Network and Internet Vulnerabilities Computer Security Lecture 10

David Aspinall

School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

28th February 2013

Internet attacks and defences

- General pattern in serious Internet incidents:
- 1. Someone finds an exploit (usually via software bug)
- 2. Exploit is seen in the wild, possibly to large effect
- 3. Short-term workarounds; specific detection/recovery
- 4. Proper repairs to software or protocols are issued 5. Over time, most sites implement repairs.
- 6. Remaining sites may be black-listed and outlawed.
- The last step happens in the most serious cases,
- especially where security flaws may be exploited to attack other, well-managed sites.
- Internet security is a distributed community-wide responsibility. Black-listing is a socioeconomic countermeasure. Black lists may be useful for crackers as well as good guys (they list hosts which may have security holes), so systems which are not repaired find themselves being attacked and isolated from the rest of the network.

SYN flooding

- Here is the basic three-part handshake used by Alice to initiate a TCP connection with Bob, and send initial sequence numbers:
 - $\begin{array}{rll} A \rightarrow B : & \text{SYN, } X \\ B \rightarrow A : & \text{ACK, } X + 1; \text{ SYN, } Y \\ A \rightarrow B : & \text{ACK, } Y + 1 \end{array}$
- A DoS attack is SYN Flooding. Alice sends many SYN packets, without acknowledging any replies. Bob accumulates more SYN packets than he can handle. Large-scale attacks were seen in 1996.
- A protocol implementation fix called SYNcookie, is for Bob to send out Y as encrypted version of X, so he doesn't need to keep state. This is implemented in Linux and some other systems.

Spoofing: forged TCP packets

- Responses to attacks. Victim and Internet community want to find attack source, so corporate network administrators or ISP can be notified and given the chance to prevent it (or risk being isolated). Tracing may also allow legal action.
- Tracing a packet to its source is therefore important. But forging source addresses of IP packets is easy!
- SYN flooding attacks usually have forged source addresses. The ACK is either impossible (address not reachable) or targets another machine, sending meaningless ACK packets.
- The SYNcookie fix doesn't prevent flooding. As a countermeasure to assist tracing, network providers should implement ingress filtering on edge routers (RFC 2267). This ensures packets entering the Internet have source addresses within their origin network fragment, restricting forged packets.

Smurfing (directed broadcast)

- The smurfing attack exploits the ICMP (Internet Control Message Protocol) whereby remote hosts respond to echo packets to say they're alive (ping).
 Some implementations respond to pings to broadcast address (idea: ping a LAN to find hosts).
 A bunch of hosts that do it is a smurf amplifier.
- Attack: make packet with forged source address containing the victim's IP number. Send to smurf amplifiers, who swamp target with replies.
- Fix: standards change August 1999, ping packets sent to broadcast addresses aren't answered.
 Number of smurf amplifiers shrank. Black-listing: "concerned sysadmins" at netscan.org published name-and-shame list of misconfigured nets.
- ► A **fraggle**: similar attack with UDP packets (port 7, or other ports). Also attacks using TCP.

netscan.org on 5th Feb 2004

netscan.org

Current count: **10,901** broken networks. Average amplification: 3x

Welcome to **netscan.org**. This site contains a searchable and browsable list of broadcast ICMP ("smurf") amplifiers.

- > 3rd Feb 2005: 2k broken networks reported.
- 29th Jan 2007: www.powertech.no/smurf/ replaces netscan.org, only 231 broken
- ▶ Jan 2008: 124 broken.
- Feb 2009: 106 (2.4m scanned)
- Feb 2010: 120 (2.4m scanned)

2011: Powertech.no

Netscan now replaced by http://smurf.powertech.no/smurf.

Smurf Amplifier Registry (SAR) Current top ten smurf amplifiers (updated every 5 minutes) (last update: 2011-02-06 22:16:01 CET)

Network #Dups #Incidents Registered 212.1.130.0/24 38 0 1999-02-20	at 09:41 21:11	Hon ASS
212.1.130.0/24 38 0 1999-02-20	09:41 21:11	ASS
	21:11	not
194.215.75.0/24 35 0 2000-09-18		110 0
168.188.134.0/24 32 0 2009-04-19	20:44	not
168.188.10.0/24 28 0 2009-04-16	07:01	not
204.158.83.0/24 27 0 1999-02-20	10:09	AS3
209.241.162.0/24 27 0 1999-02-20	08:51	AS7
64.150.223.0/24 23 0 2010-07-28	04:18	not
150.229.208.0/24 23 0 2006-05-26	20:21	not
159.14.24.0/24 20 0 1999-02-20	09:39	AS2
66.179.18.0/24 19 0 2006-05-26	19:37	not
2453740 networks have been probed with the SAR		
93 of them are currently broken		
193806 have been fixed after being listed here		

DDoS attacks

In a distributed denial of service attack, a large number of machines are subverted with malicious code (e.g., via worm or virus), and then synchronized to attack a target together.

- Specific defences:
 - Distribute servers over server farm (expensive)
 - Dynamically relocate network under heavy attack (tricky/ineffective)
 - Pushback: try to dynamically restrict likely DoS packets, by rate-limiting with a congestion signature
- General defences, by improving packet integrity/traceability:
 - Community responsibility: filtering out forged source addresses
 - Have routers add extra ICMP traceback messages with a low probability, e.g., 1 in 20,000. Then sysadmins can trace large-scale attacks back to responsible machines (even if IP spoofing is used).

Sequence number attacks

- Suppose Mallory knows Alice and Bob are hosts on a target LAN, and wants to masquerade as Alice to Bob (in one direction). Here's a strategy:
 - 1. Take Alice down with a DoS attack (optionally)
 - 2. Initiate a new connection with Bob, by sending a SYN packet.
 - 3. Mallory doesn't get Bob's ACK, but may be able to **guess the sequence number** Y used by Bob.
- Initial sequence numbers may be somehow predictable, so Mallory could make his own connection with Bob and a while later use a related Y value when masquerading as Alice. Good IP stack implementations (e.g., most since 2001) use random increments or random values. Many older implementations were not random, or not random enough.
- A complex attack, but can be scripted.



- Plots in 2002 for WinXP (tl), Linux (tr), OS/400 (bl), UNICOS (br).
- See http://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/newtcp

Routing attacks

- Protocols like OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) let routers tell their neighbours about hosts they can reach, and cost metrics (hops). The transitivity of trust in routing protocols makes security difficult.
- An attacker who controls routing protocols can monitor, intercept, and modify much traffic. E.g., malicious node *M* announces low cost route to hosts *A* and *B*, and thereby diverts traffic through itself.
- Packet switched networks route return data flow independently. Using network addresses for authentication falsely trusts integrity of return path, allowing masquerading. Circuit-switched networks less risky, but switches are new trust points.
- TCP includes source routing, for bypassing network outages. Source-routed packets escape the (weak) authentication of the return address. Forged ICMP redirect messages can have similar effect.

DNS attacks

- Many protocols, including most email and web protocols (e.g., smtp and http) assume that lower levels are secure. The most they will do to authenticate is check source or destination addresses using DNS look-ups of hostname or reverse look-ups of IP addresses.
- If the DNS can be corrupted somehow, DNS checks may be unreliable, leading to address forgery, spam, in general, powerful spoofing attacks (e.g. "pharming").
- The attack called DNS cache poisoning is based on feeding false information into locally cached DNS tables. It means that, within some network portion, a web site can be redirected elsewhere, for example, completely outwith the web-site server's control.

Connection hijacking

- An attacker who observes the current sequence number of a connection can inject phony packets.
 - 1. Alice logs in to a server. Mallory watches.
 - 2. At the right moment, he disconnects/disables Alice 3. Then he takes over the session: if he gets the
 - 3. Then he takes over the session; if he gets the sequence number correct, it is accepted by the server.
- Session hijacking may be detected by the server, if the acknowledgement packet sent by Mallory cites data that it never sent. The server ought to reset the connection; instead sometimes an error condition is assumed, and current sequence numbers are resent.
- Can also prevent Alice noticing, by sending synchronized empty packets instead of disconnecting, and letting her reconnect to server afterward.

X Window protocol

- In X, a server runs the physical screen, keyboard, and mouse; applications connect and are allocated use of those resources. A malicious application can monitor all keystrokes, dump the screen, scribble on it, etc.
- X has several authentication mechanisms of varying quality:
 - 1. none
 - 2. IP address
 - 3. "magic cookie" clear-text password
 - 4. cryptographic mechanisms

If any of these authentication mechanisms are broken by an attacker, he can attach a malicious application to the server.

UDP..., RPC, NFS, NIS

- UDP, the User Datagram Protocol, is connectionless. There isn't even the weak authentication from a return path, so source addresses cannot be trusted at all.
- Protocols built on UDP are therefore immediately at risk, unless they implement their own security mechanisms. Unfortunately, the most important, **RPC** (*Remote Procedure Call*) does not. The ordinary RPC authentication field is unsecure; the RPC crypto option is rarely used.
- RPC is used to implement NFS (Network File System), and NIS (Network Information Service).
- NFS and NIS have had numerous additional security problems. NFS file-handles can be guessed. NIS may serve up password files, and NIS server responses can be faked. Newer replacements are recommended.

SNMP

- SNMP, the simple network management protocol, is used to configure network devices including routers and switches, and allows servers and devices to report status information.
- Useful for hackers to obtain sensitive info about systems, for example, routing tables.
- Later versions of SNMP have security features (MD5 authentication, DES encryption), but many devices only implement SNMPv1 which sends reports and passwords in clear text.
- Many reported flaws in particular implementations (libraries, specific network devices).

Telephony: H.323 and SIP

- Increasingly importance with rise of VoIP and linking existing telecoms networks to the Internet.
 Protocols must carry data channels and switching information, usually also allow teleconferencing.
- H.323
 - protocol based on ISDN signaling protocol Q.931
 - uses separate UDP ports, via intermediate server(s);
 - firewall must parse ASN.1 to find port numbers.
- **SIP**, the Session Initiation Protocol:
 - ASCII based, similar to HTTP; uses MIME and S/MIME.
 - Data transport direct between end points (P2P)
 - Voice traffic on another transport, e.g. RTP over UDP
 - Strong security provisions built in.
- **Skype**, proprietary P2P protocol.
- Various vulnerabilities reported by CERT/CC, UK NISCC, University of Oulou's PROTOS tool. Including DoS and worse.

Other attacks, mechanisms and tools

- Packet sniffers are eavesdropping tools which collect packets passing over the network, typically to skim plaintext login ids and passwords.
- Port scanning tools or more generally vulnerability scanners can be used to find and investigate network hosts open to particular attacks. Useful to good guys as well as bad guys. Examples: nmap, SATAN, Nessus.
- Authentication attacks based on breaking authentication protocols or brute-force guessing passwords or keys. Can be easy: many network devices have default passwords or hidden "service" accounts.
- Software bug attacks exploit bugs in particular network server (or client) program versions. Most incidents raised by CERT/CC are because of program bugs.

References

Surveys of network attacks and defences are in the Wily Hacker book and Anderson's book. For more recent and practical information, look on the Internet, e.g., articles in the hacker magazine Phrack, http://www.phrack.org.

- Ross Anderson. Security Engineering: A Comprehensive Guide to Building Dependable Distributed Systems.
 2nd Edition. Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- William R Cheswick, Steven M Bellovin, and Aviel D Rubin. Firewalls and Internet Security Second Edition: Repelling the Wily Hacker. Addison-Wesley, 2003.

Recommended Reading

Chapter 21 of Anderson.