UG3 Computability and Intractability (2009-2010): Note 3
$\S 3$. The Turing machine. In this note we introduce Turing's formal model of computing; there are many possible variations. Informally, a Turing machine consists of a tape, a head which 'scans' the tape, and a finite control. The tape is a linear sequence of squares, each of which contains one of a finite number of distinct symbols. The tape has a definite left hand end, but is unbounded to the right. There is a special symbol called the blank symbol; at any instant during the computation of a Turing machine, all but a finite number of tape squares contain the blank symbol. (So the existence of an unbounded tape should not worry us unduly: it represents a potential rather than actual infinity.) At any time instant, the head scans (or is positioned over) a particular tape square.

The Turing machine starts with some input written on the squares of the tape, with the head positioned over the leftmost tape square. The machine then makes a sequence of moves or transitions. A move of the Turing machine is determined by the symbol $s$ scanned by the tape head, and the current state $q$ of the finite control. For some pairs ( $q, s$ ) no move is defined; in this case the machine halts and is deemed to have rejected its input unless $q$ is the final state as described below. If a move is defined for the pair $(q, s)$, the machine undergoes three changes:

1. the finite control assumes a new state;
2. the head prints a symbol on the scanned tape square, overwriting whatever was previously there;
3. the head is moved one square left or right.

A certain state of the finite control is designated the accepting or final state; when the machine enters this state it immediately halts and is deemed to have accepted its input.

To avoid any possible misunderstandings, and to emphasize the point that the computational process described above is well defined and perfectly mechanical, we shall now present a more formal definition of the Turing machine. (As the course progresses, and we become more familiar with the model, much of this formality may safely be dropped. There is no intrinsic merit in formality.)

A Turing machine is described by a 7 -tuple $M=\left(Q, \Gamma, \Sigma, \hbar, q_{I}, q_{F}, \delta\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & \text { is a finite set of states, } \\
\Gamma & \text { is a finite tape alphabet, } \\
\Sigma \subset \Gamma & \text { is the input alphabet, } \\
\hbar \in \Gamma-\Sigma & \text { is the blank symbol, } \\
q_{I} \in Q & \text { is the initial state, } \\
q_{F} \in Q & \text { is the final state, }
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\delta$ is the transition function, which is a partial function mapping $Q \times \Gamma$ to $Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}$. ( $L$ and $R$ can be interpreted as left and right, respectively.)

The disposition of the machine $M$ at any instant is completely described by the sequence $\alpha q \beta$, where $q \in Q$ is the current state of $M, \alpha \in \Gamma^{*}$ is the finite sequence of tape symbols reading from the left hand end of the tape up to but not including the scanned symbol, and $\beta$ is the infinite sequence of tape symbols starting at the scanned symbol and reading to the right. Note that the sequence $\alpha$ may be empty (corresponding to the head being positioned over the leftmost tape square). We shall temporarily refer to the infinite sequence $\alpha q \beta$ so derived as the configuration ${ }^{12}$ of $M$.

We now define a move of the machine $M$. Let

$$
x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, q, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots
$$

be a configuration of $M$. If the (partial) function $\delta$ is not defined on the pair ( $q, x_{i}$ ) then the machine halts. If $\delta\left(q, x_{i}\right)$ is defined, and is equal to $\left(q^{\prime}, y, R\right)$, then the new configuration of $M$ after a single move is

$$
x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y, q^{\prime}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots
$$

Finally suppose $\delta\left(q, x_{i}\right)=\left(q^{\prime}, y, L\right)$. If $i=1$, the machine $M$ halts and rejects. (The head is not allowed to drop off the left hand end of the tape.) Otherwise the new configuration of $M$ is

$$
x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i-2}, q^{\prime}, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots
$$

Now observe that the infinite sequence $\gamma=\alpha q \beta$, which we have been referring to as a configuration of $M$, contains only a finite number of non-blank symbols. Thus, $\gamma$ may be truncated to a finite sequence, without any loss of information, simply by stripping away all trailing blanks. From now on, the term configuration will be used exclusively to refer to the finite sequence obtained from $\gamma$ in this way.

If $\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ are configurations of $M$, then we write $\gamma_{0} \vdash \gamma_{1}$ if $\gamma_{1}$ follows from $\gamma_{0}$ via a single move, and $\gamma_{0} \vdash^{*} \gamma_{1}$ if $\gamma_{1}$ can be reached from $\gamma_{0}$ via some finite (possibly empty) sequence of moves. The language accepted by $M$, denoted by $L(M)$, is the set of all words $x \in \Sigma^{*}$ such that $M$, when run with $x$ as input, eventually enters the accepting state. Formally,

$$
L(M)=\left\{x \in \Sigma^{*} \mid q_{I} x \vdash^{*} \alpha q_{F} \beta, \text { where } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma^{*}\right\} .
$$

[^0]The computation of $M$ on input $x$ is the sequence of configurations $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots$, where $\gamma_{0}=q_{I} x$ and $\gamma_{i} \vdash \gamma_{i+1}$ for each $i$. A computation may be finite (terminating) or infinite (non-terminating). This completes the formal definition of a Turing machine. As a final convention however we will assume that the transition function is never defined for any pair $\left(q_{F}, s\right)$ where $s$ is any tape symbol (i.e., if $q_{F}$ is reached there is no instruction for a next move as will be seen below).

It is convenient to establish some conventions for writing down the transition function of a Turing machine $M$. Two conventions are commonly employed. In the first, the transition function $\delta$ is presented as a list of quintuples. For each pair $(q, s) \in Q \times \Gamma$ there is at most one quintuple of the form $\left(q, s, q^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, d\right)$ where $\left(q^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, d\right) \in Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}$. If no such quintuple exists, then $\delta(q, s)$ is undefined; otherwise, $\delta(q, s)=\left(q^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, d\right)$. The second convention represents the transition function as a directed multigraph ${ }^{13}$ on vertex set $Q$, whose edges are labelled by triples. The presence of a directed edge from vertex $q$ to vertex $q^{\prime}$ with label $\left(s, s^{\prime}, d\right) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}$ denotes the fact that $\delta(q, s)=\left(q^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, d\right)$. Again, for each $q$ and $s$ there can be at most one such edge.

Example Consider the machine $M_{\text {palin }}$ with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{6}\right\}, q_{I}=q_{0}, q_{F}=q_{6}$, $\Sigma=\{0,1\}, \Gamma=\{0,1, \hbar\}$, and with transition function specified by the set of quintuples

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\left\{\left(q_{0}, \hbar, q_{6}, b, R\right),\right. & \left(q_{0}, 0, q_{1}, \hbar, R\right), & \left(q_{0}, 1, q_{3}, \hbar, R\right), \\
\left(q_{1}, \hbar, q_{2}, \hbar, L\right), & \left(q_{1}, 0, q_{1}, 0, R\right), & \left(q_{1}, 1, q_{1}, 1, R\right), \\
\left(q_{2}, \hbar, q_{6}, \hbar, R\right), & \left(q_{2}, 0, q_{5}, \hbar, L\right), & \\
\left(q_{3}, \hbar, q_{4}, \hbar, L\right), & \left(q_{3}, 0, q_{3}, 0, R\right), & \left(q_{3}, 1, q_{3}, 1, R\right), \\
\left(q_{4}, \hbar, q_{6}, \hbar, R\right), & & \left(q_{4}, 1, q_{5}, \hbar, L\right), \\
\left(q_{5}, b, q_{0}, \hbar, R\right), & \left(q_{5}, 0, q_{5}, 0, L\right), & \left.\left(q_{5}, 1, q_{5}, 1, L\right)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

The language accepted by $M_{\text {palin }}$ is the set of all palindromes in $\{0,1\}^{*}$. A typical accepting computation of $M_{\text {palin }}$ is the following, where the input is the palindrome 0110:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
q_{0} 0110 & \vdash & \hbar q_{1} 110 & \vdash & \hbar 1 q_{1} 10 & \vdash & \hbar 11 q_{1} 0 & \vdash & \hbar 110 q_{1} & \vdash & \\
\hbar 11 q_{2} 0 & \vdash & \hbar 1 q_{5} 1 & \vdash & \hbar q_{5} 11 & \vdash & q_{5} \delta 11 & \vdash & \hbar q_{0} 11 & \vdash & \\
\hbar \hbar q_{3} 1 & \vdash & \hbar \hbar 1 q_{3} & \vdash & \hbar \hbar q_{4} 1 & \vdash & \hbar q_{5} & \vdash & \hbar \hbar q_{0} & \vdash & \hbar \hbar \hbar q_{6} .
\end{array}
$$

A typical rejecting computation of $M_{\text {palin }}$ is the following, where the input is the non-palindrome 0111:

$$
q_{0} 0111 \vdash \delta q_{1} 111 \vdash \delta 1 q_{1} 11 \vdash \delta 11 q_{1} 1 \vdash \delta 111 q_{1} \vdash \delta 11 q_{2} 1 .
$$

Exercises (i) Present the transition function of $M_{\text {palin }}$ as a directed multigraph. (ii) Follow the computation of $M_{\text {palin }}$ on a palindrome of odd length and a nonpalindrome of odd length. (iii) Give an informal inductive argument that $L\left(M_{\text {palin }}\right)$ is the language of all palindromes over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$.

[^1]We have so far viewed Turing machines as accepters of languages over the alphabet $\Sigma$ or, equivalently, as computers of predicates on $\Sigma^{*}$. We can also use Turing machines to compute more general functions, from $\Sigma^{*}$ to $\Sigma^{*}$. If the machine halts on a given input string then we regard whatever appears on the final tape from the left most square up to (but not including) the first symbol not from $\Sigma$ as the result of the computation; if the machine does not halt then the function is undefined for the given input string. A Turing machine which is used in this mode is called a transducer. Note that the function computed by a transducer is a partial function since the transducer may not halt on all inputs, indeed it might not halt on any input. (Recall that, as we saw in $\S 1.3$, the possibility of non-termination is an inherent feature of any general method of computing.)

Example Consider the machine $M_{\mathrm{div} 3}$ with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}, q_{I}=q_{0}, q_{F}=q_{3}$, $\Sigma=\{0,1\}, \Gamma=\{0,1, \hbar\}$, and with transition function specified by the set of quintuples

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\left\{\left(q_{0}, \hbar, q_{3}, \hbar, R\right),\right. & \left(q_{0}, 0, q_{0}, 0, R\right), & \left(q_{0}, 1, q_{1}, 0, R\right), \\
\left(q_{1}, \hbar, q_{3}, \hbar, R\right), & \left(q_{1}, 0, q_{2}, 0, R\right), & \left(q_{1}, 1, q_{0}, 1, R\right), \\
\left(q_{2}, \hbar, q_{3}, \hbar, R\right), & \left(q_{2}, 0, q_{1}, 1, R\right), & \left.\left(q_{2}, 1, q_{2}, 1, R\right)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

On input $x \in\{0,1\}^{*}$, the machine $M_{\text {div3 }}$ computes $y=\lfloor x / 3\rfloor$, where $x$ and $y$ are both interpreted as binary integers. A typical computation of $M_{\text {div3 }}$ is the following, where the input is 10100, i.e., 20 in binary:

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
q_{0} 10100 & \vdash 0 q_{1} 0100 & \vdash 00 q_{2} 100 & \vdash \\
001 q_{2} 00 & \vdash 0011 q_{1} 0 & \vdash 00110 q_{2} & \vdash & \\
00110 \hbar q_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

Exercise Provide an interpretation for the states $q_{0}, q_{1}$, and $q_{2}$.
Finally, the machine that is described in the final paragraph of the quotation of Note 2 is very close to the Turing machine defined here. The main difference is that the machine described by Turing can observe $B$ squares simultaneously (i.e., has $B$ heads) and can move each head through $L$ squares in a single move. Clearly we have simplified the definitions by setting $L$ and $B$ to be 1 . (The machine has one head which can move one square in a single move.) We shall argue later that this simplification leads to no loss of generality: a machine with one head moving one square at a time can do the work of a machine with $B$ heads moving $L$ squares at a time, albeit more slowly.


[^0]:    ${ }^{12}$ These 'configurations' should not be confused with the ' $m$-configurations' defined in the extract from Turing's paper given in Note 2. The latter correspond instead to states, $q \in Q$, of what we have called the 'finite control'. Hence there are only a finite number of possible $m$-configurations but infinitely many configurations.

[^1]:    ${ }^{13}$ In a multigraph, several edges may share the same start and end vertices.

