

Isabelle / Proof General Cheat Sheet

APPLYING RULES AND THEOREMS

apply (rule *theorem*): use when the conclusion of *theorem* matches the conclusion of the current goal

apply (erule *theorem*): use when the conclusion of *theorem* matches the conclusion of the current goal and the first premise of *theorem* matches a premise of the current goal

apply (frule *theorem*): use when the first premise of *theorem* matches a premise of the current goal

apply (drule *theorem*): like frule except it deletes the matching premise

back: useful if erule/drule/frule are choosing the wrong premise

apply assumption: when the conclusion of the current goal is also a premise

AUTOMATED METHODS

apply auto: applies automated tools to look for solution

apply force: like auto, but “do or die” (and only applies to the first goal)

apply clarify: like auto, but less aggressive

apply simp: simplifies current goal using term rewriting

apply (simp add:*theorems*): like the simplifier, but tells the simplifier to use additional theorems as well (useful groups of theorems for calculation are ring_simps and field_simps)

apply clarsimp: a combination of clarify and simp

apply blast: a powerful first-order prover

apply arith: automatically solves linear arithmetic problems

OTHER METHODS

apply (insert *theorem*): adds *theorem* as an additional premise

apply (subgoal_tac *formula*): adds *formula* as an additional premise, and also as a new goal to be proven later

apply (induct_tac *variable*): splits into the appropriate cases to do induction on *variable* (when *variable* has a natural notion of induction, for instance, it is a natural number)

apply (rule_tac $v_1 = t_1$ and ... and $v_n = t_n$ in *theorem*): like rule, but allows the certain variables to be chosen manually (also erule_tac, drule_tac, and frule_tac are analagous)

apply (case_tac ...): splits on cases

HANDLING EQUALITY

apply (subst *theorem*): applies a substitution (*theorem* should be an equality)

apply (subst (asm) *theorem*): applies a substitution to one of the hypotheses

apply (subst (i...j) *theorem*): applies a substitution at the positions indicated

apply (subst (asm) (i...j) *theorem*): applies a substitution at the positions indicated in the hypotheses

apply (erule *ssubst*): applies a substitution from the hypotheses (useful in conjunction with insert).

apply (erule *subst*): applies a substitution from the hypotheses (in the right-to-left direction of the equality).

LOGICAL RULES

Propositional Logic:

notI: $(A \Rightarrow False) \Rightarrow \neg A$

notE: $[[\neg A; A]] \Rightarrow B$

conjI: $[[A; B]] \Rightarrow A \wedge B$

conjE: $[[A \wedge B; [A; B]] \Rightarrow C] \Rightarrow C$

conjunct1: $P \wedge Q \Rightarrow P$

conjunct2: $P \wedge Q \Rightarrow Q$

context_conjI: $[[P; P \Rightarrow Q]] \Rightarrow P \wedge Q$

disjI1: $A \Rightarrow A \vee B$

disjI2: $A \Rightarrow B \vee A$

disjCI: $(\neg Q \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P \vee Q$

excluded_middle: $\neg P \vee P$

disjE: $[[A \vee B; A \Rightarrow C; B \Rightarrow C]] \Rightarrow C$

impI: $(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$

impE: $[[A \rightarrow B; A; B \Rightarrow C]] \Rightarrow C$
impCE: $[[P \rightarrow Q; \neg P \Rightarrow R; Q \Rightarrow R]] \Rightarrow R$
mp: $[[A \rightarrow B; A]] \Rightarrow B$
iffI: $[[A \Rightarrow B; B \Rightarrow A]] \Rightarrow A = B$
iffE: $[[A = B; [[A \rightarrow B; B \rightarrow A]] \Rightarrow C]] \Rightarrow C$
classical: $(\neg A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A$
notnotD: $\neg\neg P \Rightarrow P$
de_Morgan_disj: $(\neg(P \vee Q)) = (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$
de_Morgan_conj: $(\neg(P \wedge Q)) = (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$
disj_not1: $(\neg P \vee Q) = (P \rightarrow Q)$
disj_not2: $(P \vee \neg Q) = (Q \rightarrow P)$

First Order Logic:

exI: $Pa \Rightarrow \exists x.Px$
exE: $[[\exists x.Px; !!x.Px \Rightarrow C]] \Rightarrow C$
allI: $(!!x.Px) \Rightarrow \forall x.Px$
spec: $\forall x.Px \Rightarrow Px$
allE: $[[\forall x.Px; Px \Rightarrow R]] \Rightarrow R$

Equality:

sym: $x = y \Rightarrow y = x$
trans: $[[x = y; y = z]] \Rightarrow x = z$

EMACS/PROOF GENERAL

“C” stands for the control key, and “C-key” means holding down the control key together with *key*.

C-k: delete the rest of the line

C-a: jump to the beginning of the current line

C-e: jump to the end of the current line

C-c C-n: process the next line in Isabelle (the next button)

C-c C-u: push back the processed part of the text by one line (the undo button)

C-c C-return: evaluate up to where the cursor is

C-c C-p: show the current state of a proof (for instance, in place of an error message currently being shown)

OTHER TIPS

Use the browser pages to find theorems.

You can derive your own theorems, and use them as rules.

Use the “find theorems” command in Proof General.

Under the Proof General menu, if you choose options/electric-terminator, the next line of the proof is sent to Isabelle automatically whenever you end a line with a semicolon.