### **Cryptographic protocols**

**Myrto Arapinis** School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

February 10, 2014

# Context

Applications exchanging sensitive data over a public network:

- ► eBanking,
- eCommerce,
- eVoting,
- ► ePassports,
- Mobile phones,
- ▶ ...

# Context

Applications exchanging sensitive data over a public network:

- ▶ eBanking,
- eCommerce,
- eVoting,
- ► ePassports,
- Mobile phones,
- ► ...

A malicious agent can:

- record, alter, delete, insert, redirect, reorder, and reuse past or current messages, and inject new messages
  - $\longrightarrow$  the network is the attacker
- control dishonest participants

### More complex systems needed...

### More complex systems needed...



 $e = E(K_E, \text{Transfer 100} \in \text{on Amazon's account})$ 

 $m = MAC(K_M, E(K_E, \text{Transfer 100} \in \text{ on Amazon's account}))^{\prime}$ 



< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ のQ (\* 3/20

### More complex systems needed...



 $e=E(K_E, \text{Transfer 100} \notin \text{on Amazon's account})$  $m=MAC(K_M, E(K_E, \text{Transfer 100} \notin \text{on Amazon's account}))$ 



Replay attack



### ... to achieve more complex properties

- Confidentiality: Some information should never be revealed to unauthorised entities.
- Integrity: Data should not be altered in an unauthorised manner since the time it was created, transmitted or stored by an authorised source.
- Authentication: Ability to know with certainty the identity of an communicating entity.
- ► Anonymity: The identity of the author of an action (*e.g.* sending a message) should not be revealed.
- Unlinkability: An attacker should not be able to deduce whether different services are delivered to the same user
- Non-repudiation: The author of an action should not be able to deny having triggered this action.

# Cryptographic protocols

Cryptographic protocols Programs relying on cryptographic primitives and whose goal is the establishment of "secure" communications.

# Cryptographic protocols

Cryptographic protocols

Programs relying on cryptographic primitives and whose goal is the establishment of "secure" communications.

#### But!

Many exploitable errors are due not to design errors in the primitives, but to the way they are used, *i.e.* bad protocol design and buggy or not careful enough implementation

### Numerous deployed protocols are flawed!!!

**Needham-Schroeder protocol** - G. Lowe, "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public-key authentication protocol"

**Kerberos protocol** - I. Cervesato, A. D. Jaggard, A. Scedrov, J. Tsay, and C. Walstad, "Breaking and fixing public-key kerberos"

**Single-Sign-On protocol** - A. Armando, R. Carbone, L. Compagna, J. Cuellar, and M. L. Tobarra, "Formal analysis of SAML 2.0 web browser single sign-on: breaking the SAML-based single sign-on for google apps"

**PKCS#11 API** - M. Bortolozzo, M. Centenaro, R. Focardi, and G. Steel, "Attacking and fixing PKCS#11 security tokens"

**BAC protocol** - T. Chothia, and V. Smirnov, "A traceability attack against e-passports"

. . .

**AKA protocol** - M. Arapinis, L. Mancini, E. Ritter, and M. Ryan, "New privacy issues in mobile telephony: fix and verification"



#### Many of these attacks do not even break the crypto primitives!!

Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 

Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 



Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 



Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 



Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 

where  $\{m\}_k$  denotes the encryption of message *m* under the key *k* Example: RSA



since  $\{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B} = \{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A} \text{ by commutativity } \}$ 

Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 

where  $\{m\}_k$  denotes the encryption of message m under the key k Example: RSA



since  $\{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B} = \{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A} \text{ by commutativity } \}$ 

・ 「・ < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 

where  $\{m\}_k$  denotes the encryption of message m under the key k Example: RSA



since  $\{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B} = \{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A} \text{ by commutativity } \}$ 

< 日 > < 日 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 오 </li>

Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 

where  $\{m\}_k$  denotes the encryption of message m under the key k Example: RSA



since  $\{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B} = \{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A} \text{ by commutativity } \}$ 

<ロト < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > 三 の Q () 8 / 20

Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 

where  $\{m\}_k$  denotes the encryption of message m under the key k Example: RSA



since  $\{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B} = \{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A} \text{ by commutativity } \}$ 

ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ ○ ○</li>
 8 / 20

Assume a commutative symmetric encryption scheme

 $\{\{m\}_{k_1}\}_{k_2} = \{\{m\}_{k_2}\}_{k_1}$ 

where  $\{m\}_k$  denotes the encryption of message m under the key k Example: RSA



since  $\{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B} = \{\{\text{pin: } 3443\}_{\mathsf{pk}_B}\}_{\mathsf{pk}_A} \text{ by commutativity } \}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol

B Α

[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

- ・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ 日 ・ うへの
  - 9 / 20

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

・ロット 小田 マ 小田 マ トロッ

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ 日 ・ うへの

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

・ロト・白下・ 小田 ト ・田 ・ うくの

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



 $k_{AB} \leftarrow h(N_A, N_B)$   $k_{AB} \leftarrow h(N_A, N_B)$ 

[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

# **NSPK:** security requirements

- Authentication: if Alice has completed the protocol, apparently with Bob, then Bob must also have completed the protocol with Alice.
- Authentication: If Bob has completed the protocol, apparently with Alice, then Alice must have completed the protocol withBob.
- Confidentiality: Messages sent encrypted with the agreed key  $(k \leftarrow h(N_A, NB))$  remain secret.

Attack found 17 years after the publication of the NS protocol!!

#### Attack found 17 years after the publication of the NS protocol!!



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]

#### Attack found 17 years after the publication of the NS protocol!!



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]  $\rightarrow$  ( $\Rightarrow$ ) (

# Attack found 17 years after the publication of the NS protocol!! В Α new N₄ $\operatorname{aenc}(\operatorname{pk}_{I}, \langle N_{A}, A \rangle)$ $\operatorname{aenc}(\operatorname{pk}_B, \langle N_A, A \rangle)$

[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]

#### Attack found 17 years after the publication of the NS protocol!!



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]





[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]





[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]





[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]





[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]  $\mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}$ 

### NSPK: Lowe's fix



 $k_{AB} \leftarrow h(N_A, N_B)$   $k_{AB} \leftarrow h(N_A, N_B)$ 

- $\{m\}_k^s$ : message *m* symmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $\{m\}_k^a$ : message *m* asymmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $[m]_k$ : message *m* digitally signed with key *k*
- ► t<sub>C</sub>, t<sub>K</sub>: timestamps
- $TGT = \{AK, C, t_K\}_{k_T}^s$



- $\{m\}_k^s$ : message *m* symmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $\{m\}_k^a$ : message *m* asymmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $[m]_k$ : message *m* digitally signed with key *k*
- ► t<sub>C</sub>, t<sub>K</sub>: timestamps
- $TGT = \{AK, C, t_K\}_{k_T}^s$



- $\{m\}_k^s$ : message *m* symmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $\{m\}_k^a$ : message *m* asymmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $[m]_k$ : message *m* digitally signed with key *k*
- ► t<sub>C</sub>, t<sub>K</sub>: timestamps
- $TGT = \{AK, C, t_K\}_{k_T}^s$



- $\{m\}_k^s$ : message *m* symmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $\{m\}_k^a$ : message *m* asymmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $[m]_k$ : message *m* digitally signed with key *k*
- ► t<sub>C</sub>, t<sub>K</sub>: timestamps
- $TGT = \{AK, C, t_K\}_{k_T}^s$



- $\{m\}_k^s$ : message *m* symmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $\{m\}_k^a$ : message *m* asymmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $[m]_k$ : message *m* digitally signed with key *k*
- $t_C, t_K$ : timestamps
- $TGT = \{AK, C, t_K\}_{k_T}^s$



- $\{m\}_k^s$ : message *m* symmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $\{m\}_k^a$ : message *m* asymmetrically encrypted under key *k*
- $[m]_k$ : message *m* digitally signed with key *k*
- $t_C, t_K$ : timestamps
- $TGT = \{AK, C, t_K\}_{k_T}^s$



Fixing Public-Key Kerberos". (ASIAN'06)]



Fixing Public-Key Kerberos". (ASIAN'06)]



[I. Cervesato, A. D. Jaggard, A. Scedrov, J. Tsay, C. Walstad. "Breaking and Fixing Public-Key Kerberos". (ASIAN'06)]



[I. Cervesato, A. D. Jaggard, A. Scedrov, J. Tsay, C. Walstad. "Breaking and Fixing Public-Key Kerberos". (ASIAN'06)]



[I. Cervesato, A. D. Jaggard, A. Scedrov, J. Tsay, C. Walstad. "Breaking and Fixing Public-Key Kerberos". (ASIAN'06)]

4 ロ ト 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 9 9 0
14 / 20



[I. Cervesato, A. D. Jaggard, A. Scedrov, J. Tsay, C. Walstad. "Breaking and Fixing Public-Key Kerberos". (ASIAN'06)]



[I. Cervesato, A. D. Jaggard, A. Scedrov, J. Tsay, C. Walstad. "Breaking and Fixing Public-Key Kerberos". (ASIAN'06)]