

Automated Reasoning: Tutorial 6

Hoare Logic

Paper Proof Exercise

Construct the natural deduction proof of the following Hoare Logic triple (taken from the factorial example in the lecture), on paper:

$$\{Y = 1 \wedge Z = 0\} \text{ WHILE } Z \neq X \text{ DO } Z := Z + 1; Y := Y \times Z \text{ OD } \{Y = X!\}$$

You may use any of the FOL natural deduction rules and the Hoare Logic rules. Additionally, you may use the following 4 lemmas:

$$\frac{\neg\neg X}{X} \text{ notnotD} \quad \frac{b = a}{a = b} \text{ sym} \quad \frac{}{0! = 1} \text{ fact_0} \quad \frac{}{x! \times (x + 1) = (x + 1)!} \text{ fact_plus_1}$$

Introduction to Hoare Logic in Isabelle

In the following exercise, you will formally verify the correctness of some simple programs using Isabelle's *Hoare_Logic* library. This library allows you to formalise the specifications of programs of a simple programming language in the form of Hoare triples.

The supported programming language includes the following constructs:

- Local variable declaration: `VARs x y z`
- Sequence: `p ; q`
- Skip (do nothing): `SKIP`
- Variable assignment: `x := 0`
- Conditional: `IF cond THEN p ELSE q FI`
- Loop: `WHILE cond INV { invariant } DO p OD`

A program `X` with precondition `P` and postcondition `Q` can be specified as the Hoare triple:

Each Hoare triple in Isabelle must begin with a local variable declaration `@text VARs` including at least one local variable, i.e. the triple shown above can be specified in Isabelle as follows:

```
"VARs a P X Q"
```

Note that a loop invariant must be explicitly specified for each while loop using the `INV` operator.

You can use any pre-defined Isabelle type or function in the *program specification*.

The automated tactic `vcg`, can be used to extract verification conditions from the Hoare triples and convert them to Isabelle subgoals. The tactic `vcg_simp` combines the capabilities of `vcg` with simplification.

Verification Exercise

Using the *Hoare_Logic* library, verify the correctness of the following programs. Some of the examples require that you introduce the appropriate invariant `Inv`.

Make sure your theory has the following imports statement:

```
imports Main Binomial "~/src/HOL/Hoare/Hoare_Logic"
```

- The minimum of two integers `x` and `y`:

```
lemma Min: "VARS (z :: int)
  {True}
  IF x ≤ y THEN z := x ELSE z := y FI
  { z = min x y }"
```

- Iteratively copy an integer variable `x` to `y`:

```
lemma Copy: "VARS (a :: int) y
  {0 ≤ x}
  a := x; y := 0;
  WHILE a ≠ 0
  INV { Inv }
  DO y := y + 1 ; a := a - 1 OD
  {x = y}"
-- "Replace Inv with your invariant."
```

- Iterative multiplication through addition:

```
lemma Multi: "VARS (a :: int) z
  {0 ≤ y}
  a := 0; z := 0;
  WHILE a ≠ y
  INV { Inv }
  DO
    z := z + x ;
    a := a + 1
  OD
  {z = x * y}"
-- "Replace Inv with your invariant."
```

- A factorial algorithm:

```
lemma DownFact: "VARS (z :: nat) (y::nat)
  {True}
```

```

z := x; y := 1;
WHILE z > 0
INV { Inv }
DO
  y := y * z ;
  z := z - 1
OD
y = fact x"
-- "Replace Inv with your invariant."

```

- Integer division of x by y:

```

lemma Div: "VARS (r :: int) d
  {y ≠ 0}
  r := x; d := 0;
  WHILE y ≤ r
  INV { Inv }
  DO
    r := r - y;
    d := d + 1
  OD
  { Postcondition }"
-- "Replace Inv with your invariant."
-- "Replace Postcondition with an appropriate postcondition that reflects
the expected behaviour of the algorithm."

```