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Course Work

- Based on GCC compiler
- One piece of course work: 25 % of course mark
- Set today and due **Thursday 4pm February 27th** week 6
- Feedback due **Thursday March 13th** week 8
- Penalties for late submission.
- Plagiarism software used. Do your own work!
The Goal of the Project

- Evaluate different compiler optimisation settings on a set of benchmarks.
- Analyse the performance of each benchmark under different settings.
- Write a report about your methodology and your findings.
Program Optimisation in GCC

- GCC supports some simple levels of optimisations: -O1, -O2, -O3
- At each level, a set of optimisations are enabled (25 for O1, 25+29 for O2 and 19+28+6 for O3)
- At higher levels, more optimisations are enabled which results in potentially faster code, but also slows down the compilation process.
- Rather than using these pre-defined optimisation options, the users can enable individual options themselves, e.g. "-funroll-loops".
- For more information on optimisation options see [http://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Optimize-Options.html](http://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Optimize-Options.html)
Methodology: Evaluating Compiler Flags

- Always use `-O1`: basic optimizations, likely to be beneficial
- Pick 10 optimizations from `-O2` and all 6 from `-O3`; see [http://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Optimize-Options.html](http://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Optimize-Options.html)
- Additionally consider loop unrolling (`-funroll-loops`) with `max-unroll-times` of 2, 4, 8, 16 or 32

$$2^{16} + 2^{16} \times 5 = 393216$$ possible combinations

- Evaluate 200 randomly chosen configurations (i.e. combinations of optimizations)
- Use the same configurations for all benchmarks!
Running Experiments

- Avoid noise:
  - Make sure no one else is logged on to the computer (using `who`) and no other applications are running (using `top`).
  - Don’t run on top of AFS ⇒ use `/disk/scratch` or `/tmp`.
  - BUT: move the results back to your home-directory and don’t leave the data accessible to everyone.

- Run benchmarks at least 10 times to get stable results.
  - Determine how many measurements you need to get a stable value.
  - Compute and report average runtime.
  - Also report the variance and the number of iterations you used.
Running Experiments - Cont.

- Use scripting languages (such as Perl) to automate the process of evaluating optimisations on the benchmark programs.

- Example (pseudo code)

```plaintext
for each b in benchmarks
  for each o in optimisations
    compile b with o
    run b N times and record runtimes
    calculate average runtime and variance
  end
end
```
The Benchmarks

• We use 14 benchmarks from the SPEC CPU2006 and MediaBench II suites.
• CPU intensive benchmarks developed from real user applications.
• Download and extract the programs (use wget) from: https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B5GasMlWJhTOaTdvaFkzUzNobDQ/edit
• Let Chris or myself know if you need more disk space!
Directory Structure

```
spec/
  400.perlbench/
    src/
      Makefile
    data/
    run.sh
  401.bzip2/
    ...
```
Compiling and Running the Benchmarks

- Compiling a program with certain optimisations enabled and executing it a single time:

  cd 400.perlbench/src/
  make CFLAGS="-funroll-loops --param max-unroll-times=4"

  cd ../
  ./run.sh
Report and Results

- Maximum 5 pages + 2 pages for results
- Explain what you have done.
- Precisely describe the experimental setup.
  - Number of runs per benchmark/configuration
- For every program report performance of:
  - Baseline $-O0$, $-O1$, $-O2$, $-O3$
  - Best found flags for individual program.
  - Best found single set of flags across all programs.
  - Average across all flag settings (expected random performance).
- Results should be detailed: per-program, average, variance
Report and Results - contd.

• Store all raw data in a file. For each program:
  • First line: program name
  • Following lines: flag setting and all runtimes
  • Runtimes in milliseconds, without decimal digits

400.perlbench
"-00"  837  833  890  850  813  828  ...
"-01"  602  620  610  611  650  580  ...
...
401.bzip2
"-00"  837  833  890  850  813  828  ...
"-01"  602  620  610  611  650  580  ...
...

• e-mail file to: c.margiolas@ed.ac.uk WITH the subject: copt-results
Report Structure

- Abstract. (Summary of paper) and Introduction
- Evaluation methodology: Selection of flags, etc.
- Experimental setup: Platform. How time was measured. Number of runs.
- Results (for each program)
  - Baseline \(-O0, -O1, -O2, -O3\)
  - Best found flags for individual program.
  - Best found single set of flags across all programs.
  - Average across all flag settings (expected random performance).
- Analysis and Discussion of Results. Followed by conclusion.
Submission. Awarding of Marks

• Submit to ITO written report by 4pm Thursday 27th February.

• Marks are awarded for clear explanation of experimental methodology and thorough analysis of results.

• Remember wish to see optimization setting that gives best results per program AND the setting that is best for all the benchmarks.
Final Remarks

- For further questions
  - e-mail: c.margiolas@ed.ac.uk

- Start early!!
  It takes time to run the experiments!

- Deadline: Thursday 27/02/2014 4pm
- No lecture on Monday 20/1 - next lecture on Thursday 23/1