcd(a, b)$



# Greatest common divisor

## Definition

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The largest integer  $d$  such that  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  is called the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , written  $\gcd(a, b)$

$$\gcd(24, 36) = 12$$

# Greatest common divisor

## Definition

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The largest integer  $d$  such that  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  is called the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , written  $\gcd(a, b)$

$$\gcd(24, 36) = 12$$

## Definition

The integers  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime (coprime) iff  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

# Greatest common divisor

## Definition

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The largest integer  $d$  such that  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  is called the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , written  $\gcd(a, b)$

$$\gcd(24, 36) = 12$$

## Definition

The integers  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime (coprime) iff  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

9 and 22 are coprime (both are composite)

# Gcd by prime factorisations

Suppose that the prime factorisations of  $a$  and  $b$  are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \qquad b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

# Gcd by prime factorisations

Suppose that the prime factorisations of  $a$  and  $b$  are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \qquad b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$\gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(a_1, b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2, b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(a_n, b_n)}$$

# Gcd by prime factorisations

Suppose that the prime factorisations of  $a$  and  $b$  are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \qquad b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$\gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(a_1, b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2, b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(a_n, b_n)}$$

This number clearly divides  $a$  and  $b$ . No larger number can divide both  $a$  and  $b$ . Proof by contradiction and the prime factorisation of a postulated larger divisor.

# Gcd by prime factorisations

Suppose that the prime factorisations of  $a$  and  $b$  are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \qquad b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$\gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(a_1, b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2, b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(a_n, b_n)}$$

This number clearly divides  $a$  and  $b$ . No larger number can divide both  $a$  and  $b$ . Proof by contradiction and the prime factorisation of a postulated larger divisor.

Factorisation is a very inefficient method to compute gcd

# Euclidian algorithm: efficient for computing gcd

## Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x)
  else return(gcd(y, x mod y))
```



# Euclidian algorithm: efficient for computing gcd

## Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x)
  else return(gcd(y, x mod y))
```

The Euclidian algorithm relies on

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} (x > y \rightarrow \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, x \bmod y))$$

# Euclidian algorithm (proof of correctness)

## Lemma

If  $a = bq + r$ , where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  are positive integers, then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$

# Euclidian algorithm (proof of correctness)

## Lemma

If  $a = bq + r$ , where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  are positive integers, then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$

## Proof.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $d$  divides both  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $d$  also divides  $a - bq = r$ . Hence, any common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  must also be a common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $d$  divides both  $b$  and  $r$ . Then  $d$  also divides  $bq + r = a$ . Hence, any common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$  must also be a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Therefore,  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$  □

# Gcd as a linear combination

## Theorem (Bézout's theorem)

*If  $x$  and  $y$  are positive integers, then there exist integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\gcd(x, y) = ax + by$*

# Gcd as a linear combination

## Theorem (Bézout's theorem)

*If  $x$  and  $y$  are positive integers, then there exist integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\gcd(x, y) = ax + by$*

## Proof.

Let  $S$  be the set of positive integers of the form  $ax + by$  (where  $a$  or  $b$  may be a negative integer); clearly,  $S$  is non-empty as it includes  $x + y$ . By the well-ordering principle  $S$  has a least element  $c$ . So  $c = ax + by$  for some  $a$  and  $b$ . If  $d|x$  and  $d|y$  then  $d|ax$  and  $d|by$  and so  $d|(ax + by)$ , that is  $d|c$ . We now show  $c|x$  and  $c|y$  which means that  $c = \gcd(x, y)$ . Assume  $c \nmid x$ . So  $x = qc + r$  where  $0 < r < c$ . Now  $r = x - qc = x - q(ax + by)$ . That is,  $r = (1 - qa)x + (-qb)y$ , so  $r \in S$  which contradicts that  $c$  is the least element in  $S$  as  $r < c$ . The same argument shows  $c|y$ . □

# Computing Bézout coefficients

$$2 = \gcd(6, 14) = (-2) \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 14$$

# Computing Bézout coefficients

$$2 = \gcd(6, 14) = (-2) \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 14$$

## Extended Euclidian algorithm (NOT EXAMINABLE)

```
algorithm extended-gcd(x, y)
  if y = 0
  then return(x, 1, 0)
  else
    (d, a, b) := extended-gcd(y, x mod y)
    return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

# Further properties

## Theorem

*If  $a, b, c$  are positive integers such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $a|bc$  then  $a|c$*



# Further properties

## Theorem

*If  $a, b, c$  are positive integers such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $a|bc$  then  $a|c$*

## Proof.

Because  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , by Bézout's theorem there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $sa + tb = 1$ . So,  $sac + tbc = c$ . Assume  $a|bc$ . Therefore,  $a|tbc$  and  $a|sac$ , so  $a|(sac + tbc)$ ; that is,  $a|c$ . □

# Further properties

## Theorem

*If  $a, b, c$  are positive integers such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $a|bc$  then  $a|c$*

## Proof.

Because  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , by Bézout's theorem there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $sa + tb = 1$ . So,  $sac + tbc = c$ . Assume  $a|bc$ . Therefore,  $a|tbc$  and  $a|sac$ , so  $a|(sac + tbc)$ ; that is,  $a|c$ . □

## Theorem

*Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $a, b, c$  be integers. If  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  and  $\gcd(c, m) = 1$  then  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$*

## Further properties

### Theorem

*If  $a, b, c$  are positive integers such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $a|bc$  then  $a|c$*

### Proof.

Because  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , by Bézout's theorem there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $sa + tb = 1$ . So,  $sac + tbc = c$ . Assume  $a|bc$ . Therefore,  $a|tbc$  and  $a|sac$ , so  $a|(sac + tbc)$ ; that is,  $a|c$ .  $\square$

### Theorem

*Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $a, b, c$  be integers. If  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  and  $\gcd(c, m) = 1$  then  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$*

### Proof.

Because  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ , it follows  $m|(ac - bc)$ ; so,  $m|c(a - b)$ . By the result above because  $\gcd(c, m) = 1$ , it follows that  $m|(a - b)$ .  $\square$