## Advances in Programming Languages APL3: Row variables in OCaml

#### Ian Stark

School of Informatics The University of Edinburgh

Thursday 17 January 2008 Semester 2 Week 2



### 1 OCaml overview: types, expressions

2 OCaml example: region quadtrees



## ① OCaml overview: types, expressions

2 OCaml example: region quadtrees



## Objective Caml (OCaml) is:

- A strongly-typed functional language, a version of ML; with
- high-performance native-code compilers for many processors;
- as well as a portable bytecode compiler;
- and an interactive execution environment.

Features include:

- First-class higher-order functions;
- Objects, classes, multiple inheritance;
- Parametric polymorphism, exceptions;
- Records, variants, and general algebraic datatypes.

# let x = 3 in x+x;;- : int = 6

# let square x = x\*x;;
val square : int -> int = <fun>

# let rec factorial n = if n < 1 then 1 else n\*(factorial(n-1));;val factorial : int -> int = <fun>

# factorial (square 3);; - : int = 362880

```
("Thursday", 9, 10) : string * int * int
```

```
[ 2. ; 2.5 ; 3. ] : float list
```

[| 'a'; 'b' |] : char array

fun x y -> (x+y)/2 : int -> int -> int

type day = { month:string; date:int }
{ month = "Jan"; date = 17 } : day

**type** shape = Circle **of** int | Rectangle **of** int\*int

```
type 'a tree = Node of 'a * 'a tree * 'a tree | Leaf
```

### 1 OCaml overview: types, expressions

## 2 OCaml example: region quadtrees

B) Row variables: structural typing for objects

A region quadtree represents two-dimensional spatial data, such as images, with variable resolution. Where information density is nonuniform it is more efficient than a simple two-dimensional array.



**type** picture = { title : string; image: quadtree }

(1/3)

```
let rec isclear : quadtree -> bool
= fun qt ->
match qt with
Clear -> true
| Tree (a,b,c,d) -> isclear a && isclear b
&& isclear c && isclear d
| _ -> false
```

(\* nonblank : picture -> bool \*) let nonblank pic = not (isclear pic.image) (2/3)

(\* thumbnail : picture -> picture \*)
let thumbnail { title = t; image = i } = { title = t; image = chop 8 i }

(\* summary : picture list -> picture list \*)
let summary pics = List.map thumbnail (List.filter nonblank pics)

(3/3)

### 1 OCaml overview: types, expressions

OCaml example: region quadtrees

## 3 Row variables: structural typing for objects

Java has subtyping: a value of one type may be used at any more general type. So String < Object, and every String is an Object.

#### Not all is well with Java types

String[] $a = \{ "Hello" \};$
Object[] b = a;
b[0] = Boolean.FALSE;
String $s = a[0];$
System.out.println(s);

// A small string array // Now a and b are the same array // Drop in a Boolean object // Oh, dear // This isn't going to be pretty

This compiles without error or warning: in Java, if S < T then S[] < T[]. Except that it isn't. So every array assignment gets a runtime check.

Ideally, an statically-checked object-oriented language should have a type system that is

- (a) usable, and
- (b) correct.

Building such type systems is a continuing challenge.

One problem is that subtyping is crucial to OO programming, but unfortunately:

Ideally, an statically-checked object-oriented language should have a type system that is

- (a) usable, and
- (b) correct.

Building such type systems is a continuing challenge.

One problem is that subtyping is crucial to OO programming, but unfortunately:

subtyping is not inheritance;

(really, it's not)

Ideally, an statically-checked object-oriented language should have a type system that is

- (a) usable, and
- (b) correct.

Building such type systems is a continuing challenge.

One problem is that subtyping is crucial to OO programming, but unfortunately:

• subtyping is not inheritance;

(really, it's not)

• it's also extremely hard to get right.

You can see this by observing that the type declared for the max method in the Java collections class has gone from: (Java 1.2, 1998)

You can see this by observing that the type declared for the max method in the Java collections class has gone from: (Java 1.2, 1998)

public static Object max(Collection coll)

which always returns an Object, whatever is stored in the collection, to:

You can see this by observing that the type declared for the max method in the Java collections class has gone from: (Java 1.2, 1998)

public static Object max(Collection coll)

which always returns an Object, whatever is stored in the collection, to:

public static <T extends Object & Comparable<? super T>> T max(Collection<? extends T> coll)

You can see this by observing that the type declared for the max method in the Java collections class has gone from: (Java 1.2, 1998)

public static Object max(Collection coll)

which always returns an Object, whatever is stored in the collection, to:

public static <T extends Object & Comparable<? super T>> T max(Collection<? extends T> coll)

and it might *still* throw a ClassCastException. (Java 6, 2006)

You can see this by observing that the type declared for the max method in the Java collections class has gone from: (Java 1.2, 1998)

public static Object max(Collection coll)

which always returns an Object, whatever is stored in the collection, to:

public static <T extends Object & Comparable<? super T>> T max(Collection<? extends T> coll)

and it might *still* throw a ClassCastException. (Java 6, 2006)

This is not a criticism: the new typing is more flexible, it saves on explicit downcasts, and the Java folks do know what they are doing.

Java uses predominantly *nominative* or *nominal typing*: the only relations between types are those stated explicitly by the programmer.

<pre>class pair1 { int x; int y; } class pair2 { int x; int y; }</pre>	// Pair of integers // Also a pair of integers
pair1 a = <b>new</b> pair1(); pair2 b = a;	// Create one new pair object // Assign it to another // Get an "incompatible types" error

This is by design:

- it can help with safe programming; and
- it certainly helps the compiler with typechecking.

In contrast, OCaml uses *structural typing*: the properties of types can be deduced from their structure.

type pair1 = int \* int(\* Type abbreviation \*)type pair2 = int \* int(\* An identical one \*)let a : pair1 = (5,6)(\* Create a new pair \*)let b : pair2 = a(\* Copy it to another \*)(\* No error \*)

If object typing is tough to sort out nominally, then how do we attempt to do it structurally?

# Records and record types

OCaml provides strongly-typed records:

```
type picture = { title : string; image : quadtree }
let p = { title = "Look at me"; image = i }
```

```
# p.title;;
- : string = "Look at me"
```

This could be the basis for an object system; records can even have *mutable* fields to serve as instance variables.

However, field names are strictly tied to their record:

# fun x  $\rightarrow$  x.title;;

-: picture -> string = <fun>

Objects need more flexibility. Subtyping is one possibility, but there is another mechanism already available...

# Parametric polymorphism

A simple type system:

$$\begin{split} \tau &::= \alpha \quad | \quad \tau \times \tau \quad | \quad \tau \to \tau \\ \sigma &::= \forall \vec{\alpha}. \tau \end{split}$$

Here  $\tau$  is a type,  $\alpha$  is a *type variable* and  $\sigma$  is a *type scheme*.

Type schemes characterise functions that carry out the same action at a range of types, for example:

 $\lambda x.x: \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ 

This is *parametric polymorphism*, implemented in Java/C# as *generics*. OCaml automatically infers polymorphic types where possible:

let id x = x;;
val id : 'a -> 'a = <fun>

## Row variables

Add types for records, where  $m_1 \dots m_k$  are labels and  $\rho$  is a *row variable*:

$$\begin{split} \tau &::= \alpha \quad | \quad \tau \times \tau \quad | \quad \tau \to \tau \quad | \quad \langle m_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, m_k : \tau_k \mid \rho \rangle \\ \sigma &::= \forall \vec{\alpha} \vec{\rho} . \tau \end{split}$$

We can now type functions that carry out the same action at a range of different record types. For example, using # for field selection:

$$\lambda x.(x \# m) : \forall \alpha \forall \rho. \langle m: \alpha | \rho \rangle \rightarrow \alpha$$

This is row polymorphism.

OCaml automatically infers polymorphic row types where possible:

let getfield p = p#mval getfield :  $\langle m : 'a; ... \rangle - \rangle 'a = \langle fun \rangle$ 

let double p = p#height \* 2;;
val double : < height : int; .. > -> int = <fun>

OCaml uses row types to represent an object as a record of methods.

```
let a = (* Saving account *)
object
val mutable balance = 0
method credit n = balance <- balance + n
method enquire = balance
end;;
val a : < credit : int -> unit; enquire : int > = <obj>
```

Automatic type inference gives the most general type for an object.

(OCaml does also have classes for objects that share method suites.)

(1/3)

Different object types can share methods with the same name.

Account b has all the methods of a, and more.

(We could also use inheritance to generate one class from another.)

[2/3

Define a function to add credit to an account.

let boost x = x#credit 20;;
val boost : < credit : int -> 'a; .. > -> 'a = <fun>

OCaml infers a very general type, so we can apply this to both existing accounts:

boost a; a#enquire;;
- : int = 20

boost b; b#debit 5; b#enquire;;
 - : int = 15

It is even possible to infer a type for the function that takes a list of any type of accounts and selects the one of greatest value:

```
max : (< enquire : int; .. > as a') list -> 'a
```

- What is an octree, and why would you use one in Microsoft's XNA game development toolkit?
- Copy and paste the quadtree code and run it in OCaml.
- Do the same for the bank account objects, and test them.
- Write a function to compute the nonblank area of a quadtree.
- Write a function to display a quadtree using the OCaml graphics library.

- OCaml is a functional programming language with a rich static type system.
- We saw some example OCaml code for manipulating quadtrees, a structure for variable-resolution 2-dimensional spatial data.
- Static typing for object-oriented programming is tricky.
- Row variables allow structural typing of objects.

- OCaml is a functional programming language with a rich static type system.
- We saw some example OCaml code for manipulating quadtrees, a structure for variable-resolution 2-dimensional spatial data.
- Static typing for object-oriented programming is tricky.
- Row variables allow structural typing of objects.