

Dead Code Elimination (DCE)

- Dead code elimination is an optimization that removes DEAD variables
- A variable that is defined and not LIVE OUT is DEAD

```
do {  
    computeLiveness()  
    foreach instruction I {  
        if (defs.contains(I) && !out.contains(I))  
            remove(I)  
    }  
} while(changed)
```

DCE Example

```
clang -emit-llvm -S dead.c -Xclang -disable-O0-optnone
```

```
int foo(int x, int y) {  
    int a = x + y;  
    a = 1;  
    return a;  
}
```

```
opt dead.ll -S -mem2reg
```

```
define i32 @foo(i32 %x, i32 %y) #0 {  
entry:  
    %add = add nsw i32 %x, %y  
    ret i32 1  
}
```

```
opt dead.ll -S -mem2reg -dce
```

```
define i32 @foo(i32 %x, i32 %y) #0 {  
entry:  
    ret i32 1  
}
```

DCE and Memory References

- A “dead store” might be clearing out sensitive information (a password for example) or writing to a device
 - store 0xffff1000
- DCE cannot remove the store to the global variable ‘b’, therefore it cannot remove the assignment to ‘a’

```
int b;  
  
int foo(int x, int y) {  
    int a = x + y;  
    b = a;  
    return x;  
}
```

```
@b = common global i32 0, align 4  
  
define i32 @foo(i32 %x, i32 %y) #0 {  
entry:  
    %add = add nsw i32 %x, %y  
    store i32 %add, i32* @b, align 4  
    ret i32 %x  
}
```

DCE and Volatile Variables

- Volatile is a way (by convention) to tell the compiler not to optimize an expression and to keep it in memory (on the stack or in the heap)
 - `volatile int addr = 0xffff1000;`
- The compiler does not know why the programmer declared the variable volatile and must be conservative
- Common reasons are memory mapped I/O, i.e. devices (keyboard, mouse, LEDs, etc), explicit type conversions, multi-threading, and to work around bugs in the compiler

Volatile Example

- Volatile variables will appear as “store volatile” and “load volatile” in LLVM IR
- Be careful not to eliminate volatile variables in your pass!
 - `llvm::Instruction::mayHaveSideEffects()`

```
int b;  
  
int foo(int x, int y) {  
    volatile int a = x + y;  
    b = a;  
    return x;  
}
```

```
@b = common global i32 0, align 4  
  
define i32 @foo(i32 %x, i32 %y) #0 {  
entry:  
    %a = alloca i32, align 4  
    %add = add nsw i32 %x, %y  
    store volatile i32 %add, i32* %a, align 4  
    %0 = load volatile i32, i32* %a, align 4  
    store i32 %0, i32* @b, align 4  
    ret i32 %x  
}
```

DCE and Control Flow

- Programmers (and compiler optimizations!) often introduce useless control flow (branching)
- DCE only removes variables; removing unnecessary control flow is called “flow optimization”
 - The opt ‘-simplifycfg’ option will cleanup the control flow

```
int foo(int x, int y) {  
    int a = x + y;  
    if (x > 0)  
        a = 1;  
    return y;  
}
```

```
define i32 @foo(i32 %x, i32 %y) #0 {  
entry:  
    %cmp = icmp sgt i32 %x, 0  
    br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.end  
  
if.then:  
    br label %if.end  
  
if.end:  
    ret i32 %y  
}
```

Eliminating Dead Code in LLVM

- Look at instruction.def for the possible instructions
 - <https://github.com/llvm-mirror/llvm/blob/master/include/llvm/IR/Instruction.def>
- Do not remove
 - control flow (ReturnInst, SwitchInst, BranchInst, IndirectBrInst, CallInst)
 - `llvm::Instruction::IsTerminator()`
 - stores (StoreInst)
 - `llvm::Instruction::mayHaveSideEffects()`
- Do remove
 - AllocInst, LoadInst, GetElementPtrInst
 - SelectInst, ExtractElementInst, InsertElementInst, ExtractValue, InsertValue
 - binary instructions
 - comparisons
 - casts
- How to eliminate dead code?
 - Compute the OUT set for each instruction (liveness)
 - If the virtual register defined by an instruction is not in the OUT set, remove it!
 - `llvm::Instruction::eraseFromParent()`
 - Iterate until there are no changes

isa<>

- The Instruction class in LLVM inherits from the Value class
- Iterating over instructions in a function/basic block returns a Value*
- How do you know which type of instruction you are looking at?
 - isa<Type>(Value)

```
#include "llvm/IR/Instructions.h"
for(inst_iterator I = inst_begin(F), E = inst_end(F); I != E; ++I)
    if (isa<CallInst>(*I))
        outs() << "Found a call: " << *I << "\n";
```


Removing Instructions

- You cannot change an iterator while iterating over it
- To remove instructions, first collect the instructions to remove, then remove them in a separate pass
- What does this example do?

```
#include "llvm/ADT/SmallVector.h"

SmallVector<Instruction*,128> WL;

for (inst_iterator I = inst_begin(F), E = inst_end(F); I != E; ++I)
    if (isa<CallInst>(*I))
        WL.push_back(&*I);

while (!WL.empty()) {
    Instruction* I = WL.pop_back_val();
    I->eraseFromParent();
}
```

Computing Liveness Iteratively

- A variable is LIVE at some point in the program if it's used in the future; otherwise it's DEAD
- Liveness is a backwards flow problem
 - You need to propagate values from OUT to IN
- 1) Compute the IN/OUT sets for each basic block
 - GEN = source operand(s)
 - KILL = destination operand(s)
 - $IN(s) = GEN(s) \cup (OUT(s) - KILL(s))$
 - $OUT(s) = \cup \text{ of } s' \text{ successors } IN(s')$
- 2) The compute what is LIVE at each instruction in each basic block

Computing Liveness in LLVM

- Use a worklist of basic blocks
- For each basic block compute the GEN/KILL sets and IN/OUT sets

BB1:

```
int b = a + 2;  
int c = d + b;
```

BB2:

- $KILL(BB1) = \{b, c\}$; $GEN(BB1) = \{a, d\}$
- You will need to handle PHIs to properly compute these sets

What's a PHI?

- A PHI is pseudo instruction (it does not exist) used to make reasoning about backwards flow easier, i.e. def-use chains
 - $X = \text{PHI}(X', X'', X''', \dots)$
- There is a source operand (X', \dots) for each incoming edge in the flow graph that represents the value of X on that path in the flow graph
- PHIs always appear at the beginning of a basic block before other instructions
- The compiler must remove PHIs before the program is executable, which usually means inserting a MOV (copy) into the predecessor BB
- Often copy propagation is run after PHI elimination to clean up any redundant/useless copies

PHIs in LLVM

- A PHI will only exist at a join in the flow graph

```
int foo(int x, int y) {  
    int a = x + y;  
    if (x > 0)  
        a = 1;  
    return a;  
}
```

```
define i32 @foo(i32 %x, i32 %y) #0 {  
entry:  
    %add = add nsw i32 %x, %y  
    %cmp = icmp sgt i32 %x, 0  
    br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.end  
  
if.then:  
    br label %if.end  
  
if.end:  
    %a.0 = phi i32 [ 1, %if.then ], [ %add, %entry ]  
    ret i32 %a.0  
}
```