## Computational Geometry

In general, we will be considering 2-dimensional geometric problems (problems in the real plane).

### Notation and basic definitions

- *Points* are pairs (x, y) with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- A convex combination of two points p₁ = (x₁, y₁) and p₂ = (x₂, y₂) is a point p = (x, y) such that

$$x = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha) x_2$$
  
$$y = \alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha) y_2$$

for some  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .

Abbreviate to  $p = \alpha p_1 + (1 - \alpha)p_2$ . Intuitively, a point p is a convex combination of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  if it is on the line segment from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ 

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 2 -

# **Directed Line Segments**

Directed line segment  $\overrightarrow{p_1p_2}$ :



When  $p_1 = (0,0)$ , the origin, treat  $\overrightarrow{p_1p_2}$  as the vector  $p_2$ .

Line Segments

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 1 -

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 3 -

Algorithms and Data Structures:

Computational Geometry I and II

Undirected line segment  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  (set of all convex combinations of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ )



## Clockwise and Counterclockwise from a Vector

clockwise

counter-

clockwise

# **Basic Problems**



- 2. Given  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  and  $\overline{p_2p_3}$ , if we traverse  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  and then  $\overline{p_2p_3}$  do we make a left, a right, or no turn at  $p_2$ ?
- 3. Do  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  and  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  intersect?

**Design aim:** use only +, -,  $\times$  and comparisons. *Avoid* division and trigonometric functions.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 – slide 5 –

## Straightforward Solutions

Use division and/or trigonometric functions. Not our approach

• For Problem (1) (special case with  $p_0 = (0,0)$ ,  $p_2 = (x_2,0)$ ):

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{vector } p_1 \text{ is clockwise from vector } p_2 \\ \Longleftrightarrow 0 < \measuredangle(p_1,p_2) < \pi \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sin(\measuredangle(p_1,p_2)) > 0. \end{array}$ 

(It turns out, however, that we can compute the *sign* of  $sin(\measuredangle(p_1, p_2))$  precisely without using either division or trigonometric functions.)

In measuring the angle from vector p1 round to vector  $p_2$ , we measure anti-clockwise from  $p_1$ . It is a convention.

- For Problem (3):
  - Compute intersection point p of lines through  $p_1, p_2$  and through  $p_3, p_4$  (if no such point exists, then the segments  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  and  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  do not intersect).
  - Then check if *p* is on both segments.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 6 -

## Cross product

Given  $p = (x_p, y_p)$ ,  $q = (x_q, y_q)$ . Define *cross product* by:

$$p imes q = \det egin{pmatrix} x_p & x_q \ y_p & y_q \end{pmatrix} = x_p y_q - x_q y_p.$$

Intuitively: Signed area of parallelogram spanned by vectors p, q:



### Properties of the Cross Product

#### Lemma 1

 $p = (x_p, y_p), q = (x_q, y_q)$  points in the plane. Then

- 1.  $p \times q = -q \times p$
- 2. If  $p \times q > 0$ , then vector p is clockwise from q.
  - If  $p \times q = 0$ , then vectors p and q are collinear.
  - If  $p \times q < 0$ , then vector p is counterclockwise from q.

**Proof:** (1) is immediate from the definition. (2) is elementary analytical geometry. For homework, first compute the line through (0,0) and q. Then check where p should lie in relation to this line - there are 2 cases,  $x_q \ge 0$  and  $x_q < 0$ ).

ADS: lects 17 & 18 – slide 9 –

### Solution to Problem (2)

#### Problem

Given  $\overline{p_0p_1}$  and  $\overline{p_1p_2}$ , if we traverse  $\overline{p_0p_1}$  and then  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  do we make a left, a right, or no turn at  $p_1$ ?

### Solution



 $(p_1 - p_0) \times (p_2 - p_0) = 0$ : collinear segments — no turn.  $(p_1 - p_0) \times (p_2 - p_0) < 0$ : right turn at  $p_1$ .  $(p_1 - p_0) \times (p_2 - p_0) > 0$ : left turn at  $p_1$ .

# Solution to Problem (1)

#### Problem

Given  $\overrightarrow{p_0p_1}$  and  $\overrightarrow{p_0p_2}$ , is  $\overrightarrow{p_0p_1}$  collinear with, clockwise or anti-clockwise from  $\overrightarrow{p_0p_2}$  w.r.t.  $p_0$ ?

#### Solution

Use Lemma 1 after moving origin to (0,0). Just examine sign of:

 $(p_1-p_0) \times (p_2-p_0) = (x_1-x_0)(y_2-y_0) - (x_2-x_0)(y_1-y_0).$ 

#### Tip

Can do a test: e.g., check vector (0,0)(2,0) against the point (1,1) (which is anti-clockwise of the vector).

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 10 -

## Solution to Problem (3)

#### Problem

 $\overline{p_1p_2}$  and  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  intersect?

#### Solution

 $\overline{p_1p_2}$  straddles  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  if  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  lie on different sides of the line through  $p_3, p_4$ .

Then  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  and  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  intersect if, and only if, one of the following conditions holds:

- $\overline{p_1p_2}$  straddles  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  and  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  straddles  $\overline{p_1p_2}$ .
- An endpoint of one segment lies on the other.

### 4 cases for the Intersection Question



L intersects with  $L_3$  (one point of  $L_3$  lies on L) and with  $L_4$  (both "straddle tests" succeed).

L does not intersect  $L_2$  (only one of the "straddle tests" succeeds) or  $L_1$ .

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 13 -

### Point on Segment

 $p_3$  is on segment  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  if

$$(p_3 - p_1) \times (p_2 - p_1) = 0$$

and

$$\min(x_1, x_2) \le x_3 \le \max(x_1, x_2)$$

and

$$\min(y_1, y_2) \le y_3 \le \max(y_1, y_2)$$

The last two conditions simply say that p is in the rectangle with (diagonally opposite) corner points  $p_1, p_2$ 

### Straddle Test

 $\overline{p_1p_2}$  straddles  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  if, and only if,

$$((p_1-p_3)\times(p_4-p_3))((p_2-p_3)\times(p_4-p_3)) < 0.$$

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 14 -

## Solution of Problem (3) Completed

**Algorithm** SEGMENTS-INTERSECT $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ 

1.  $d_{12,3} \leftarrow (p_3 - p_1) \times (p_2 - p_1)$ 2.  $d_{12,4} \leftarrow (p_4 - p_1) \times (p_2 - p_1)$ 3.  $d_{34,1} \leftarrow (p_1 - p_3) \times (p_4 - p_3)$ 4.  $d_{34,2} \leftarrow (p_2 - p_3) \times (p_4 - p_3)$ 5. if  $d_{12,3}d_{12,4} < 0$  and  $d_{34,1}d_{34,2} < 0$  then return TRUE 6. else if  $d_{12,3} = 0$  and IN-Box $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  then return TRUE 7. else if  $d_{12,4} = 0$  and IN-Box $(p_1, p_2, p_4)$  then return TRUE 8. else if  $d_{34,1} = 0$  and IN-Box $(p_3, p_4, p_1)$  then return TRUE 9. else if  $d_{34,2} = 0$  and IN-Box $(p_3, p_4, p_2)$  then return TRUE 10. else return FALSE

Algorithm IN-BOX $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ 

1. return  $\min(x_1, x_2) \le x_3 \le \max(x_1, x_2)$ and  $\min(y_1, y_2) \le y_3 \le \max(y_1, y_2)$ 

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 15 -

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 16 -

# The Convex Hull

### Definition 2

- 1. A set C of points is *convex* if for all  $p, q \in C$  the whole line segment  $\overline{pq}$  is contained in C.
- 2. The convex hull of a set Q of points is the smallest convex set C that contains Q.

### Observation 3

The convex hull of a finite set Q of points is a convex polygon whose vertices (corner points) are elements of Q.

# The Convex Hull Problem

Input: A finite set Q of points in the plane Output: The vertices of the convex hull of Q in counterclockwise order.

Example:



Output of a convex-hull algorithm: a, b, c, g, j

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 17 -

# Polar Angles

The *polar angle* of a point q with respect to a point p is the (as usual anti-clockwise) angle between a horizontal line and the line through p and q.



### Lemma 4

There is an algorithm that, given points  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ , sorts  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ by non-decreasing polar angle with respect to  $p_0$  in  $O(n \lg n)$  time.

# Graham's Scan

### IDEA

- Let p<sub>0</sub> be a "bottom-most" point in the set. Start walking around the points in the order of increasing polar angles.
- As long as you turn left, keep on walking.
- If you have to turn right to reach the next point, discard the current point and step back to the previous point. Repeat this until you can turn left to the next point.
- The points that remain are the vertices of the convex hull.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 18 -

# Turning Left (reminder)

Problem

Given p, q, r in the plane, if we walk from  $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ , do we make a left, a right, or no turn at q?

#### Solution



 $(q-p) \times (r-p) < 0$ : right turn at q.  $(q-p) \times (r-p) > 0$ : left turn at q.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 21 -

## Implementation

**Algorithm** GRAHAM-SCAN(Q)

- 1. Let  $p_0$  be the point in Q with minimum y coordinate. (if there is a tie, take the leftmost such point).
- 2. Sort  $Q \setminus \{p_0\}$  "lexicographically" in terms of (primary key) non-decreasing polar angle with respect to  $p_0$  and (secondary key) distance from  $p_0$ .

For angles with more than one point, delete all corresponding points except the one farthest from  $p_0$ .

Let  $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_m \rangle$  be the resulting list.

```
3. if m < 2 then return \langle p_0, \ldots, p_m \rangle
```

```
else {
4.
```

```
5.
            Initialise stack S
```

```
S.PUSH(p_0)
6.
7.
            S.PUSH(p_1)
```

- 8.  $S.PUSH(p_2)$
- 9. for  $i \leftarrow 3$  to m do

```
while the angle formed by the topmost two elements of S and p_i
10.
                           does not make a left turn do
11.
                             S.POP
12.
                     S.PUSH(p_i)
            return S
```

#### 13.

14.

# Example (BOARD)



ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 22 -

# Analysis of Running time

Let n = |Q|, then m < n.

- $\blacktriangleright$  Lines 3–8, 13 require time  $\Theta(1)$ .
- Line 1 requires time  $\Theta(n)$  in the worst case.
- Line 2 requires time  $\Theta(n \lg n)$ .
- ▶ The outside (for) loop in lines 9–12 is iterated m-2 times. Thus, disregarding the time needed by the inner while loop, the loop requires time  $\Theta(m) = O(n)$ .
- ▶ The inner loop in lines 10–11 is executed at most once for each element, because every element enters the stack at most once and thus can only be popped once. Thus overall the inner loop requires time O(n).

Thus the overall worst-case running time is

 $\Theta(n \lg n).$ 

#### Proof of Correctness

(I) First we consider the effect of executing lines 1 and 2 to get the (possibly smaller) set of points  $P = p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_m$ .

CLAIM (I): The convex hull of Q is equal to the convex hull of P.

Proof of CLAIM (I): We only discard a point  $q \in Q$  if it has the same polar angle wrt  $p_0$  as some point  $p_i \in P$ , AND q is closer to  $p_0$  than this  $p_i$ . When q satisfies these 2 conditions, then q lies on  $\overline{p_0p_i}$ . The convex hull of P by definition must contain  $\overline{p_0p_i}$  for every  $p_i$ , so the convex hull of P must contain q.

Applying this inductively (on the entire set of points removed) we find that the convex hull of P equals that of Q.

(II) Next we must prove that lines 3-14 compute the convex hull of  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_m$ .

If  $m \le 2$  then the alg returns all m + 1 (1, 2, or 3) points (line 3). Correct.

**Else** m > 2 and the algorithm executes lines 5.-13.

For any  $2 \le i \le m$ , define  $C_i$  to be the convex hull of  $p_0, \ldots, p_i$ .

After executing lines 5.-8., the points on stack S are the vertices of  $C_2$  (clockwise). We now prove that this situation holds for  $C_i$  after we execute the **for** loop with i.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 25 -

### Proof of Correctness (m > 2) cont'd

- By (IH) any q "'popped" so far is in the convex hull formed by the points currently on stack S ... ⇒ ... the convex hull C<sub>i+1</sub> is contained in the convex hull of p<sub>i+1</sub> and the points on S.
- Left: First suppose the "next-to-top" point p on S, followed by the "top" point p<sub>i</sub>, followed by p<sub>i+1</sub> creates a "left turn":



- Then the triangle  $p_0 p p_{i+1}$  does *NOT* contain all of triangle  $p_0 p_i p_{i+1}$
- $\blacktriangleright \Rightarrow p_i \text{ must be on the Convex Hull } C_{i+1}.$
- Using convexity of the points on S, p<sub>0</sub> → p̂ → p<sub>i+1</sub> is a left turn for all points p̂ on S
- ▶  $\Rightarrow$  all such  $\hat{p}$  must be on the Convex Hull  $C_{i+1}$ .
- ▶ ⇒ hence the decision to "push"  $p_{i+1}$  and leave all items of S there, correctly constructs  $C_{i+1}$ . ⇒ CLAIM (II) Left proven.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 27 -

### Proof of Correctness (m > 2) cont'd

CLAIM (II): Let *i* be such that  $2 \le i \le m$ . Then after the '*i*'-execution of the for loop (lines 9-12), the points on *S* are the vertices of  $C_i$  in clockwise order. Proof of CLAIM (II): Our proof is by induction.

Base case (i=2): In this case there is no *i*-iteration of the loop. However, the stack holds  $p_0, p_1, p_2$  (lines 6.-8.), which form the convex hull of  $\{p_0, p_1, p_2\}$ . Induction hypothesis (IH): Assume CLAIM (II) holds for some  $i, 2 \le i < m$ . Induction step: We will show CLAIM (II) also holds for i + 1.

Since the polar angle of p<sub>i+1</sub> is strictly greater than the polar angle of p<sub>i</sub>, therefore p<sub>0</sub>p<sub>i</sub>p<sub>i+1</sub> forms a triangle that is not contained in C<sub>i</sub>.



• Note  $p_{i+1}$  is NOT contained in  $C_i$  and thus is *definitely a vertex* of  $C_{i+1}$ .

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 26 -

### Proof of Correctness (m > 2) cont'd

Right: Otherwise suppose the "next-to-top" point p on S, followed by the "top" point p<sub>i</sub>, followed by p<sub>i+1</sub>, creates a "right turn":



- Then the triangle  $p_0 p p_{i+1}$  does contain all of triangle  $p_0 p_i p_{i+1}$ .
- ▶  $\Rightarrow$   $C_{i+1}$  does not need to include the point  $p_i$ .
- ▶ ⇒ decision to "pop"  $p_i$  (top item on S) on line 11 is correct. After the "pop", it is still true that the vertices of the convex hull  $C_{i+1}$  are from the set of points on S, together with  $p_{i+1}$ .
- ▶ We can apply this iteratively by considering the "turn direction" of the top two items on the stack, p<sup>\*</sup>, p̂ say (taking the roles of p, p<sub>i</sub>), followed by p<sub>i+1</sub>, "popping" until there is a left turn.
- ► Once we find a left turn slide 12 applies, and we push p<sub>i+1</sub> onto S on line 12, to complete C<sub>i+1</sub>. ⇒ CLAIM (II) right proven.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 28 -

#### Proof of Correctness (m > 2) cont'd

Wrapping up ...

- $\blacktriangleright$  We have proven the inductive step for  ${\rm CLAIM}$  (II).
- Hence CLAIM (II) holds after the consideration of every point p<sub>3</sub>,..., p<sub>m</sub>, and in particular for i = m:
- ▶ ⇒ after the m-execution (the final execution) of the **for**, the points on the stack S are the vertices of  $C_m$  in clockwise order.

The vertices  $C_m$  are the vertices of the original set of points Q (by CLAIM (I)).

Hence Graham's scan computes the Convex Hull of its input correctly.

# Optimality

- The best-known algorithm for finding the convex hull has a running time of O(n lg h), where h is the number of vertices of the convex hull.
- It can be shown (based on fairly natural assumptions) that every algorithm for finding the convex hull has a worst-case running time of

 $\Omega(n \lg n).$ 

The *proof* of this lower bound is due to the fact that we can implement real-number sorting using Convex Hull.

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 29 -

# Reading Assignment

Section 33.3 of [CLRS].

### Problems

- 1. Exercises 33.3-3 and 33.3-5 of [CLRS].
- 2. Show how to sort a collection of n points by polar angle (wrt some lowest point  $p_0$ ) in  $O(n \lg(n))$  time, without using division or trigonometry.
- 3. Prove that the problem of finding the Convex Hull of n points has a lower bound of  $\Omega(n \lg n)$ . For this, think about using a reduction from sorting to Convex Hull (that is, think about how to use a Convex Hull algorithm to sort a list of numbers).

ADS: lects 17 & 18 - slide 30 -