Virtual Memory

Motivation:

- Each process would like to see its own, full, address space
- Clearly impossible to provide full physical memory for all processes
- Processes may define a large address space but use only a small part of it at any one time
- Processes would like their memory to be protected from access and modification by other processes
- The operating system needs to be protected from applications
Virtual Memory

Basic idea:

- Each process has its own Virtual Address Space, divided into fixed-sized pages.

- Virtual pages that are in use get mapped to pages of physical memory (called page frames).
  - Virtual memory: pages
  - Physical memory: frames

- Virtual pages not recently used may be stored on disk.

- Extends the memory hierarchy out to the swap partition of a disk.
Virtual and Physical Memory

- Example 4K page size
- Process 1 has pages A, B, C and D
- Page B is held on disk
- Process 2 has pages X, Y, Z
- Page Z is held on disk
- Process 1 cannot access pages X, Y, Z
- Process 2 cannot access page A, B, C, D
- O/S can access any page (full privileges)
Sharing memory using Virtual Aliases (Synonym)

- Process 1 and Process 2 want to share a page of memory
- Process 1 maps virtual page A to physical page P
- Process 2 maps virtual page Z to physical page P
- Permissions can vary between the sharing processors.
- Note: Process 1 can also map the same physical page at multiple virtual addresses!!
Typical Virtual Memory Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>L1 cache</th>
<th>memory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>4KB-64KB</td>
<td>128MB-1TB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>block/page</td>
<td>16-128 bytes</td>
<td>4KB-4GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hit time</td>
<td>1-3 cycles</td>
<td>100-300 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miss penalty</td>
<td>8-300 cycles</td>
<td>1M-10M cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miss rate</td>
<td>0.1-10%</td>
<td>0.00001-0.001%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modern OS’s support several page sizes for flexibility. On Linux:
- Normal pages: 4KB
- Huge pages: 2MB or 1GB

Virtual Memory miss is called a page fault

¹ Note: these parameters are due to a combination of physical memory organization and virtual memory implementation.
Virtual Memory Policies

- Block identification: finding the correct page frame
  - Assigning tags to memory page frames and comparing tags is impractical
  - OS maintains a table that maps all virtual pages to physical page frames: Page Table (PT)
  - The OS updates the PT with a new mapping whenever it allocates a page frame to a virtual page
  - PT is accessed on a memory request to translate virtual to physical address → inefficient!
    - Solution: cache translations (TLB)
  - One PT per process and one for the OS
Virtual Memory Policies

- Block placement: location of a page in memory
  - More freedom → lower miss rates, higher hit and miss penalties
  - Memory access time is already high and memory miss penalty (i.e., disk access time) is huge ⇒ must minimize miss rates
  - As a result, memory is fully associative → a virtual page can be located in any page frame
    - No conflict misses
    - Important to reduce time to find a page in memory (hit time)
  - To place new pages in memory, OS maintains a list of free frames

- Block placement may be constrained by use of translated virtual address bits when indexing the cache (see later)
Virtual Memory Policies

- **Block replacement:** choosing a page frame to reuse
  - Minimize misses (page faults) → LRU policy
    - True LRU expensive – must minimize CPU time of the algorithm
    - Simple solution: OS sets a Used bit whenever a page is accessed in a time quantum. In the next quantum, any page with its Used bit clear is eligible for replacement.
      - This requires 2 sets of Used bits
  - Minimize write backs to disk → give priority to clean pages

- **Write strategy:** what happens when a page is written
  - Write-through: would mean writing the cache block back to disk whenever the page is updated in main memory
    → not practical due to latency and bandwidth considerations (~4 orders of magnitude latency gap between memory & disk)
  - Write-back: the norm in today’s virtual memory systems
    - OS tracks modified pages through the use of Dirty bits in page table entries
Page Tables and Address Translation

Page Table Entry (PTE):
- Track access permissions for each page
  - Read, Write, Execute
- Bit indicates if page is on disk, in which case Physical Page Number indicates location within swap file
- “Dirty” bit indicates if there were any writes to the page
- 4B per PTE in this example
Making Page Tables space-efficient

- The number of entries in the table is the number of virtual pages → many!
  - e.g., 4KB pages
    → $2^{20} = 1$M entries for a 32b address space ➔ need 4MB/process
    → $2^{52}$ entries for a 64b address space ➔ petabytes per process!
  - Solution:
    ▪ Exploit the observation that the virtual address space of each process is sparse → only a fraction of all virtual addresses actually used
    ▪ hash virtual addresses to avoid maintaining a map from each virtual page (many) to physical frame (few).
    ▪ Resulting structure is called the inverted page table

- Other (complementary) solutions:
  - Store PTs in the virtual memory of the OS, and swap out recently unused portions
  - Use large pages
Fast address translation: TLB

- Typically a small, fully-associative cache of Page Table Entries (PTE)
- Tag given by VPN for that PTE
- PPN taken from PTE
- Valid bit required
- D bit (dirty) indicates whether page has been modified
- R, W, X bits indicate Read, Write and Execute permission
- Permissions are checked on every memory access
- Physical address formed from PPN and Page Offset
- TLB Exceptions:
  - TLB miss (no matching entry)
  - Privilege violation
- Often separate TLBs for Instruction and Data references
How to address a cache in a virtual-memory system

Option 1: **physically-addressed caches** → perform address translation before cache access

- Hit time is increased to accommodate translation 😞

**Virtual address:** 0x0004

**Physical address:** 0xc104
Option 2: virtually-addressed caches → perform address translation after cache access if miss
  - Hit time does not include translation 😊
  - Aliases 😞
Problems with virtually addressed caches

- Virtually tagged data cache problems:
  - A program may use different virtual addresses pointing to the same physical address (Aliases or Synonyms)
    - Two copies could exist in the same data cache
    - Writing to copy 1 would not be reflected in copy 2
    - Reading copy 2 would get stale data
    - Thus, does not provide a coherent view of memory
  - Also, must be able to distinguish across different processes: same VA, different PA (Homonyms)
Solutions for handling homonyms and synonyms

- Flush cache on context switch or add process ID to each tag
  - Will solve the homonym problem
  - But will not solve the synonym problem.

- Use physically addressed caches
  - Will solve homonym problem.
  - Will also solve synonym problem.
    - Synonyms all have same physical address, thus one copy exists in each cache
    - Implication: need to do address translation before accessing cache.

- Use physically addressed tags?
  - Must translate addresses before cache tag check
  - May still be able to index cache using non-translated low-order address bits under certain circumstances.
VI-PT: translating in parallel with L1-$ access

- Access TLB and L1-$ in parallel
- Requires that L1-$ index be obtained from the non-translated bits of the virtual address.
- This constraint in the number of bits available for the index limits the size of the cache!

**IMPORTANT:**
If the cache Index extends beyond bit 11, into the translated part of the address, then translation must take place before the cache can be indexed.
Coping with large VI-PT caches

- Multi-way caches: multiple blocks in the same set
  - E.g., Intel Haswell: 32KB 8-way cache w/ 4KB pages
    → High associativity affords large capacity

- Check other potential sets for aliases on a miss
  - E.g., AMD Opteron: 64KB 2-way cache w/ 4KB pages
    → on a miss, 7 add’l cycles to check for aliases in other sets

- Larger page size: more bits available for the index
  - Not a universal solution, since most OS’ normal page size is 4-8KB
Coping with large VI-PT caches (con’d)

- Rely on page allocator in the O/S to allocate pages such that the translation of index bits would always be an identity relation
  - Hence, if virtual address A translates to physical address P, then Page Allocator must guarantee that: \( V[12] = P[12] \)
  - This approach is referred to as “page coloring”.

Any translated bit used to index the cache must be identical in both the Virtual and Physical addresses.
Summary: how to address a cache

- **PI-PT**: Physically indexed, physically tagged
  - Translation first; then cache access
  - Con: Translation occurs in sequence with L1-$ access \(\rightarrow\) high latency

- **VI-VT**: Virtually indexed, virtually tagged
  - L1-$ indexed with virtual address, tag contains virtual address
  - Con: Cannot distinguish synonyms/homonyms in cache
  - Pro: Only perform TLB lookup on L1-$ miss

- **VI-PT**: Virtually indexed, physically tagged
  - L1-$ indexed with virtual address, or often just the un-translated bits
  - Translation must take place before tag can be checked
  - Con: Translation must take place on every L1-$ access
  - Pro: No synonyms/homonyms in the cache

- **PI-VT**: Physically indexed, virtually tagged
  - Not interesting