Applied Databases

Lecture 13 *KMP, Boyer-Moore, and Horspool Algorithms*

Sebastian Maneth

University of Edinburgh - March 6th, 2017

Outline

- 1. Morris-Pratt Algorithm
- 2. KMP
- 3. Boyer-Moore
- 4. Horspool

Recap: Naive Method

Given Pattern P (length m) Text T (length n) find all occurrences of P in T.



Recap: Naive Method

Given Pattern P, Text T, find all occurrences of P in T.

$$P = \boxed{a \ b \ a \ b \ c}$$

$$T = \boxed{a \ b \ a \ b \ a \ b \ a \ b \ a \ b \ a \ b \ a \ b \ a \ b \ c}$$

$$Best-Case O(n)$$

$$Average-Case \rightarrow show that if P and T are$$

$$Best-Case Complex$$

Average-Case \rightarrow show that if P and T are randomly chosen from an alphabet with d letters, then #character-comparisons of Naive Algorithm is:

$$(n-m+1)\frac{1-d^{-m}}{1-d^{-1}} <= 2(n-m+1)$$

Best-Case Complexity?

Average-Case Complexity? (on random strings)

Recap: Automaton Method

Given Pattern P (length m) Text T (length n) find all occurrences of P in T.



Recap: Automaton Method

Given Pattern P (length m) Text T (length n) find all occurrences of P in T.



|S| = size of alphabet of **P**

 \rightarrow for automata wo mismatch-transitions, $|\mathbf{S}| = \text{size of alphabet of } T$ [large!!]

Brief History:

- \rightarrow 1970: James H. Morris built a text editor for the CDC 6400 computer
- → with Vaughan Pratt, developed "A linear pattern matching algorithm" [Report 40, University of California, Berkely, 1970]
- \rightarrow Matching time: O(n + m)

 \rightarrow rigorous analysis (Knuth) revealed: delay at a character can be O(m)



- → Knuth added one check to Morris&Pratt's conditions, and was then able to prove *logarithmic delay (tight bound)*
- \rightarrow #character comparisons is <= 2n-1



MP[3] = length of longest **proper suffix** of **aba**, that is **prefix** of **abaab** = $|\mathbf{a}| = 1$

MP[5] = length of longest proper suffix of abaab, that is prefix of abaab
= |ab| = 2

Note: a *suffix* of a string w is **proper**, if it is *strictly shorter* than w.

9



MP[3] = |a| = 1 MP[5] = |ab| = 2





MP[3] = |a| = 1 MP[5] = |ab| = 2



 \rightarrow increase lospre by 1



MP[3] = |a| = 1 MP[5] = |ab| = 2





MP[3] = |a| = 1 MP[5] = |ab| = 2



 \rightarrow continue matching at *same position* (do **not** advance)



MP[3] = |a| = 1 MP[5] = |ab| = 2



1. Morris-Pratt (1970)

Given Pattern P, Text T, find all occurrences of P in T.





Blue arrow = read current symbol **again**

1. Morris-Pratt (1970)

Given Pattern P, Text T, find all occurrences of P in T.





Blue arrow = read current symbol again

Delay







delay = 2 (number of checks at this position)

Delay



MP[3] = |a| = 1 MP[5] = |ab| = 2



delay = 2 (number of checks at this position)





mismatch: lospre = MP[3] = 2









Delay in MP Algorithm



2. Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP)





from this check, we know T[3] != "a"

Thus: if next check-letter in P is "a", then mismatch



→ does next check-letter in P equal fail-letter in P?

If so, then KMP-entry should be smaller!! (= bigger shift = smaller delay)



Thus: KMP[3] should not equal "2"!



- \rightarrow find longest **lospre**, such that **next-letter != fail-letter**
- \rightarrow if does not exist, then mark "-1" = ADVANCE (and match with P[1]) = no further check (delay)



Previous table (Morris-Pratt)

Knuth-Morris-Pratt table

KMP[j] largest k>=0 such that strong_cond(j,k) holds, or -1 if such k does
not exist

strong_cond(j,k): P[1..k] is a proper suffix of P[1..j] **and** P[k+1] != P[j+1]





MP table





KMP[j] largest k>=0 such that strong_cond(j,k) holds: strong_cond(j,k): P[1..k] is a proper suffix of pat[1..j] and P[k+1] != P[j+1]

Matching complexity of KMP: O(m + n)

- $\rightarrow\,$ what is the maximum delay for KMP?
- \rightarrow in O(log m) [Knuth]
- \rightarrow can actually occur (e.g., for Fibonacci strings)

Lemma

For KMP, delay(m) = O(log m), and the bound is **tight**.

KMP1977 (p. 333) Delay per scanned character is at most $1 + \log_{\phi} m$, where $\phi = (1 + \operatorname{sqrt}\{5\})/2 = 1.618...$ is the golden ratio.

Define Fibonacci strings: f(1)=b, f(2)=a, f(n)=f(n - 1)f(n - 2)
 b, a, ab, aba, abaab, abaababa, ...

j =	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	а	b	а	а	b	а	b	а	а	b	а	а	b	а	b	a	a	þ	а	b	а
KMP[j] =	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	11	0	3

KMP[F_k − 2] = F_{k−1} − 2 Mismatch at position 19 = F₈ − 2, check at: **19, 11, 6, 3, 1, 0**. F_k = round(ϕ^{k} / sqrt{5}) → delay(m) = log_{ϕ}(m) − 2.

Robert S. Boyer and J. Strother Moore in 1977













For each letter z, let R(z) = distance from right-most occurrence of z in P, to the end of P (and |P| if there is no occurrence)





For each letter z, let R(z) = distance from right-most occurrence of z in P, to the end of P (and |P| if there is no occurrence)

→ shift R(a) to the right at mismatch with "a" (for all smaller shifts, we get a mismatch)





For each letter z, let R(z) = distance from right-most occurrence of z in P, to the end of P (and |P| if there is no occurrence) R(a) = 2, R(b) = 1

→ may shift R(a) to the right at mismatch with "a" (for all smaller shifts, we get a mismatch)

Idea 1 Match RIGHT-TO-LEFT in window









- \rightarrow after only 8 comparisons, detects the first match!
- \rightarrow compare this with the previous methods!



- \rightarrow after only 8 comparisons, detects the first match!
- \rightarrow compare this with the previous methods!
- \rightarrow letters T[1], T[2], T[3], and T[4] are never checked!
- \rightarrow allows for sub-linear matching time wrt n = |T|



- \rightarrow after only 8 comparisons, detects the first match!
- \rightarrow compare this with the previous methods!
- \rightarrow letters T[1], T[2], T[3], and T[4] are never checked!
- \rightarrow allows for *sub-linear matching time* wrt n = |T|
- → for natural language, almost always sublinear time!



 \rightarrow How does Google Chrome search text (Ctrl[^] F) on a page so quickly?

It uses a search algorithm inspired by Boyer-Moore and Boyer-Moore-Horspool

→ V8 string search package (chromium project)

	string-search.h - Code Search - Mozilla Firefox				\odot	\mathbf{x}
J	string-search.h - Code S 🗙 💠					
0	🖕 🖴 https://code.google.com/p/chromium/codesearch#chromium/src/v8/src/string-search.h&cl=GROK&q=search&sq= 🔻 C 🔍 🔍 Search] ☆	Ê	÷	^	≡



[chromium] // src / v8 / src / string-search.h

string-search.h R Find -Golo 🔻 Link 🔻 View in 🔻 Related files Files | Outline Lavers -409 } optimizing-compile-dispatcher.h // Build shift table using suffixes. 410 ostreams.cc 411 if (suffix < pattern length) {</pre> 412 ostreams.h for (int i = start; i <= pattern length; i++) {</pre> 413 if (shift table[i] == length) { pending-compilation-error-handle shift table[i] = suffix - start; 414 pending-compilation-error-handle 415 } property-descriptor.cc if (i == suffix) { 416 suffix = suffix table[suffix]; 417 property-descriptor.h 418 ļ property-details.h 419 } property.cc 420₀ } 421 property.h 422 prototype.h 423 register-configuration.cc 424 Boyer-Moore-Horspool string search. 425 register-configuration.h 426 runtime-profiler.cc 427 template <typename PatternChar, typename SubjectChar> runtime-profiler.h 428_int StringSearch<PatternChar, SubjectChar>::BoyerMooreHorspoolSearch(429 StringSearch<PatternChar, SubjectChar>* search, safepoint-table.cc 430 Vector<const SubjectChar> subject, safepoint-table.h 431 int start index) { signature.h 432 Vector<const PatternChar> pattern = search->pattern ; 433 int subject length = subject.length(); simulator h int pattern length = pattern.length(); 434 small-pointer-list.h 435 int* char occurrences = search->bad char table(); source-position.h 436 int badness = -pattern length; 437 splay-tree-inl.h 438 // How bad we are doing without a good-suffix table. splay-tree.h PatternChar last char = pattern[pattern length - 1]; 439 etartun_data_util.cc -1-24 Horspool ~ ×

Match Case 5 of 11 matches Highlight All

Idea 2



 \rightarrow how far can we shift?

Idea 2



- \rightarrow how far can we shift?
- \rightarrow all of |P|.

because "bc" does not occur to the left in P

→ for every suffix u of P, let D(u) be the distance to the next occurrence of u to the left (if none exists, then D(u)=|P|)

Idea 2



- \rightarrow D(bc) = 5
- \rightarrow now **not OK**, to shift by 5! Why??

Idea 2



 \rightarrow D(bc) = 5

- \rightarrow now **not OK**, to shift by 5! Why??
- \rightarrow a suffix of u is a prefix of P!

Idea 2



 \rightarrow D(bc) = 5

- \rightarrow now **not OK**, to shift by 5! Why??
- \rightarrow a suffix of **u** is a prefix of **P**!
- → for every suffix u of P, let L(u) = lospre(u, P)

















```
if mismatch after cu on symbol z, then
    k = max( R(z), D(u) )
    k = min( k, |P|-L(u) )
else
    report occurrence of P
    k := |P|-L(P)
shift by k
```



```
if mismatch after cu on symbol z, then
    k = max( R(z), D(u) )
    k = min( k, |P|-L(u) )
else
    report occurrence of P
    k := |P|-L(P)
shift by k
```













4. Horspool

Only Idea 1

- \rightarrow match from right-to-left
- \rightarrow at mismatch (with z): shift to R(z)

R(z) = distance from right-most occurrence of z in P[1..m-1], to the end of P (|P| if there is no occurrence)

4. Horspool





BM – Average Case

To find first occurrence i of an arbitrary 5-letter word in an English text Inspects on average

(0.25 * i)

text symbols.

In Practise

Experimental Map

- → Random text (10MB) and random patterns
- → Tested all algorithms (KMP, BM, BDM, etc)
- → 32-bit machine (UltraSPARC)
- \rightarrow Only 4 algorithms have a zone on the map.

Surprising:

- → Results on DNA are same as random for $|\Sigma|$ =4
- → Results on English text are same as random for $|\Sigma|$ =16 (!)

Alphabet sizes



END Lecture 13