

# Computer Programming: Skills & Concepts (CP1)

## Sorting

2nd November 2010

## Monday's lecture

- ▶ Arguing a program is correct
- ▶ Linear Search of an array.
- ▶ Binary search of an array
- ▶ (Theoretical) measurement of running time
- ▶ Timing your code on DICE
- ▶ *I never got to cover the slides on BubbleSort*

**NOTE** In the tests in `search.c`, I did NOT initialise the test array to be *sorted* (as required by `BinarySearch`)  
... does not matter as the key `-1` is not in the array at all

## Today's lecture

- ▶ BubbleSort algorithm (from slides18.pdf).
- ▶ New sorting algorithm called MergeSort
- ▶ Analysis of running time.
- ▶ `calloc` for dynamically-sized arrays.

# Merge

## Idea:

Suppose we have two arrays  $a$ ,  $b$  of length  $n$ ; and  $m$  respectively, and that these arrays ARE ALREADY SORTED. Then the merge of  $a$  and  $b$  is the sorted array of length  $n+m$  we get by walking through both arrays jointly, taking the smallest item at each step.

example on board

## merge

```
void merge(int a[], int b[], int c[], int m, int n) {
    int i=0, j=0, k=0;
    while (i < m && j < n) {
        if (a[i] <= b[j])
            c[k++] = a[i++];
        else
            c[k++] = b[j++];
    }
    while (i < m)           /* copying the 'rest' into c
        c[k++] = a[i++];    * (if b got finished first) */
    while (j < n)           /* copying the 'rest' into c
        c[k++] = b[j++];    * (if a got finished first) */
}
```

## MergeSort - the idea

Given an array  $a$  of length  $n$ .

- (i) Sort all subarrays of length 2:  $a[0..1]$ ,  $a[2..3]$ ...
- (ii) Create sorted subarrays of length  $2 * 2 = 4$  by *merging* pairs of the sorted length-2 subarrays ...
- (iii) Create sorted subarrays of length  $2 * 4 = 8$  by *merging* pairs of the sorted length-4 subarrays ...

...

*Iterative* approach - build from “the bottom up”.

At each step we double the size of our “windows of interest”

## mergesort

```
void mergesort(int key[], int n){
    int j, k, *w;
    w = calloc(n, sizeof(int)); /* Allocate space for the array */
    assert (w != NULL);        /* If not enough space, stop! */
    if ((n % 2) == 1)
        w[n-1] = key[n-1];
    for (k = 1; k < n; k *= 2) {
        for (j = 0; j < n - 2*k; j += 2*k)
            merge(key + j, key + j + k, w + j, k, k);
        if (n-j > k) /* k, n-j-k different => more work. */
            merge(key + j, key + j + k, w + j, k, (n-j)-k);
        for (j = 0; j < n; ++j) /* copy sorted array into 'key' */
            key[j] = w[j];
    }
    free(w); /* Free-up memory pointed to by w */
}
```

## checking output

```
* Function to write-out the contents of key[]. */
void wrt(int key[], int sz) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < sz; ++i)
        printf("%4d%s", key[i], ((i < sz - 1) ? "" : "\n"));
}
```

## Trial run

```
int main(void) {
    int i, sz, key[] = {4, 3, 1, 67, 0, 4, -5, 37, 7, 2, -1, 199};
    sz = sizeof(key)/sizeof(int);
    printf("Before mergesort: \n");
    wrt(key, sz);
    mergesort(key, sz);
    printf("After mergesort:\n");
    wrt(key, sz);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

## calloc

In previous applications we have always specified the length of the array as a fixed parameter defined in advance, directly in the program.

To define array size *dynamically*, use `calloc`:

- ▶ `calloc()` takes 2 arguments (of type `size_t`):  
`calloc(n, el_size)`
- ▶ This allocates (if available) space an array of length `n` of type `el` (each cell using `el_size` bytes).
- ▶ `calloc ()` returns a pointer to the address of the start of the array in memory.
- ▶ Space created is initialized to all-bits-0.
- ▶ `malloc()` similar.

## Running-time of mergesort

- (a) We double the “merge-size”  $k$  (starting from 1) at each pass.
  - (b) Can do this ONLY  $2 \log(n)$  times for  $k < n$ .
  - (c) Do a linear amount of “work” ( $\Theta(n)$ ) across the array for each value of  $k$ .
- ⇒ Roughly  $\Theta(n \log(n))$  overall running-time.

Not quite as **obvious** that (b) is true when the array-length is not a power-of-2 ... still true though!

**Big difference in speed from BubbleSort. EXPERIMENT**

## A more dramatic example

Sometimes the gap between a good and bad algorithm can be dramatic. Consider the problem of testing whether an  $n$ -bit number is prime.

- ▶ The obvious brute force method requires  $2^{n/2}$  integer divisions
  - ▶ *why?*
  - ▶ This is completely infeasible if  $n = 200$  (say).
- ▶ On the other hand, a (non-obvious) algorithm for primality testing which take time *polynomial* in  $n$  was discovered in 2002 (Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena)

(Needed for RSA public-key cryptosystem.)

## Homework

- ▶ Sections 6.8 and 6.9 of Kelley and Pohl!
- ▶ Experiment with the code.