<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text>







Biological Networks

- Genes act in cascades
- Proteins form functional complexes
- Metabolism formed from enzymes and substrates
- The CNS neurons act in functional networks
- Epidemiology mechanics of disease spread
- Social networks interactions between individuals in a population
- Food Chains

Non-biological networks

- Research into WWW, internet and human social networks observed different network properties
 - 'Scale-free' networks
 - -P(k) follows a power law: $P(k) \approx k^{-\gamma}$
 - Network is dominated by a small number of highly connected nodes - hubs
 - These connect the other more sparsely connected nodes

<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

6 degrees of separation ..?

- Stanley Milgram's work in late 1960's
- Sent letters to people in Nebraska
- Target unknown person in Massachusetts
- Average 6 'jumps' to reach target

(only 5% got there)

Random mutations in metabolic networks

Simulate the effect of random mutations or mutations targeted towards hub <u>nodes</u>.

- Measure network diameter
- Sensitive to hub attack
- Robust to random

Armstrong, 2009

Consequences for scale free networks

- Removal of highly connected hubs leads to rapid increase in network diameter
 - Rapid degeneration into isolated clusters
 - Isolate clusters = loss of functionality
- Random mutations usually hit non hub nodes
 - therefore robust
- Redundant connectivity (many more paths between nodes)

Network Motifs

- Do all types of connections exist in networks?
- Milo et al studied the transcriptional regulatory networks in yeast and E.Coli.
- Calculated all the three and four gene combinations possible and looked at their frequency

Gene sub networks

Network	Nodes	Edges	N _{real}	$N_{\rm rand} \pm {\rm SD}$	Z score	Nreal	$N_{\rm rand} \pm {\rm SD}$	Z score
Gene regulati (transcription	on 1)			×X ♥ ¥ ♥ Z	Feed- forward loop	x	v ₩	Bi-fan
E. coli	424	519	40	7 ± 3	10	203	47 ± 12	13
S. cerevisiae*	685	1,052	70	11 ± 4	14	1812	300 ± 40	41

Heavy bias in both yeast and E.coli towards these two sub network architectures

	Network	Nodes	Edges	Nreal	$N_{\rm rand} \pm {\rm SD}$	Z score	Nreal	$N_{\rm rand} \pm {\rm SD}$	Z score	Nreal	N _{rand} ± SE	Z score
	Gene regulat (transcriptio	ion n)			x ¥ ¥ ¥ Z	Feed- forward loop	x	₹ ₩	Bi-fan			
	E. coli	424	519	40	7 ± 3	10	203	47 ± 12	13			
	S. cerevisiae*	685	1,052	70	11 ± 4	14	1812	300 ± 40	41			
	Neurons				X ₩ ¥ Z	Feed- forward loop	X	Å.	Bi-fan	¥, ×¥	κ Ν Μ ^χ Μ	Bi- parallel
	C. elegans†	252	509	125	90 ± 10	3.7	127	55 ± 13	5.3	227	35 ± 10	20
	Food webs				X ♥ Y ♥	Three chain	* * **	רא צ ^ע	Bi- parallel			
	Titula Daala	02	094	2210	Z 2120 + 50	2.1	7205	2220 + 210	25			
	Vthan	92	301	1182	3120 ± 30 1020 ± 20	7.2	1357	2220 ± 210 230 + 50	23			
	St. Martin	42	205	469	450 ± 10	NS	382	130 ± 20	12			
	Chesapeake	31	67	80	82 ± 4	NS	26	5 ± 2	8			
	Coachella	29	243	279	235 ± 12	3.6	181	80 ± 20	5			
	Skipwith	25	189	184	150 ± 7	5.5	397	80 ± 25	13			
	B. Brook	25	104	181	130 ± 7	7.4	267	30 ± 7	32			
	Electronic cir (forward logi	cuits 2 chips)			X ₩ Y Z	Feed- forward loop	x	× ↓	Bi-fan	х х х	× × × ×	Bi- parallel
	s15850	10,383	14,240	424	2 ± 2	285	1040	1 ± 1	1200	480	2 ± 1	335
	s38584	20,717	34,204	413	10 ± 3	120	1739	6 ± 2	800	711	9 ± 2	320
	\$38417	23,843	33,001	211	3 ± 2 2 ± 1	400	2404	1 ± 1	2550	200	2 ± 2 1 ± 1	200
	\$13207	8.651	11.831	403	2 + 1	225	4445	1+1	4950	264	2+1	200
	Electronic ci (digital fracti	rcuits onal multi	pliers)	1 × ×←	- z	Three- node feedback loop	x	₩ W	Bi-fan	x− ↑ z≤	\rightarrow_{Y} \downarrow \leftarrow_{W}	Four- node feedback loop
	s208	122	189	10	1 ± 1	9	4	1 ± 1	3.8	5	1 ± 1	5
	s420	252	399	20	1 ± 1	18	10	1 ± 1	10	11	1 ± 1	11
	s838‡	512	819	40	1 ± 1	38	22	1 ± 1	20	23	1 ± 1	25
strong	World Wide	Web			X	Feedback with two mutual dyads		S ⇒ z	Fully connected triad		K ⇒ z	Uplinked mutual dyad
	nd.edu§	325,729	1.46e6	1.1e5	$2e3 \pm 1e2$	800	6.8e6	5e4±4e2	15,000	1.2e6	1e4 ± 2e	2 5000

- OK, scale free networks are neat but how do all the different functional complexes fit into a scale free proteome arrangement?
 - e.g. ion channels, ribosome complexes etc?
- Is there substructure within scale free networks?
 - Examine the clustering co-efficient for each node.

Armstrong, 2009

Clustering co-efficients and networks.

- $C_i = 2n/k_i(k_i-1)$
- n is the number of direct links connecting the k_i nearest neighbours of node i
- A node at the centre of a fully connected cluster has a C of 1

Biological Profiling

Microarrays

- cDNA arrays
- oligonucleotide arrays
- whole genome arrays
- Proteomics
 - yeast two hybrid
 - PAGE techniques
 - Mass Spectrometry (Lecture 2)

How to build a protein network

- Biological sample how to you isolate your complex?
- What is in your complex?
- How is it connected?
 - Databases and Literature Mining
 - Yeast two hybrid screening & other cellular interaction assays
 - Mass-spec analysis
- Building and analysing the network
- An example

Yeast two hybrid

- Use two mating strains of yeast
- In one strain fuse one set of genes to a transcription factor DNA binding site
- In the other strain fuse the other set of genes to a transcriptional activating domain
- Where the two proteins bind, you get a functional transcription factor.

Data obtained

- Depending on sample, you get a profile of potential protein-protein interactions that can be used to predict functional protein complexes.
- False positives are frequent.

Armstrong, 2009

• Can be confirmed by affinity purification etc.

- Networks derived from high throughput yeast 2 hybrid techniques
 - yeast
 - Drosophila melanogaster
 - C.elegans
- Predictive value of reconstructed networks

Predictive value of networks

Jeong et al., (2001) Lethality and Centrality in protein networks. Nature 411 p41

- In the yeast genome, the essential vs. unessential genes are known.
- Rank the most connected genes
- Compare known lethal genes with rank order

k	fraction	%lethal		
<6	93%	21%		
>15	0.7%	62%		

	Post Synaptic Density ER:microsomes Splicesome NRC/MASC Nucleolus Peroxisomes Mitochondria	1124 491 311 186 147 181 179
	Phagosomes	140
	81	
	Choroplasts	81
	Lysosomes	
Armstrong, 2009 Grant. (2006) Biochemical Society Tra	Exosomes nsactions. 34, 59-63. 2006	21

<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Annotating the DB

- How do we find existing interactions?
 - Search PubMed with keyword and synonym combinations
 - Download abstracts
 - Sub-select and rank-order using regex's
 - Fast web interface displays the most 'productive' abstracts for each potential interaction

.+\sand\s.+\sinteract

(1..N characters) (space) and (1..N characters) interact

.+\s((is)|(was))\sbound\sto\s.+\s

(1..N characters) (space) (is or was) (space) bound (space) to (1..N characters) (space)

.+\sbinding\sof\s.+\s((and)|(to))\s.+

(1..N characters) (space) binding (space) of (and or to) (space) (1..N characters)

Synapse proteome summary

- Protein parts list from proteomics
- Literature searching produced a network
- Network is essentially scale free
- Hubs more important in cognitive processes
- Network clusters show functional subdivision
- Overall architecture resembles bow-tie model
- Expensive...

Armstrong, 2009

Protein (and gene) interaction databases

BioGRID- A Database of Genetic and Physical Interactions **DIP** - Database of Interacting Proteins MINT - A Molecular Interactions Database IntAct - EMBL-EBI Protein Interaction MIPS - Comprehensive Yeast Protein-Protein interactions Yeast Protein Interactions - Yeast two-hybrid results from Fields' group PathCalling- A yeast protein interaction database by Curagen SPiD - Bacillus subtilis Protein Interaction Database AllFuse - Functional Associations of Proteins in Complete Genomes BRITE - Biomolecular Relations in Information Transmission and Expression ProMesh - A Protein-Protein Interaction Database The PIM Database - by Hybrigenics Mouse Protein-Protein interactions Human herpesvirus 1 Protein-Protein interactions Human Protein Reference Database BOND - The Biomolecular Object Network Databank. Former BIND MDSP - Systematic identification of protein complexes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by mass spectrometr Protcom - Database of protein-protein complexes enriched with the domain-domain structures Proteins that interact with GroEL and factors that affect their release DPIDB - DNA-Protein Interaction Database YPD[™] - Yeast Proteome Database by Incyte

Armstrong, 2009 Source with links: http://proteome.wayne.edu/PIDBL.html

0.0.0		IntAct		
4 - 6 0	+ Chttp://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/site/index.jsf	mone	ISS * Q+ intact	
(rail) (rivet) P	ubMed informatics # Je51 - Login News # Apple # Rumors of	docs v personal v		
EMBL-EBI	All Databases	Go Reset 🕐 🔐		
Databases Tools	EBI Groups Training Industry About Us Hel	p Site Index		
	EBI > Databases > Proteomic Databases > IntAct > Home			
Int/Act	IntAct Home			IntAct Basic 💌
Search IntAct				Statistics
0	Search IntAct			contains:
Search Clear	To perform a search in the IntAct database use the search box in the to Examples:	op left corner.		 157,856 binary interactions.
	Gene name: BDC42			 58,816 proteins.
 IntAct Home Advanced Search 	UniProtKB Ac: Q05509			 7,593 experiments. 1,207 controlleri
= Tools	 OniProtects is: <u>onic1</u> Pubmed Id: <u>10831611</u> 			vocabulary terms.
 Data Submission 				
= Downloads	Introduction	and the first first state of the second state		
 Documentation FAQ 	direct user submissions and are freely available.	analysis tools for protein interactio	n data. All interactions are derived from interature	curation or
User manual				
Annotation manual	Dataset of the month: January			
Publications	 A protein-interaction network formed by the ARP2-ARP3 co 	omplex which is known to control	s cell shape in Arabidopsis.	
Developer Resources	Uhrig et al. (acd) 933-451 2.0 PSI-461 33.0			
Development Site	Go to Archive.			
 Contact IntAct 				
 Printer Friendly View 	License			
	All software, available on this site, is under the Apache License, versio	n 2, and all data under the Creation	e Commons Attribution License. This means that	you are free to copy, distribute, display and
News RSS 🔍	make commercial use or all records from the intxict database provided	appropriate credit is given.		
Dec 11, 2007 Export of protein	Acknowledgements			
sequences in Fasta format to be updated	IntAct is funded by the European Commission	n under FELICS, contract number	021902 (RID) within the Research Infrastructure	Action of the FP6 "Structuring the
Please note that from	European Research Area' Programme.		to BTD approximate 10 with of 1 is and Managara	and all bins Descented
Tuesday 18th December, the weekly export of	Formerly and was landed by EO grant nom	Der GLANG 1-2001-00015 Undert	te kito programme 'Quarty of the and Manager	rent or Living Hesources .
protein sequence in Fasta format will be updated.	Part of the Proteomics Services Team.			
Please click here to see the deails of this update.	Bereices			
	14 C			
No	The second secon	and freedom to and		

comparing two approaches

- Pocklington et al 2006
 - Emphasis on QC and literature mining
 - Focussed on subset of molecules
- Rual et al 2005
 - Emphasis on un-biased measurements
 - Focussed on proteome wide models
- Both then look at disease/network correlations

