Marking guide for AILP Assignment 3

Alan Smaill

Here is some information on the marking of this practical.

This part of the assignment is worth 50% of the overall marks for the course.

The marks are distributed as follows.

- **Programming** (10 marks)
  Will the program run out of the box?

    this is a sanity check that the code does what is claimed

  There will be no marks for code quality, structuring etc. in assignment 3.

- **Short report** (40 marks)

  This should be not longer than 8 pages, and should cover:

(10 marks Quick review of argumentation systems, what they are for etc; report on your initial implementation from Assignment 2.

(10 marks) Description of enhanced system, what additional functionality is provided and how.

(10 marks) Description of chosen scenarios, what behaviour resulted and discussion of the quality of the questions generated.

(10 marks) Overall discussion of the possible role of systems like Carneades and and question-generating techniques in argumentation systems, particularly in the legal domain, taking your experience into account. Medium and longer-term suggestions are welcome; you should provide support for your own arguments here.

  to pass, a presentation that sets the scene correctly, describes work done and gives some results and tackles the broader questions
  for A grade, a clear presentation that makes explicit the links between the intended functionality, the choice of experiments and provides good analysis both of scenarios presented and longer-term issues concerning similar systems.